

# Durham E-Theses

---

## *Analysis of polyhedral domed sandwich structures*

G. C. Manos

### How to cite:

---

Manos, G. C. (1975) Analysis of polyhedral domed sandwich structures. Doctoral thesis, Durham University.

### Use policy

---

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a <https://etheses.durham.ac.uk/id/eprint/8427/> is made to the metadata record in Durham E-Theses
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full Durham E-Theses policy](#) for further details.

ANALYSIS  
OF  
POLYHEDRAL DOMED SANDWICH STRUCTURES


by  
G.C. Manos

A thesis submitted to satisfy the requirements  
for the degree of Ph.D.

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author.  
No quotation from it should be published without  
his prior written consent and information derived  
from it should be acknowledged.

Department of Engineering Science  
University of Durham

1975



This work is dedicated to my father

"Τὰ πάντα ρεῖ "  
Ἡράκλειτος

## ABSTRACT

The finite element method was employed for the analysis of the polyhedral domed sandwich structures.

Two different variational approaches were used for comparison reasons. These are the "displacement formulation" and the "mixed formulation" as they are commonly known.

Initially seven sandwich plate bending models were developed. These models were used to solve a number of problems where a numerical or experimental solution existed and comparisons were made.

The agreement varied from fair to excellent depending on the nature of the model and the type of the solved problem.

As a result of this comparative study four of these models were consequently selected to be extended for the development of the sandwich dome models.

The accuracy of these four sandwich dome models was tested by modelling five polyhedral dome structures. The results derived from each individual model were compared with experimental results obtained by other researchers and by the author himself.

The author's contribution to the experimental work was the design, construction and subsequent testing of two full scale prototypes, namely, the 24 faced and the 36 faced domes.

From the whole analysis it was established that the developed numerical models, when selectively applied in the most appropriate way with regard to their special characteristics and the nature of the problem, produce reliable results.

Special problems were investigated arising from the boundary conditions as well as structural details of the joint-lines of the plates forming the polyhedron, and thus a solution was suggested.

Finally, a data generation routine is also described in order to facilitate further application of the various developed models by future users or researchers.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out under the supervision of Dr. G.M. Parton, lecturer in Structural Engineering at the Department of Engineering Science, University of Durham, to whom the author is greatly indebted for his constant guidance and assistance as well as his personal encouragement.

The author is also deeply grateful to Professor G.R. Higginson, Department of Engineering Science, University of Durham, for all his encouragement and help.

The author takes the opportunity of acknowledging his gratitude to Dr. P. Bettles lecturer at the Department of Civil Engineering, University College of Swansea, for all his help.

For their contribution to the experimental work the author would like to thank the technical staff of the Department of Engineering Science, University of Durham.

For their contribution to the various problems relevant to the development of the numerous computer programs, the author wishes to express his thanks to members of staff of the Computer Unit Department, University of Durham.

Thanks must also be expressed to the staff of Durham University Library.

Finally, the author thanks Mrs. J. Henderson and Miss J. Campbell for typing the manuscript.

## CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

<u>Chapter 1. Introduction</u>	1
1.1 Polyhedral Domed Structures	1
1.2 Sandwich Panels	2
1.3 The Finite Element Approach	3
<u>Chapter 2. Constitutive Equations for a Sandwich Plate</u>	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Mathematical Formulation	5
<u>Chapter 3. Variational Approach</u>	12
<u>Chapter 4. Variational Principles (Small Deflections)</u>	15
4.1 Definition of symbols and fundamental relationships	17
4.2 Displacement Models	21
4.3 Mixed Models	22
<u>Chapter 5. Models Employed in the Formation of the Sandwich Plate and Sandwich Dome Models</u>	28
5.1 Transverse displacement approximating models	28
5.1.1 Non-conforming triangular finite element in plate bending	29
5.1.2 Refined triangular plate bending (eighteen-degrees- of-freedom) finite element	30
5.1.3 Linear variation of the transverse displacement	31
5.1.4 Quadratic variation of the transverse displacement	31
5.1.5 Cubic variation of the transverse displacement	32
5.2 Transverse Shear Deformation approximating models	33
5.2.1 Linear variation of transverse shear deformation	33
5.2.2 Quadratic variation of transverse shear deformation	33
5.2.3 Cubic variation of transverse shear deformation	34
5.3 In plane displacement approximating models	35
5.3.1 Linear variation of the in plane displacements	35
5.3.2 Quadratic variation of the in plane displacements	35
5.3.3 Cubic variation of the in plane displacements	36
5.3.4 Cubic variation of the in plane displacements	37
5.4 Moments approximating models	38

5.4.1	Linear variation of moments	38
5.4.2	Quadratic variation of moments	39
5.5	Total rotation approximating models	40
<u>Chapter 6. Sandwich Plate Bending Models</u>		41
6.1	Displacement models	41
6.1.1	Deflection-shear model with 15 degrees of freedom	42
6.1.2	Deflection - shear model with 21 degrees of freedom	43
6.1.3	Deflection - shear model with 24 degrees of freedom	44
6.1.4	Deflection - shear model with 30 degrees of freedom	45
6.1.5	Total rotation model with 18 degrees of freedom	46
6.2	Mixed models	47
6.2.1	Mixed model with 12 degrees of freedom	47
6.2.2	Mixed model with 24 degrees of freedom	48
6.3	Symbols for the different elements	49
<u>Chapter 7. Dome Models</u>		51
7.1	Displacement models	52
7.1.1	Deflection shear model with 21 degrees of freedom	52
7.1.2	Deflection shear model with 33 degrees of freedom	53
7.1.3	Total rotation model with 30 degrees of freedom	53
7.2	Mixed models	54
7.2.1	Mixed model with 36 degrees of freedom	55
<u>Chapter 8. Transformation - condensation</u>		56
8.1	Transformation formulae	56
8.2	Transformation matrices for a plate	58
8.2.1	Displacement models	58
8.2.2	Mixed models	59
8.2.3	Rotation of an element	60
8.3	Transformation matrices for a dome model	62
8.4	Condensation	66
<u>Chapter 9. Experimental Work</u>		67
9.1	Introduction	67
9.2	Construction and Materials	67
9.3	Testing of the Domes	70
9.4	Displacement Measurements	72
<u>Chapter 10. Behaviour of the joint</u>		75
10.1	Introduction	75

10.2	Tests	75
10.3	Analysis of the function of the joint	76
10.3.1	Axial tensile strength	76
10.3.2	Strength under bending	76
10.4	Angular function of the joint under bending	78
10.5	Conclusion from the behaviour of the joint	79
<u>Chapter 11. Computer programs</u>		80
11.1	Introduction	80
11.2	Data generation routine input	82
<u>Chapter 12. Sandwich plate bending results</u>		91
12.1	Theoretical results	
12.1.1	Comparison with Dynamic Relaxation Method	91
12.1.2	Comparison with Finite Difference Method	92
12.1.3	Comparison with Finite Difference Method	92
12.1.4	Comparison with Finite Element Models	92
12.1.5	Comparison with Fourier Series Method	93
12.2	Experimental Results	93
12.2.1	Comparison with Sandwich Plates tested by Bettles	93
12.2.2	Comparison with triangular sandwich plates under concentrated load tested by Collins	94
12.3	Conclusions	94
12.4	The extension from plate to dome models	95
<u>Chapter 13. Results from the sandwich dome models</u>		97
13.1	Tetrahedral dome	98
13.2	Square pyramid	98
13.3	16 faced dome	99
13.4	24 faced dome	100
13.5	36 faced dome	101
<u>Chapter 14. Conclusions</u>		103

Notation

References

## APPENDICES

- I. Geometrical relationships for a triangle
- II. Formation of matrices (reference to PDS15, DDS21)
- III. Formation of matrices (reference to PDS24)
- IV. Formation of Stiffness Matrix for the model PMX24
- V. Formation of matrices (reference to PRO18, DRO30)
- VI. Numerical Integration
- VII. Listings of computer programs

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The present work is the product of the combination of three factors with a contemporary approach in the field of Structural Engineering.

First there is the cost factor which can be countered by mass-production. At the same time we are trying to fulfil two principles of modern Architecture. The first is that the Structure must be functioning in an optimum co-existence with the Environment and the Human (Functionalism). The second is that the Structure must have the flexibility to adapt to new developments and to continuously changing economical and social conditions. (Metabolism). [13,22,50,70,100]


The second factor is the formation and investigation of new structural materials. The main aim in a given Structural application is the optimisation of the use of the material achieved by improving the properties. [5,19,40,46,55,79]

Finally the third and last factor is the mathematical analysis of the problem which involves the modelling of the Structure by using new powerful computerised methods of analysis. [39,59,72,83,84,115]

### 1.1 Polyhedral domed structures

The polyhedral domed structures approximate to structurally efficient double-curvature surfaces by using flat plates, having at the same time the advantage of easy construction in comparison with the formation of the double-curvature surfaces themselves.

The domed structure is composed from as few types of flat plates as possible, in as far as the dimensions of the plates are concerned, so that the mass-production of the simplest construction-element can be employed.



The solution to a specific problem of space-coverage can be reached by various types of polyhedral domes. This provides considerable flexibility as regards the economic factors as well as aesthetic ones for the final choice.

For the above mentioned reasons it is believed that by the polyhedral domed Structures the Architectural principle of "Functionalism" is well treated.

On the other hand the assemblage of a number of plates (or group of plates) to form the whole structure includes the potentiality of an easy expansion or alteration. This presents an advantageous adaptability to new conditions so that the Architectural principle of "Metabolism" is also well preserved.

More detailed and extensive information about the geometry and construction of the polyedral domed structures is presented in reference [85].

### 1.2 Sandwich Panels

The present work is exclusively concerned with sandwich panels as construction elements for the polyhedral domed structures.

We define a sandwich panel as one which is a three-layer type of construction. It consists of two thin sheets of high stiffness material which are called the faces of the panel and between them is a thick layer of low average stiffness and density which is called the core of the panel. [3,20,46,85,89]

The most important advantages of sandwich construction are, firstly, that the ratio of high rigidity which can be achieved by the sandwich panels over the total dead weight of the construction is higher in comparison with conventional types of construction; secondly, the panels employed for the structure can easily be made and supplied by the industry in various types and dimensions and thirdly, the structure appears to have good thermal and acoustical insulation.

The materials used for the construction of the experimental prototypes and the work involved is to be presented in chapters 9,10.

### 1.3 The Finite element approach

The method which will be used to analyse the behaviour of the polyhedral domed sandwich structures is known as the finite element method. Its basic principle is the idea of piecewise approximating continuous fields.

The method is outlined by reference [84] to Professor Oden's presentation of the differences between the classical and the Finite element approach.

"..... Classically the analysis of continuous systems began with investigations of the properties of small differential elements of the continuum under investigation. Relationships were established among mean values of various quantities associated with the infinitesimal elements and partial differential equations governing the behaviour of the entire domain were obtained by allowing the dimensions of the elements to approach zero as the number of elements become infinitely larger.

In contrast to this classical approach the finite element method begins with investigations of the properties of elements of finite dimensions.

The equations describing the continuum may be employed in order to arrive at the properties of these elements, but the dimensions of the elements remain finite in the analysis, integrations are replaced by finite summations and the partial differential equations of the continuous media are replaced for example by systems of algebraic or ordinary differential equations.

The continuum with infinite degrees of freedom is thus represented by a discrete model which has finite degrees of freedom.

Moreover if certain conditions (to be outlined in Chapter 3) are satisfied, then as the number of elements is increased and their dimensions are decreased the behaviour of the discrete system converges to that of the continuous system.

Many numerical methods were developed before the era of electronic computers and are now adapted for use with these machines.

In contrast, the finite element method is a complete product of the electronic computer age. This is due to the fact that the method possesses certain characteristics that take full advantage of the facilities offered by the high-speed computers so that it can be systematically programmed to accommodate such complex and difficult problems as non-homogeneous materials, non-linear stress-strain behaviour and complicated boundary conditions.

## 2. CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS FOR A SANDWICH PLATE

### 2.1 Introduction

As was mentioned in Chapter 1 (section 3), first of all the equations describing the continuum must be formed.

We start with the fundamental equations for a plate and include the effects of the sandwich form of the plate taking into account certain assumptions (to be outlined in the next section).

The basic aim is to establish the constitutive equations for a sandwich plate in such a form that together with the variational principles (to be outlined in Chapter 3) we have all that is required for the finite elements analysis

### 2.2 Mathematical formulation

We consider an infinitesimal element of a sandwich plate and we write the relations between the stress-resultants and the stress tensor (Fig. 2.1) [53,78,102]

$$N_{ij} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} t_{ij} dz, \quad M_{ij} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} t_{ij} z dz, \quad Q_i = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} t_{iz} dz \quad (2.1)$$

(i, j = x, y)

We introduce the following assumptions [4,20,54,71,93,94,101]

1. The displacements  $u, v, w$  are constant across the thickness of the plate considering them individually.

2. For  $-\frac{c}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{c}{2}$  the stresses  $t_{xx}, t_{yy}, t_{xy} = 0$

For  $\frac{c}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{c}{2} + f$  the stresses  $t_{xz}, t_{yz} = 0$

and  $-\frac{c}{2} - f \leq z \leq -\frac{c}{2}$

which means that the contribution of the core to direct stresses is neglected as well as the contribution of the faces to shear stresses.

3. The following equations which relate the strain tensor with the displacements  $u, v, w$  (Fig.2.2) are valid (for  $r, s = x, y$ ). The subscripts after the comma denote derivatives.

$$e_{rs} = -w_{,sr} + \frac{c}{4} (c\gamma_{r,s} + c\gamma_{s,r}) + \epsilon_{rs} \text{ for } \frac{c}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{c}{2} + f$$

$$e_{rs} = -w_{,sr} - \frac{c}{4} (c\gamma_{r,s} + c\gamma_{s,r}) + \epsilon_{rs} \text{ for } -\frac{c}{2} - f \leq z \leq -\frac{c}{2}$$

$$e_{rz} = \frac{1}{2} c\gamma_r \text{ for } -\frac{c}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{c}{2} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\epsilon_{xx} = u_{,x} + \frac{1}{2} w_{,x}^2, \quad \epsilon_{yy} = v_{,y} + \frac{1}{2} w_{,y}^2,$

$$\epsilon_{xy} = u_{,y} + v_{,x} + w_{,x} w_{,y}$$

$$e_{zz} = 0.$$

4. The thickness of the faces,  $f$ , is much smaller than the thickness of the core,  $c$ .

5. For both the faces and the core if we consider symmetry with respect to the  $x$ - $y$  plane we have the following stress-strain relationship of any point in the plate in a matrix form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_{xx} \\ t_{yy} \\ t_{zz} \\ t_{yz} \\ t_{xz} \\ t_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{xx}^{xx} & C_{yy}^{xx} & C_{zz}^{xx} & \phi. & \phi. & C_{xy}^{xx} \\ & C_{yy}^{yy} & C_{zz}^{yy} & \phi. & \phi. & C_{xy}^{yy} \\ & & C_{zz}^{zz} & \phi. & \phi. & C_{xy}^{zz} \\ & & & C_{yz}^{yz} & C_{xz}^{yz} & \phi. \\ & & & & C_{xz}^{xz} & \phi. \\ & & & & & C_{xy}^{xy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{xx} \\ e_{yy} \\ e_{zz} \\ e_{yz} \\ e_{xz} \\ e_{xy} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

Symmetrical

Taking into account assumptions 2 and 3 we obtain from equations 2.1 the following

$$N_{ij} = \int_{-c/2-f}^{-c/2} t_{ij} dz + \int_{c/2}^{c/2+f} t_{ij} dz$$

$$M_{ij} = \int_{-c/2-f}^{-c/2} t_{ij} z dz + \int_{c/2}^{c/2+f} t_{ij} z dz \quad (2.4)$$

$$Q_i = \int_{-c/2}^{c/2} t_{iz} dz$$

Taking into account assumption 3 and equations (2.4) the relationship (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{xx} \\ t_{yy} \\ t_{xy} \\ t_{xz} \\ t_{yz} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} f_{xx}^{Cxx} & f_{yy}^{Cxx} & f_{xy}^{Cxx} & \phi. & \phi. \\ & f_{yy}^{Cyy} & f_{xy}^{Cyy} & \phi. & \phi. \\ & & f_{xy}^{Cxy} & \phi. & \phi. \\ & & & c_{xz}^{Cxz} & c_{yz}^{Cxz} \\ & & & & c_{yz}^{Cyz} \end{matrix} \\ \text{symmetrical} \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{xx} \\ e_{yy} \\ e_{xy} \\ e_{xz} \\ e_{yz} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij} &= f_{rs}^{Cij} e_{rs} \\ t_{ij} &= c_{rz}^{Ciz} e_{rz} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

(for  $i, j, r, s = x, y$ )

where the prefix of f or c on the elastic constants refers to the faces or the core respectively

Substituting equations (2.6) into equations (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij} &= f_{rs}^{Cij} \int_{-c/2}^{-f} e_{rs} dz + f_{rs}^{Cij} \int_{c/2}^{c/2+f} e_{rs} dz \\ M_{ij} &= f_{rs}^{Cij} \int_{-c/2}^{-f} e_{rs} z dz + f_{rs}^{Cij} \int_{c/2}^{c/2+f} e_{rs} z dz \\ Q_i &= c_{rz}^{Ciz} \int_{-c/2}^{c/2} e_{rz} dz \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Taking into account assumption 3 with regard to equations (2.2) and evaluating of the integrals we obtain the following equations which relate the stress resultants for a sandwich plate to the displacements or the derivative of the displacements of the mid surface of the plate. These will be used in the finite elements analysis.

$$N_{ij} = c_{rs}^{ij} 2f \epsilon_{rs}$$

$$M_{ij} = f c_{rs}^{ij} \left[ 2w_{,rs} \left( \frac{c^2 f}{4} + \frac{c f^2}{2} + \frac{f^3}{3} \right) - (\gamma_{r,s} + \gamma_{s,r}) \left( \frac{c^2 f}{4} + \frac{c f^2}{2} + \frac{f^3}{4} \right) \right] \quad (2.8)$$

$$Q_i = c_{rz}^{iz} \frac{c+f}{2} \gamma_r$$

where  $\gamma_r = \frac{c}{c+f} c \gamma_r$

At this point, taking the validity of assumption 4 into account, we obtain the following equations in a matrix form

$M_{xx}$	=	$D_{xx}^{xx}$	$D_{yy}^{xx}$	$D_{xy}^{xx}/2$						$a_{xx}$	(2.9)
$M_{yy}$		$D_{xx}^{yy}$	$D_{yy}^{yy}$	$D_{xy}^{yy}/2$						$a_{yy}$	
$M_{xy}$		$D_{xx}^{xy}$	$D_{yy}^{xy}$	$D_{xy}^{xy}/2$						$a_{xy}$	
$Q_x$					$S_{xz}^{xz}$	$S_{yz}^{xz}$				$\gamma_x$	
$Q_y$					$S_{xz}^{yz}$	$S_{yz}^{yz}$				$\gamma_y$	
$N_{xx}$							$E_{xx}^{xx}$	$E_{yy}^{xx}$	$E_{xy}^{xx}$	$\epsilon_{xx}$	
$N_{yy}$							$E_{xx}^{yy}$	$E_{yy}^{yy}$	$E_{xy}^{yy}$	$\epsilon_{yy}$	
$N_{xy}$							$E_{xx}^{xy}$	$E_{yy}^{xy}$	$E_{xy}^{xy}$	$\epsilon_{xy}$	

$$a_{xx} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w_{,x} - \gamma_x) , \quad a_{yy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (w_{,y} - \gamma_y) , \quad a_{xy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (w_{,x} - \gamma_x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w_{,y} - \gamma_y) \quad (2.10)$$

$$D_{rs}^{ij} = -\frac{C_{rs}^{ij}}{f} \frac{f}{2} (c+f)^2 , \quad S_{rz}^{iz} = \frac{C_{rz}^{iz}}{c} \frac{c+f}{2} , \quad E_{rs}^{ij} = \frac{C_{rs}^{ij}}{f} 2f \quad (2.11)$$

The constants  $D_{rs}^{ij}$  ,  $S_{rz}^{iz}$  ,  $E_{rs}^{ij}$  can be evaluated using suitable experimental methods. [20,46]

For orthotropic faces and core the relationship (2.9) becomes

$M_{xx}$	=	$D_{xx}^{xx}$	$D_{yy}^{xx}$	$\phi.$						$a_{xx}$	
$M_{yy}$		$D_{xx}^{yy}$	$D_{yy}^{yy}$	$\phi.$						$a_{yy}$	
$M_{xy}$		$\phi.$	$\phi.$	$D_{xy}^{xy}/2$						$a_{xy}$	
$Q_x$					$S_{xz}^{xz}$					$\gamma_x$	
$Q_y$						$S_{yz}^{yz}$				$\gamma_y$	
$N_{xx}$							$E_{xx}^{xx}$	$E_{yy}^{xx}$	$\phi.$	$\epsilon_{xx}$	
$N_{yy}$							$E_{xx}^{yy}$	$E_{yy}^{yy}$	$\phi.$	$\epsilon_{yy}$	
$N_{xy}$							$\phi.$	$\phi.$	$E_{xy}^{xy}$	$\epsilon_{xy}$	

(2.12)

So by equations (2.12) we can relate the stress resultants with the strains through an operator which can be written in a shorter matrix form as

$$\{\sigma\} = [D] \{\epsilon\} \quad (2.13)$$

$\{\sigma\}$  is the stress resultants vector

{ $\epsilon$ } the strain vector which is related through equations (2.2), (2.10) with the displacements of the midsurface  $u, v, w$  and their derivatives.

[D] is an operator called the elasticity matrix. The terms of this matrix, as we have already mentioned, can be determined by certain experimental methods




FIG. 2.1.1. SIGN CONVENTION, DISPLACEMENT MODELS




FIG. 2.1.2. SIGN CONVENTION, MIXED MODELS





FIG. 2.2. KINEMATICS

### 3. VARIATIONAL APPROACH

In this chapter some elements of variational calculus are presented. These principles are used in the subsequent analysis.

Consider an expression of the form:-

$$I = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} F(x, w, \frac{dw}{dx}, \frac{d^2w}{dx^2}) dx \quad (3.1)$$

This expression is generally known as a "functional" and in the analysis of solid continua is an expression with regard to a specific physical state (potential energy, complementary energy etc. to be outlined in Chapter 4). [39,72,80,88,115]

The basic aim is to find a function  $w(x)$  satisfying the boundary conditions and being such that the functional is rendered stationary.

This is expressed as follows:

$$\delta I = \phi \quad (3.2)$$

(where  $\delta$  is the variation operator)

Following a certain procedure [39,72] we eventually obtain the expressions:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial w} - \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (dw/dx)} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (d^2w/dx^2)} \right) = \phi \quad (3.3)$$

The above is known as the Euler-Lagrange equation. Also, in addition to the above, the following may be obtained

$$\left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial (dw/dx)} - \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (dw/dx)} \right) \right]_{x_1}^{x_2} = \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial (d^2w/dx^2)} \right]_{x_1}^{x_2} = \phi \quad (3.4)$$

This is known as "the natural boundary conditions".

If they are satisfied they are called "free boundary conditions" or else if one of them is not satisfied then a corresponding set of equations must be satisfied instead. The latter are called "geometric boundary conditions" or "forced boundary conditions".

Instead of trying to solve the governing differential equation(3.3) we form a close approximation of the functional which is noted as the  $\bar{I}$  (the dash above the symbol indicates the approximate one of the same nature). Hence if we find a solution  $\bar{w}(x)$ , for the functional  $\bar{I}$  it can be assumed that this solution will be close enough to the exact solution  $w(x)$  as well. Following the approximate solution approach the analysis proceeds as follows:

Firstly, by assuming a mathematical expression for the unknown function  $\bar{w}(x)$ , preferably a polynomial of  $x$ , so that the functional  $\bar{I}$  becomes a function of the unknown coefficients of the polynomial.

Thus  $\delta \bar{I} = \phi$  can be expressed and satisfied by the following set of equations 
$$\frac{\partial \bar{I}}{\partial a_i} = \phi. \tag{3.5}$$

( $a_i$  are the coefficients of the polynomial)

The use of a polynomial of  $x$  for expressing  $\bar{w}$ , possesses the advantage of an easy mathematical manipulation.

Secondly, by performing the integration, summing the subintegrals, of the function  $\bar{I}$ , of a finite number of subdomains which form the whole domain (finite elements).

Thus, combining the finite element method (outlined in Chapter 1 section 3) with the variational approach, the primary functional may be related to the individual element rather than the total domain. Hence the geometry of the overall body and the system of the boundary conditions are not unsolved obstacles, even for highly complex problems, as they were in the classical Rayleigh-Ritz approach, from which the finite element method is derived.

The polynomial for the unknown function mentioned above must be such that certain conditions are satisfied and consequently the convergence towards the exact solution can be achieved.

These conditions vary with the nature of the functional and the variational principle which is to be employed [72,80,81,82,83,84,87, 99,104,115]

These conditions can, however, be described in general as follows:

- (a) The number of coefficients (terms) of the polynomial selected to represent the unknown function must be at least equal to the number of the degrees of freedom associated with the element.
- (b) The chosen function should provide compatibility of certain quantities across element interfaces.
- (c) A rigid body deformation and a constant curvature state should be included in the polynomial.
- (d) The assumed function must be continuous and be differentiable to an order consistent with the variational principle expressing the problem.

4. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES (SMALL DEFLECTIONS)

The various approaches in the finite element analysis of solid continua are associated with several variational principles of solid mechanics, thus introducing different types of finite element methods.

These types have been classified as follows:

(a) The first derives from the principle of minimum potential energy and is based on the assumption of a continuous displacement field over the entire solid. The various models based in this approach are known as "displacement models".

39,41,43,72,74,  
80,81,82,87,88,  
97,104,115]

(b) The second derives from the principle of minimum complementary energy and is based on assumed equilibrium stress fields. The various models based in this approach are known as "the equilibrium models".

[5,39,41,43,45,  
72,77,80,81,82,  
86,88,104]

(c) The third derives from a modified complementary energy principle with assumed stress functions within the element and displacement functions at the element interfaces.

14,34,39,72,80,  
81,82,88,104]

The various models based in this approach are known as the "hybrid models".

(d) The fourth derives from Reissner's-variational principle with assumed continuous displacement field over the entire solid and assumed stress field for individual elements.

38,39,72,80,81  
82,88,95,104]

The various models based in this approach are known as "the mixed models".

The models used in the present analysis are based either in the first or fourth approach. At the following sections the mathematical formulation of the first and fourth approach are presented in detail

4.1. DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS AND FUNDAMENTAL RELATIONSHIPS

$\{\delta_o^e\}$  overall vector of nodal degrees of freedom for an element

$\{\delta_o^w\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom as far as the transverse displacement models are concerned

$\{M_o^e\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom as far as the moment-models are concerned

$\{\delta_o^s\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom as far as the shear-models are concerned

$\{\delta_o^{uv}\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom as far as the in-plane displacement models are concerned

$\{\delta_o^\theta\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom as far as the total rotation models are concerned

$\{\delta_o\}$  vector of general displacements within an element corresponding to the nodal degrees of freedom

[N] shape functions matrix relating the general displacement vector  $\{\delta_o\}$  with the general vector of nodal degrees of freedom  $\{\delta_o^e\}$

$$\{\delta_o\} = [N] \{\delta_o^e\} \quad (4.1)$$

$\{\epsilon\}$  strains vector, as described in Chapter 2, section 2 by the equations (2.9), (2.10), (2.12), (2.13)

[B] strain-displacement matrix relating the strains vector  $\{\epsilon\}$  with the nodal degrees of freedom vector  $\{\delta_o^e\}$

$$\{\epsilon\} = [B] \{\delta_o^e\} \quad (4.2)$$

$\{\sigma\}$  stress-resultants vector as described in Chapter 2, section 2 by the equations (2.1), (2.9), (2.10), (2.12), (2.13), (Fig. 2.1)

[D] elasticity matrix relating the stress-resultants vector  $\{\sigma\}$  with the strains vector  $\{\epsilon\}$  as described in Chapter 2, section 2 by the equations (2.9), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13)

$$\{\sigma\} = [D] \{\epsilon\}$$

[C] elasticity matrix relating the strains vector  $\{\epsilon\}$  with the stress-resultants vector  $\{\sigma\}$

$$\{\epsilon\} = [C] \{\sigma\} \quad (4.3)$$

$$[C]_b = [D]_b^{-1}, \quad D_b = D_{xx}^{xx} \cdot D_{yy}^{yy} - D_{yy}^{xx} \cdot D_{xx}^{yy} \quad (4.4)$$

$[S_n^o]$  stress matrix relating the stress-resultants vector

$\{\sigma\}$  with the vector of nodal degrees of freedom  $\{\delta_o^e\}$ .

$$\{\sigma\} = [S_n^o] \{\delta_o^e\} \quad (4.5)$$

w transverse displacement (corresponding to z axis)  
(Fig.2.2) known otherwise as deflection

u in plane displacement (corresponding to x axis)  
(Fig. 2.2)

v in plane displacement (corresponding to y axis)  
(Fig. 2.2)

- $\theta_x, \theta_y$  total rotation of the cross sections  $zx, zy$  respectively (Fig. 2.2)
- $w',_x, w',_y$  first and second derivatives of the transverse displacement with respect to  $x$  or  $y$  axis (Physical meaning slopes and curvatures)
- $w',_{xx}, w',_{xy}, w',_{yy}$
- $\phi_x, \phi_y$  transverse shear deformation of the  $zx, zy$  respectively identical with symbols used before as shear strains  $\gamma_x, \gamma_y$  for the cross section  $zx, zy$  respectively (Fig. 2.2)
- $\{M_{ij}\} (i, j = x, y)$  moments vector
- $\{Q_i\} (i = x, y)$  shear forces vector
- $\{N_{ij}\} (i, j = x, y)$  in plane forces vector
- $\{\bar{R}_o\}$  prescribed nodal force vector (corresponding with the displacement vector  $\{\delta_o^{eh}\}$  as far as the work product is concerned)
- $\bar{M}, \bar{Q}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{w}$  prescribed quantities of the same nature as the ones noted above
- $\bar{P} = \begin{Bmatrix} \bar{P}_x \\ \bar{P}_y \\ \bar{P}_z \end{Bmatrix}$  distributed load vector (corresponding to the axes  $x, y, z$  respectively)
- $A_n$  Area of the  $n^{th}$  element

- $S_{o,n}$  portion of the boundary where  $(M_{nn}, M_{ns}, Q_n)$   
are prescribed
- $S_{n,n}$  portion of the boundary where  $(w, \theta_n, \theta_s)$   
are prescribed
- $[K_n^o]$  the stiffness matrix of the nth element with respect  
to the local system
- $[R_n^o]$  the load vector of the nth element with respect  
to the local system
- $[K_n]$  the stiffness matrix of the nth element with respect to  
the global system
- $\{R_n\}$  the load vector of the nth element with respect  
to the global system
- $\{\delta^e\}$  the overall nodal degrees of freedom vector
- $[K]$  the overall stiffness matrix
- $[R]$  the overall load vector

#### 4.2 Displacement-models

For the displacement models the functional which is employed is the potential energy of the continuum. The condition enforced through the variational principle, is such that it minimises the potential energy. The polynomials employed to approximate the unknown functions of the functional, are functions of certain nodal values (degrees of freedom) which from the structural analysis point of view are displacement or derivatives of the displacements. [8,17,21,29,30,36,44,63,65,74,115] Continuity, compatability and completeness requirements will be discussed for each individual model.

The potential energy for a sandwich plate is

$$I = \sum_1^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \iint_{A_n} \{\epsilon\}^T \{\sigma\} dA - \iint_{A_n} \{\delta_o\}^T \{\bar{P}\} dA - \{\delta_o^e\}^T \{\bar{R}_o\} \right\} \quad (4.6)$$

for the finite element approximation all the parameters must be expressed in the functional as functions of the unknown nodal values using the notation of Chapter 4, section 1).

For the diplsacements models the vector  $\{\delta_o^e\}$  includes as nodal degrees of freedom displacements and derivatives of the displacements.

After the substitutions the functional has the form:-

$$\bar{I} = \sum_1^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \{\delta_o^e\}^T \iint_{A_n} [B]^T [D] [B] dA \{\delta_o^e\} - \{\delta_o^e\}^T \iint_{A_n} [N]^T \{\bar{P}\} dA - \{\delta_o^e\}^T \{\bar{R}_o\} \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the summation symbol and n the number of the elements).

(Appendices II and III provide more details of the nature of the matrices involved in the above expression in the form they have been developed for the present applications.)

By assuming that the following equations are valid:-

$$[K_n^O] = \iint_{A_n} [B]^T [D] [B] dA \quad \{R_n^O\} = \iint_{A_n} [N]^T \{\bar{P}\} dA + \{\bar{R}_O\} \quad (4.8)$$

the expression (4.7) becomes:-

$$\bar{I} = \sum_1^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \{\delta_o^e\}^T [K_n^O] \{\delta_o^e\} - \{\delta_o^e\}^T \{R_n^O\} \right\} \quad (4.9)$$

Applying the variation of the functional  $\bar{I}$  in the form

$$\frac{\partial \bar{I}}{\partial \{\delta_o^e\}} = \phi. \quad (4.10)$$

one obtains:-

$$\sum_1^n \left\{ [K_n^O] \{\delta_o^e\} - \{R_n^O\} \right\} = \phi. \quad (4.11)$$

or

$$[K] \{\delta^e\} - \{R\} = \phi. \quad (4.12)$$

### 4.3 Mixed models

For the mixed models the functional is of a different form than the one used for the displacement models.

The conditions enforced through the variational principle leads to a stationary value of the functional. The polynomials employed to approximate the unknown functions are functions of certain nodal values (degrees of freedom) which are from the structural analysis point of view displacement or derivatives of the displacement as well as stresses. [25,33,56,57,80,81,82,87,90,91,95,105,106,107]

The continuity, compatibility and completeness requirements can vary.

The functional has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 I = \sum_1^n & \left\{ \iint_{A_n} \left( \{\sigma_b\} \{\theta_o\}^T - \frac{1}{2} \{\sigma_b\}^T [C_b] \{\sigma_b\} + \frac{1}{2} \{\epsilon_{uv}\}^T [D_{uv}] \{\sigma_{uv}\} \right) dA \right. \\
 & - \iint_{A_n} \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{Bmatrix} \{\bar{P}\}^T dA - \{\delta_o^e\}^T \{\bar{R}_o\} \\
 & - \int_{S_{o,n}} (\bar{M}_{nn} \theta_n + \bar{M}_{ns} \theta_s + \bar{Q}_n w) ds \\
 & \left. - \int_{S_{n,n}} [M_{nn} (\theta_n - \bar{\theta}_n) + M_{ns} (\theta_s - \bar{\theta}_s) + Q_n (w - \bar{w})] ds \right\} \quad (4.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

(See equations 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13)

$$\text{where } \{\theta_o\}^T = \left\{ -\theta_{x,x'}, -\theta_{y,y'}, -(\theta_{x,y'} + \theta_{y,x'}), -\theta_x + w_{,x'}, -\theta_y + w_{,y'} \right\}$$

$$\{\sigma_b\}^T = \left\{ M_{xx'}, M_{yy'}, M_{xy'}, Q_{x'}, Q_{y'} \right\}$$

(4.14)

$$\{\epsilon_{uv}\}^T = \left\{ \epsilon_{xx'}, \epsilon_{yy'}, \epsilon_{xy'} \right\}$$

$$\{\sigma_{uv}\}^T = \left\{ N_{xx'}, N_{yy'}, N_{xy'} \right\}$$

$$[C_b] = [D_b]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D^{YY}}{D_o} & -\frac{D^{XX}}{D_o} & \phi & \phi & \phi \\ -\frac{D^{YY}}{D_o} & \frac{D^{XX}}{D_o} & \phi & \phi & \phi \\ \phi & \phi & \frac{2}{D_{xy}^{xy}} & \phi & \phi \\ \phi & \phi & \phi & \frac{1}{S_{xz}^{xz}} & \phi \\ \phi & \phi & \phi & \phi & \frac{1}{S_{yz}^{yz}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.15)$$

$$[D_{uv}] = \begin{bmatrix} E_{xx}^{xx} & E_{yy}^{xx} & \phi \\ E_{xx}^{yy} & E_{yy}^{yy} & \phi \\ \phi & \phi & E_{xy}^{xy} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.16)$$

The following expression is obtained as the functional using equations (4.13), assuming continuity for  $M_{nn}, M_{ns}, w, u, v$  across element interfaces, following the procedure of [25,33,56,57,80,81,82,87,90,91,95,105,107].

$$I = \sum_1^n \left\{ \iint_{A_n} \left( \{\sigma_b\}^T \{\theta_1\} - \frac{1}{2} \{\sigma_b\}^T [C_b] \{\sigma_b\} + \frac{1}{2} \{\epsilon_{uv}\}^T [D_{uv}] \{\sigma_{uv}\} \right) dA \right. \\ \left. - \iint_{A_n} \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{Bmatrix} \{\bar{P}\}^T dA - \{\delta_o\} \{\bar{R}_o\} \right. \\ \left. - \int_{S_{o,n}} \bar{Q}_n w ds + \int_{S_{n,n}} (M_{nn} \bar{\theta}_n + M_{ns} \bar{\theta}_s) ds \right\} \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$\{\theta_1\}^T = \{ \phi, \phi, \phi, w_x, w_y \} \quad (4.18)$$

for the finite elements approximation all the parameters must be expressed in the functional as functions of the unknown nodal values using the notation of Chapter 4, section 1). (For more details see Appendices II and IV).

For the mixed models the nodal degrees of freedom are displacements together with moments.

After the substitutions the functional has the form

$$\bar{I} = \sum_1^n \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \{M_o^e\}^T [K_n^{mw}] \{\delta_o^w\} + \frac{1}{2} \{M_o^e\}^T [K_n^{mq}] \{M_o^e\} + \frac{1}{2} \{\delta_o^{uv}\}^T [K_{uv}] \{\delta_o^{uv}\} \\ & - \{M_o^e\} \{R_n^m\} - \{\delta_o^w\} \{R_n^w\} - \{\delta_o^{uv}\} \{R_n^{uv}\} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.19)$$

Applying the variation of the functional  $\bar{I}$  in the form

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{I}}{\partial \{\delta_o^{uv}\}} &= \phi. \\ \frac{\partial \bar{I}}{\partial \{\delta_o^w\}} &= \phi. \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Force-displacements relationships} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{I}}{\partial \{M_o^e\}} = \phi. \quad \text{Equilibrium equations} \quad (4.21)$$

one obtains

$$\sum_1^n \left\{ [K_n^o] \{\delta_o^e\} - \{R_n^o\} \right\} = \phi. \quad (4.22)$$

$$[K_n^o] = \begin{bmatrix} [K_n^{uv}] & [\phi.] & [\phi.] \\ [\phi.] & [\phi.] & [K_n^{mw}]^T \\ [\phi.] & [K_n^{mw}] & [K_n^{mq}] \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.23)$$

$$\{\delta_o^e\} = \begin{pmatrix} \{\delta_o^{uv}\} \\ \{\delta_o^w\} \\ \{M_o^e\} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.24)$$

$$\{R_n^o\} = \begin{pmatrix} \{R_n^{uv}\} \\ \{R_n^w\} \\ \{R_n^m\} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.25)$$

or

$$[K] \{\delta_o^e\} - \{R\} = \phi. \quad (4.26)$$

Thus a system of linear simultaneous equations is obtained for the mixed models, which are of a similar form to those obtained for the displacement models (4.12).

The stiffness matrix  $[K]$  for both the displacements and the mixed models is symmetric and positively definite. When the boundary conditions are introduced the stiffness matrix becomes nonsingular.

Thus a solution can be obtained by using one of the techniques for solving a large system of equations taking advantage of the symmetry and the banded nature of the stiffness matrix [64,75,108]

The various characteristics and advantages of the different techniques are presented in references [39,72,115].

The technique employed in the present analysis is a modification of the frontal solution [20] as it has been developed by BETTESS, compatible with the M.T.S. system (N.U.M.A.C.). There is also a version of the same technique with the same alteration compatible with the OS system in Cambridge.

The frontal solution technique has been proved advantageous due to the nature of the analysed problems involving a very large number of unknowns and complex boundary conditions.

It has been combined with a data generation programme (to be outlined in Chapter 11) which reduces effectively the amount of work required for the solution of a specific problem.

5. MODELS EMPLOYED IN THE FORMATION OF THE SANDWICH PLATE  
AND SANDWICH DOME MODELS

As it has been presented in Chapter 4 starting from the functionals (4.6), (4.13) and following the variational approach outlined in Chapter 3 a system of linear simultaneous equations can be obtained (4.12), (4.26). According to this approach the different parameters of the functional are approximated with different finite element models which are to be presented in this chapter. The various models for the sandwich plate and sandwich dome problems are composed by suitable combinations of the various basic models which can be classified in the following five groups.

- (a) Transverse displacement approximating models ( $w$ )
- (b) Transverse shear deformation approximating models ( $\phi_x, \phi_y$ )
- (c) In-plane displacements approximating models ( $u, v$ )
- (d) Moments approximating models ( $M_{xx}, M_{yy}, M_{xy}$ )
- (e) Total rotations approximating models ( $\theta_x, \theta_y$ )

All the above five groups of finite elements in the present analysis are of triangular shape with corner nodes and may also have mid-side or centre nodes (Fig.5.1÷5.15).The triangular shaped models have the potentiality of being applicable to any shape of structure in the interest of the present work.

5.1 Transverse displacement approximating models

Numerous models have been developed for the analysis of classical plate bending (shear deformation neglected). The following have been chosen to be employed in the present analysis as they have been proved successful. [9,10,11,17,18,24,29,30,35, 36,44,48,49,63,92,97,111,112,113,114,115]

5.1.1 Non-conforming triangular finite element in plate bending

This element has been presented first in 1965 [12]

Further investigation of its characteristics has been accomplished by several applications [26,115] Through the conclusions which have been obtained this element has been proved to be simple and successful. The discontinuity of normal slope across the interelement boundaries doesnot prevent the element from yielding accuracy and convergence occurs for regular element sub-divisions.

The transverse displacement  $w$  has been given by the relationship




Fig. 5.1

(5.1)

$$\{\delta_0^w\}^T = [w_1, w_{,x1}, w_{,y1}, w_2, w_{,x2}, w_{,y2}, w_3, w_{,x3}, w_{,y3}] \quad (5.2)$$

subscript  $x, y$  indicates derivatives of  $x, y$  respectively and the number  $(1 \div 3)$  indicates the relevant node

$$[N^w] = [N_1^w, N_2^w, N_3^w, N_4^w, N_5^w, N_6^w, N_7^w, N_8^w, N_9^w] \quad (5.3)$$

$$N_1^w = L_1 + L_1^2 L_2 + L_1^2 L_3 - L_1 L_2^2 - L_1 L_3^2 \Rightarrow N_4^w, N_7^w \text{ from } N_1^w \text{ with}$$

circle-symmetrical substitution of subscripts 1, 2, 3

$$N_2^w = C_3(L_1^2 L_2 + \frac{1}{2} L_1 L_2 L_3) - C_2(L_1^2 L_3 + \frac{1}{2} L_1 L_2 L_3) \Rightarrow N_5^w, N_6^w \text{ from } N_2^w \text{ as above}$$

$$N_3^w = b_2(L_1^2 L_3 + \frac{1}{2} L_1 L_2 L_3) - b_3(L_1^2 L_2 + \frac{1}{2} L_1 L_2 L_3) \Rightarrow N_8^w, N_9^w \text{ from } N_3^w \text{ as above} \quad (5.4)$$

(see Appendix I for the geometric symbols in use).

5.1.2 Refined triangular plate bending (eighteen-degrees-of-freedom) finite element

The variation of the transverse displacement for this element is a quintic polynomial of  $x, y$  (or  $L_1, L_2, L_3$ ). It derives from the full quintic polynomial of 21 terms assuming cubic variation of the normal slope  $w_{n_i}$  along the interelement boundaries (see Appendix III). The main advantages of the refined element is that ensuring continuity of the normal slope the convergence is much faster, thus good accuracy can be obtained for coarse mesh idealizations. [18,35,36,37,115]

The discontinuity of the normal curvature and hence bending moment are much smaller than lower order elements. The disadvantage of this element is the difficulty in applying the boundary conditions due to the existence of higher order derivatives of the transverse displacements




Fig. 5.2

$$w = [N^w] \{\delta_o^w\} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\{\delta_o^w\}^T = [w_i, w_{,xi}, w_{,yi}, w_{,xxi}, w_{,xyi}, w_{,yyi}, \dots]_{i=1 \div 3} \tag{5.6}$$

$$[N^w] = [F] [T]^{-1} \tag{5.7}$$

the formation of the matrices  $[F]$  and  $[T]^{-1}$  is given in Appendix III.

5.1.3 Linear variation of the transverse displacement

This model is to be used in the mixed formulation

[39,59,72,105,115]

(See Appendix IV).




Fig. 5.3

$$w = [N^w] \{\delta_o^w\} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\{\delta_o^w\}^T = [w_1, w_2, w_3] \quad (5.9)$$

$$[N^w] = [L_1, L_2, L_3] \quad (5.10)$$

5.1.4 Quadratic variation of the transverse displacement

This model is also to be used in the mixed formulation

[39,59,72,105,115]

(See Appendix IV).




Fig. 5.4

$$w = [N^w] \{\delta_o^w\} \quad (5.11)$$

$$\{\delta_o^w\}^T = [w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6] \quad (5.12)$$

$$[N^w] = [(2L_1-1)L_1, (2L_2-1)L_2, (2L_3-1)L_3, 4L_2L_3, 4L_1L_3, 4L_1L_2] \quad (5.13)$$

5.1.5 Cubic variation of the transverse displacement

This element is to be used in the rotation element

(see Chapter 6 )

[39,59,72,105,115]




Fig. 5.5

$$w = [N^w] \{\delta_o^w\} \quad (5.14)$$

$$\{\delta_o^w\}^T = \{w_i, \dots\}_{i=1 \div 7} \quad (5.15)$$

$$[N^w] = [N_i^w, \dots]_{i=1 \div 7} \quad (5.16)$$

$$N_1^w = (2L_1 - 1)L_1 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_2^w = (2L_2 - 1)L_2 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_3^w = (2L_3 - 1)L_3 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_4^w = 4L_2L_3 - 12L_1L_2L_3 \quad (5.17)$$

$$N_5^w = 4L_1L_3 - 12L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_6^w = 4L_1L_2 - 12L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_7^w = 27L_1L_2L_3$$

5.2 Transverse shear deformation approximating models.  
[39,59,72,105,115]

5.2.1 Linear variation of transverse shear deformation.

$$\phi_x = [N^S] \{\delta_1^S\} \quad (5.18)$$

$$\phi_y = [N^S] \{\delta_2^S\} \quad (5.19)$$




Fig. 5.6

$$\{\delta_1^S\}^T = [\phi_{x1}, \phi_{x2}, \phi_{x3}] \quad (5.20)$$

$$\{\delta_2^S\}^T = [\phi_{y1}, \phi_{y2}, \phi_{y3}] \quad (5.21)$$

$$[N^S] = [L_1, L_2, L_3] \quad (5.22)$$

5.2.2 Quadratic variation of transverse shear deformation

$$\phi_x = [N^S] \{\delta_1^S\} \quad (5.23)$$

$$\phi_y = [N^S] \{\delta_2^S\} \quad (5.24)$$




Fig. 5.7

$$\{\delta_1^S\}^T = [\phi_{xi}, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (5.25)$$


$$\{\delta_2^S\}^T = [\phi_{yi}, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (5.26)$$

$$[N^S] = [(2L_1-1)L_1, (2L_2-1)L_2, (2L_3-1)L_3, 4L_2L_3, 4L_1L_3, 4L_1L_2] \quad (5.27)$$

5.2.3 Cubic variation of transverse shear deformation.

$$\phi_x = [N^S] \{\delta_1^S\} \quad (5.28)$$

$$\phi_y = [N^S] \{\delta_2^S\} \quad (5.29)$$



$$\{\delta_1^S\}^T = \{\phi_{xi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (5.30)$$

$$\{\delta_2^S\}^T = \{\phi_{yi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (5.31)$$

$$[N^S] = [N_i^S, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (5.32)$$

$$N_1^S = (2L_1 - 1)L_1 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_2^S = (2L_2 - 1)L_2 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_3^S = (2L_3 - 1)L_3 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_4^S = 4L_2L_3 - 12L_1L_2L_3 \quad (5.33)$$

$$N_5^S = 4L_1L_3 - 12L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_6^S = 4L_1L_2 - 12L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_7^S = 27L_1L_2L_3$$

5.3 In plane displacement approximating models

The first successful examples of the application of the finite element method were the two dimensional elastic problems of plane stress. The majority of the various existing finite elements are based on the displacement approach [7,8,28,38,39,42,58,59,72,103,105,111,115] although there are several finite elements based on different approaches

In the present analysis four displacement triangular models have been chosen as the most suitable and simple.

5.3.1 Linear variation of the in plane displacements




Fig. 5.9

$$u = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_1^{uv}\} \tag{5.34}$$

$$v = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_2^{uv}\} \tag{5.35}$$

$$\{\delta_1^{uv}\}^T = [u_1, u_2, u_3] \tag{5.36}$$

$$\{\delta_2^{uv}\}^T = [v_1, v_2, v_3] \tag{5.37}$$

$$[N^{uv}] = [L_1, L_2, L_3] \tag{5.38}$$

(see Appendix II for the formation of  $[B^{uv}]$  matrix.)

5.3.2 Quadratic variation of the in plane displacements




Fig. 5.10

$$u = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_1^{uv}\} \tag{5.39}$$

$$v = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_2^{uv}\} \tag{5.40}$$

$$\{\delta_1^{uv}\}^T = [u_i, \dots]_{i=1 \div 6} \quad (5.41)$$

$$\{\delta_2^{uv}\}^T = [v_i, \dots]_{i=1 \div 6} \quad (5.42)$$

$$[N^{uv}] = [(2L_1-1)L_1, (2L_2-1)L_2, (2L_3-1)L_3, 4L_2L_3, 4L_1L_3, 4L_1L_2] \quad (5.43)$$

### 5.3.3 Cubic variation of the in plane displacements

The variation along the interelement boundaries is quadratic. This element has been developed to cope with transformation difficulties along plate interconnections for the dome structures.




Fig. 5.11

$$u = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_1^{uv}\} \quad (5.44)$$

$$v = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_2^{uv}\} \quad (5.45)$$

$$\{\delta_1^{uv}\}^T = [u_1, u_2, u_3, u_{SS4}, u_{SS5}, u_{SS6}, u_7] \quad (5.46)$$

$$\{\delta_2^{uv}\}^T = [v_1, v_2, v_3, v_{SS4}, v_{SS5}, v_{SS6}, v_7] \quad (5.47)$$

where

$$u_{SS} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{s}^2}, \quad v_{SS} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \bar{s}^2} \quad (5.48)$$

(where  $\bar{s}$  is the vector along the sides  $\vec{23}$ ,  $\vec{31}$ ,  $\vec{12}$ )

(see also Appendix I (8)).

$$[N^{uv}] = [N_i^{uv}, \dots]_{i=1 \div 7} \quad (5.49)$$

$$N_1^{uv} = L_1 - 9L_1L_2L_3, \quad N_2^{uv} = L_2 - 9L_1L_2L_3, \quad N_3^{uv} = L_3 - 9L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_4^{uv} = -0.5L_2L_3 + 1.5L_1L_2L_3, \quad N_5^{uv} = -0.5L_1L_3 + 1.5L_1L_2L_3, \quad N_6^{uv} = 0.5L_1L_2 + 1.5L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_7^{uv} = 27L_1L_2L_3$$

$$(5.50)$$

5.3.4 Cubic variation of the in plane-displacements.

$$u = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_i^{uv}\} \quad (5.51)$$

$$v = [N^{uv}] \{\delta_2^{uv}\} \quad (5.52)$$




Fig. 5.12

$$\{\delta_1^{uv}\}^T = [u_i, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (5.53)$$

$$\{\delta_2^{uv}\}^T = [v_i, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (5.54)$$

$$[N^{uv}] = [N_i^{uv}, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (5.55)$$

$$N_1^{uv} = (2L_1 - 1)L_1 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_2^{uv} = (2L_2 - 1)L_2 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_3^{uv} = (2L_3 - 1)L_3 + 3L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_4^{uv} = 4L_2L_3 - 12L_1L_2L_3 \quad (5.56)$$

$$N_5^{uv} = 4L_1L_3 - 12L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_6^{uv} = 4L_1L_2 - 12L_1L_2L_3$$

$$N_7^{uv} = 27L_1L_2L_3$$

#### 5.4 Moments approximating models

For the approximation of moments distribution in the mixed models approach several moments interpolation functions have been used. The nature and the order of these functions is defined by the continuity requirements associated with the functional and the variational principle used for the derivation of the model, [25,56,57,90,91,105,106,107]

The following have been chosen for the present analysis

##### 5.4.1 Linear variation of moments




Fig. 5.13

$$M_{xx} = [N^m] \{M_1^e\} \quad (5.57)$$

$$M_{yy} = [N^m] \{M_2^e\} \quad (5.58)$$

$$M_{xy} = [N^m] \{M_3^e\} \quad (5.59)$$

$$\{M_1^e\}^T = [M_{xx1}', M_{xx2}', M_{xx3}'] \quad (5.60)$$

$$\{M_2^e\}^T = [M_{yy1}', M_{yy2}', M_{yy3}'] \quad (5.61)$$

$$\{M_3^e\}^T = [M_{xy1}', M_{xy2}', M_{xy3}'] \quad (5.62)$$

$$[N^m] = [L_1, L_2, L_3] \quad (5.63)$$

(See Appendix IV for more details)

5.4.2 Quadratic variation of moments

$$M_{xx} = [N^m] \{M_1^e\} \quad (5.64)$$

$$M_{yy} = [N^m] \{M_2^e\} \quad (5.65)$$

$$M_{xy} = [N^m] \{M_3^e\} \quad (5.66)$$




Fig. 5.14

$$\{M_i^e\}^T = [M_{xxi}, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (5.67)$$

$$\{M_2^e\}^T = [M_{yyi}, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (5.68)$$

$$\{M_3^e\}^T = [M_{xyi}, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (5.69)$$

$$[N^m] = [(2L_1 - 1)L_1, (2L_2 - 1)L_2, (2L_3 - 1)L_3, 4L_2L_3, 4L_1L_3, 4L_1L_2] \quad (5.70)$$

5.5 Total rotation approximating models

For the total rotation finite element formulation (to be outlined in the Chapter 6) the following model has been employed.  
 [39,59,72,105,115]




Fig. 5.15

$$\theta_x = [N^0] \{\delta_1^0\} \quad (5.71)$$

$$\theta_y = [N^0] \{\delta_2^0\} \quad (5.72)$$

$$\{\delta_1^0\}^T = [\theta_{xi}, \dots]_{i=1 \div 6} \quad (5.73)$$

$$\{\delta_2^0\}^T = [\theta_{yi}, \dots]_{i=1 \div 6} \quad (5.74)$$

$$[N^0] = [(2L_1-1)L_1, (2L_2-1)L_2, (2L_3-1)L_3, 4L_2L_3, 4L_1L_3, 4L_1L_2] \quad (5.75)$$

## 6. SANDWICH PLATE BENDING MODELS

A large number of various publications is available as far as the bending of sandwich plate is concerned

Various finite elements have also been developed to solve the problem [ 1,12,14,15,21,67,68,69,73,85,90,91 ]

Seven different models have been developed in the present analysis as a first step towards the solution of the polyhedral dome sandwich structures. These models deal with the sandwich plate bending problem and their classification coincides with the classification of the variational principles employed for the development of each individual model respectively, that is displacement models and mixed models (Chapter 4).

### 6.1 Displacement models

The variational principle outlined in Chapter 4 Section 2 has been employed for the development of the displacement models.

For the finite element approximation the strains and stresses in the functional are expressed as functions of nodal values of displacements (degrees of freedom).

Two different groups of sandwich plate displacement models have been developed depending on the form of strains-nodal displacements relationships.

The models classified in the first group are to be called Deflection-Shear Displacement models.

The strains as formulated in Chapter 2 have the form

$$\begin{aligned} a_{xx} &= w_{,xx} - \phi_{x,x} \\ a_{yy} &= w_{,yy} - \phi_{y,y} \\ a_{xy} &= 2w_{,xy} - \phi_{x,y} - \phi_{y,x} \\ \gamma_x &= \phi_x \\ \gamma_y &= \phi_y \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

The parameters at the right hand side of equations (6.1) are expressed as functions of nodal transverse displacements and their derivatives and nodal transverse shear deformations independently employing the models outlined in Chapter 5 (section 5.1, 5.2).

The models classified in the second group are to be called Total-Rotation displacement models.

The strains as formulated in Chapter 2 have the form

$$\begin{aligned} a_{xx} &= \theta_{x,x} \\ a_{yy} &= \theta_{y,y} \\ a_{xy} &= \theta_{x,y} + \theta_{y,x} \\ \gamma_x &= w_{,x} - \theta_x \\ \gamma_y &= w_{,y} - \theta_y \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

The parameters at the right hand side of equations (6.2) are expressed as functions of nodal total rotations and nodal transverse displacements independently, employing the models outlined in Chapter 5 (sections 5.1, 5.5).

The displacement models developed are the following:

6.1.1 Deflection-shear model with 15 degrees of freedom

For reference to this model the symbol PDS 15 has been employed (See Section 6.3 for more details).

This model has been developed employing the non-conforming triangular finite element in plate bending together with the linear variation of shear deformation model (5.1.1., 5.2.1.) for expressing the parameters in equations (6.2), (4.3). More details for the formation of the various matrices are presented in Appendix II




Fig. 6.1

The vector of the nodal degrees of freedom has the form

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_1, w_{x1}, w_{y1}, w_2, w_{x2}, w_{y2}, w_3, w_{x3}, w_{y3}, \phi_{x1}, \phi_{y1}, \phi_{x2}, \phi_{y2}, \phi_{x3}, \phi_{y3}\} \quad (6.3)$$

Employing the transformation relationships (to be outlined in Chapter 8) the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained ÷

a) When the vector of the nodal degrees of freedom becomes ÷

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_i, w_{xi}, w_{yi}, \phi_{xi}, \phi_{yi}, \dots\dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (6.4)$$

b) For a node (i) which belongs to a boundary the set of degrees of freedom linked with this node becomes ÷

$$\{w_i, \theta_{ni}, w_{si}, \phi_{ni}, \phi_{si}\} \quad (6.5)$$

(where  $\bar{n}$  is the vector normal to the boundary  $\bar{s}$ )

### 6.1.2 Deflection-shear model with 21 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol PDS21

This model has been developed employing the non-conforming triangular finite element in plate bending together with the quadratic variation of shear deformation model (5.1.1., 5.2.2) for expressing the parameters in equations (6.1), (4.8). The same procedure for the formation of the various matrices is employed as for the PDS15 model (Appendix II).




Fig. 6.2

The vector of the nodal degrees of freedom has the form:

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_1, w_{x1}, w_{y1}, w_2, w_{x2}, w_{y2}, w_3, w_{x3}, w_{y3}, \phi_{x1}, \phi_{y1}, \phi_{x2}, \phi_{y2}, \phi_{x3}, \phi_{y3}, \phi_{x4}, \phi_{y4}, \phi_{x5}, \phi_{y5}, \phi_{x6}, \phi_{y6}\} \quad (6.6)$$

Employing the transformation relationships (Chapter 8) the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained.

a) When the vector of the nodal degrees of freedom becomes ÷

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_i, w_{xi}, w_{yi}, \phi_{xi}, \phi_{yi}, \dots, \phi_{xj}, \phi_{yj}, \dots\} \quad \begin{matrix} i = 1 \div 3 \\ j = 4 \div 6 \end{matrix} \quad (6.7)$$

b) For a node (i) which belongs to a boundary ( $\bar{s}$ ) the set of degrees of freedom linked with this node becomes ÷

$$\{w_i, \theta_{ni}, w_{si}, \phi_{ni}, \phi_{si}\} \quad \text{for } i \leq 3 \quad (6.8)$$

$$\{\phi_{ni}, \phi_{si}\} \quad \text{for } i > 3 \quad (6.9)$$

### 6.1.3 Deflection-shear model with 24 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol PDS24

This model has been developed employing the Refined triangular plate bending finite element (eighteen-degrees-of-freedom) together with the linear variation of shear deformation model (5.1.2., 5.2.1) for expressing the parameters in equations (6.1), (4.8).

More details for the formation of the various matrices are presented in Appendix III.

The vector of the nodal degrees of freedom has the form:




Fig. 6.3

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_i, w_{xi}, w_{yi}, w_{xxi}, w_{xyi}, w_{yyi}, \dots, \phi_{xi}, \phi_{yi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (6.10)$$

Employing the transformation relationships (Chapter 8) the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained,

a) When the vector of the nodal degrees of freedom becomes

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_i, w_{xi}, w_{yi}, w_{xyi}, w_{yyi}, \phi_{xi}, \phi_{yi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (6.11)$$

b) For a node (i) which belongs to a boundary ( $\bar{s}$ ) the set of degrees of freedom linked with this node becomes :

$$\{w_i, \theta_{ni}, w_{si}, w_{nni}, w_{sni}, w_{ssi}, \phi_{ni}, \phi_{si}\} \quad (6.12)$$

#### 6.1.4 Deflection-shear model with 30 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol PDS30

This model has been developed employing the refined triangular plate bending finite element (eighteen-degrees-of-freedom) together with the cubic variation of shear deformation model.

(5.1.2, 5.2.3.) for expressing the parameters in equations (6.1), (4.8).

The same procedure for the formation of the various matrices is employed as for the PDS24 model (Appendix III).




Fig. 6.4

The vector of nodal degrees of freedom after elimination of the degrees of freedom at the centre node (Chapter 8 Section 4) has the form:

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_{i,w}, x_{i,w}, y_{i,w}, x_{xi,w}, x_{yi,w}, y_{yi,w}, \dots, \phi_{xj}, \phi_{yj}, \dots\} \begin{matrix} i=1 \div 3 \\ j=1 \div 7 \end{matrix} \quad (6.13)$$

Employing the transformation relationships (Chapter 8) the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained,

a) When the vector of the nodal degrees of freedom becomes ÷

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_{i,w}, x_{i,w}, y_{i,w}, x_{xi,w}, x_{yi,w}, y_{yi,w}, \phi_{xi}, \phi_{yi}, \dots, \phi_{xj}, \phi_{yj}, \dots\} \begin{matrix} i=1 \div 3 \\ j=4 \div 6 \end{matrix} \quad (6.14)$$

b) For a node (i) which belongs to a boundary ( $\bar{s}$ ) the set of degrees of freedom linked with this node becomes ÷

$$\{w_{i,\theta_{ni,w}}, s_{i,w}, n_{ni,w}, s_{ni,w}, s_{si}, \phi_{ni}, \phi_{si}\} \text{ for } i \leq 3 \quad (6.15)$$

$$\{\phi_{ni}, \phi_{si}\} \text{ for } i > 3 \quad (6.16)$$

### 6.1.5 Total rotation model with 18 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol PRO18

This model has been developed employing the total rotation model together with the cubic variation of transverse displacement model (5.5, 5.1.5) for expressing the parameters in equations (6.2), (4.8)

More details for the formation of the various matrices are presented in appendix V




Fig. 6.5

The vector of nodal degrees of freedom after the elimination of the degree of freedom of the centre node (Chapter 8, Section 4) has the form:

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{\theta_{xi}, \theta_{yi}, \dots, w_i, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (6.17)$$

Employing the transformation relationships (Chapter 8) the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained

a) when the vector of nodal degrees of freedom become ÷

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_i, \theta_{xi}, \theta_{yi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (6.18)$$

(b) For a node (i) which belongs to a boundary (5) the set of degrees of freedom linked with this node becomes ÷

$$\{w_i, \theta_{ni}, \theta_{si}\} \quad (6.19)$$

## 6.2 Mixed models

The variational principle outlined in Chapter 4, Section 3 has been employed for the development of the mixed models.

For the finite element approximation the various parameters of the functional are expressed as functions of nodal values of moments and displacements.

The mixed models developed are the following:

### 6.2.1 Mixed model with 12 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol PMX12

This model has been developed employing the linear variation of moments model together with the linear variation of the transverse displacement model (5.4.1 5.1.3) for expressing the various parameters in equations (4.19) (4.22).

The same procedure for the formation of the various matrices is employed as for the PMX24 model which is to be outlined in the next paragraph (see Appendix IV).




Fig. 6.6

The vector of the nodal degrees of freedom has the form

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{M_{xxi}, M_{yyi}, M_{xyi}, \dots, w_i, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (6.20)$$

Employing the transformation relationships (Chapter 8) the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained.

a) when the vector of nodal degrees of freedom becomes

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_i, M_{xxi}, M_{yyi}, M_{xyi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (6.21)$$

b) For a node (i) which belongs to a boundary ( $\bar{s}$ ) the set of degrees of freedom linked with this node becomes  $\ddagger$

$$\{w_i, M_{nni}, M_{ssi}, M_{sni}\} \quad (6.22)$$

### 6.2.2 Mixed model with 24 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol PMX24

This model has been developed employing the quadratic variation of moments model together with the quadratic variation of the transverse displacement model (5.4.2, 5.1.4) for expressing the various parameters in equations (4.19), (4.22).

More details for the formation of various matrices are presented in Appendix IV




Fig. 6.7

The vector of the nodal degrees of freedom has the form

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{M_{xxi}, M_{yyi}, M_{xyi}, \dots, w_i, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (6.23)$$

Employing the transformation relationships (Chapter 8) the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained.

a) when the vector of nodal degrees of freedom becomes:

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{w_i, M_{xxi}, M_{yyi}, M_{xyi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (6.24)$$

b) For a node which belongs to a boundary ( $\bar{s}$ ) the set of degrees of freedom linked with the node becomes:

$$\{w_i, M_{nni}, M_{ssi}, M_{sni}\} \quad (6.25)$$

### 6.3 Symbols for the different elements

The first alphabetic character in the symbol indicates either a Plate element or a Dome element.

The next two alphabetic characters indicate:

- a) Deflection-Shear model
- b) total ROTation model
- c) MiXed model.

The two last characters, the arithmetic ones, indicate the number of degrees of freedom per element.


Thus for the seven sandwich plate bending finite elements presented previously the following symbols have been employed here for each one respectively:

PDS15, PDS21, PDS24, PDS30, PRO18, PMX12, PMX24.

For the dome models which are to be presented in the following chapter (7). The following symbols have been employed in accordance with the above definition, for each one respectively:

DDS21, DDS33, DMX36, DRO30.


PLATE ELEMENTS (TRIANGULAR PLATE)




$m = 3$

- PDS15
- △ PDS21
- x PMX12
- PMX24
- PDS24
- + PDS30
- ▽ PRO18

FIG. 6.8.



total number of unknowns



$n=3$

- PDS15
- △ PDS21
- × PMX12
- PMX24
- PDS24
- + PDS30
- ▽ PRO18

number of mesh  
subdivision




FIG. 6.9

## 7. DOME MODELS

The dome elements to be presented in this chapter are employed for the analysis of the behaviour of the polyhedral dome sandwich structures.

The four models which have been developed, derived from the sandwich plate bending finite elements presented in Chapter 6 in combination with the in-plane displacement models outlined in Chapter 5, section 3.

The choice of four sandwich plate bending finite elements from the total number of seven has been carried out taking into account the following important factors:

a) Accuracy obtained by each individual model for the sandwich plate bending problems in comparison with the number of degrees of freedom involved in it (see Figures 6.8, 6.9, 7.5, 7.6), (Chapter 12)

b) The set of displacements at nodes must be complete so that transformation along the plate interconnections of the polyhedral dome sandwich structure can be carried out (Chapter 8).

c) The ability to apply the necessary boundary condition without too much difficulty.

Further justification for the chosen models with regards to the above factors is to be presented in the conclusions, (Chapter 12)

The variational principles outlined in Chapter 4 are once again employed and consequently three displacement models and one mixed model are derived in a similar way with the one presented for the sandwich plate bending problem (Chapter 6).

The way the reference symbols of the various dome models are generated is presented in Chapter 6, section 3.

For nodes belonging to a boundary, either external or internal (such as plates interconnection) certain transformation and condensation techniques are used (to be described in Chapter 8).

### 7.1 Displacement models

The two different approaches for expressing the strain-nodal displacement relationship are once again employed (see Chapter 6, section 1). As a result two of the models are referred to as Deflection-shear displacement models and one as a total rotation model.

#### 7.1.1 Deflection shear model with 21 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol DDS21

This model derives from the sandwich plate bending finite element PDS15 (described in 6.1.1) in combination with the linear variation of the in-plane displacements model (described in 5.3.1).

Details relevant to the formation of the various matrices involved are presented in Appendix II.




Fig. 7.1

The vector of nodal degrees of freedom through certain transformation formulae becomes:

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{u_i, v_i, w_i, \theta_{xi}, \theta_{yi}, \phi_{xi}, \phi_{yi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (7.1)$$


Consequently the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained respectively (Chapter 8).

7.1.2 Deflection-shear model with 33 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol DDS33

This model derives from the sandwich plate bending finite element PDS21 (described in 6.1.2) in combination with the cubic variation of the in-plane displacement model (described in 5.3.3).

The same procedure for the formation of the various matrices is employed as for the DDS21 (Appendix II).



The vector of nodal degrees of freedom through certain transformation formulae as well as elimination of the degrees of freedom at the centre node becomes:

$$\{\delta_o^e\} = \{u_i, v_i, w_i, \theta_{xi}, \theta_{yi}, \phi_{xi}, \phi_{yi}, \dots, \phi_{xj}, \phi_{yj}, u_{ssj}, v_{ssj}, \dots\} \quad (7.2)$$

$i=1 \div 3$   
 $i=4 \div 6$


Consequently the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained respectively (Chapter 8).

7.1.3 Total rotation model with 30 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol DRO30

This model derives from the sandwich plate bending finite element PRO18 (described in 6.1.5) in combination with the cubic variation of the in plane displacements model (described in 5.3.4).

Details relevant to the formation of the various matrices involved are presented in Appendix V



The vector of nodal degrees of freedom, through certain transformation formulae as well as elimination of the degrees of freedom at the centre node, becomes:

$$\{\delta_o^e\} = \{u_i, v_i, w_i, \theta_{xi}, \theta_{yi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 6 \tag{7.3}$$

Consequently the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained respectively (Chapter 8)

### 7.2 Mixed models

Two models could have been derived, employing the variational principle outlined in Chapter 4, Section 2. as an extension of the sandwich plate bending mixed models, described in Chapter 6, Section 2. That is: First a model which derives from the sandwich plate bending finite element PMX12 (6.2.1) in combination with the linear variation of the in-plane displacements model (5.3.1) DMX18. Secondly, a model which derives from the sandwich plate bending finite element PMX24 (6.2.2) in combination with the quadratic variation of the in plane displacements model (5.3.2) DMX36.

Limitations of the present work with regards to time and space have allowed the derivation of only one.


Accuracy factors have led in the choice of the second model despite the advantage of the low number of degrees of freedom which the first possesses (see Figs. 7.1,7.2). The formation of the first model (DMX18) is similar to the one of the second DMX36 (to be presented next) and the results are believed to be of a similar nature with the results obtained from the application of the DMX36.

It will be seen from the discussion that the former model can easily be formed and applied.

7.2.1 Mixed model with 36 degrees of freedom

Reference symbol DMX36

Details relevant to the formation of the various matrices involved are presented in Appendix IV.




The vector of nodal degrees of freedom through certain transformation formulae becomes:

$$\{\delta_o^e\}^T = \{u_i, v_i, w_i, M_{xxi}, M_{yyi}, M_{xyi}, \dots\} \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (7.4)$$

Consequently the transformed stiffness, stress and load matrices are obtained respectively (Chapter 8).

# DOME ELEMENTS (TRIANGULAR PLATE)



$n=3$

- DDS15
- △ DDS33
- × DMX18
- DMX36
- ▽ DR030




FIG. 7.5


• PDS21

▲ DDS33

× DMX18

----- DMX36

▼ DRO30



$m=3$

number of mesh  
 subdivisions

$n=12$




FIG. 7.6.

8. TRANSFORMATION - CONDENSATION

To obtain the final set of equations (4.12), (4.26) by the combination of the variational approach and the finite elements approximation (Chapters 3 and 4) it is necessary to assemble the linear equations obtained in a matrix form for each individual element (4.11, 4.12, 4.22, 4.26). These equations are at first evaluated with respect to a local system of cartesian-coordinates (see Appendix I). Thus a common global coordinates system is needed and transformation from the local to the global system must be carried out for all the parameters involved.

For both the plate and the dome finite elements, described in Chapter 6 and 7 respectively, transformation must be introduced in order to assemble the elements and apply the boundary conditions.

8.1 Transformation - formulae

The form of the relationship to be transformed is as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ [K_n^o] \cdot \{\delta_o^e\} - [R_n^o] \right\} = \phi. \tag{8.1}$$

$\{\delta_o^e\}$  is the vector of the nodal degrees of freedom in the local system of cartesian coordinates.

The relationship between the nodal degrees of freedom with respect to the local system and the nodal degrees of freedom with respect to the global system has the form

$$\{\delta_o^e\} = [TR] \{\delta_g^e\} \tag{8.2}$$

where [TR] is the transformation matrix

As the corresponding force component must perform the same amount of work in either system the following relationship is obtained

$$\{R_n\}^T \{\delta_g^e\} = \{R_n^o\}^T \{\delta_o^e\} \quad (8.3)$$

where  $\{R_n\}$ ,  $\{\delta_g^e\}$  are the load and nodal degrees of freedom vectors with respect to the global system.

Substituting equation (8.2) to equation (8.3) the following equation can be obtained:

$$\{R_n\} = [TR]^T \{R_n^o\} \quad (8.4)$$

Pre-multiplying equation (8.3) by  $[TR]^T$  and substituting equation (8.4) the following relationship can be obtained:

$$\sum_1^n \left\{ [TR]^T [K_n^o] [TR] \{\delta_g^e\} - \{R_n\} \right\} = \phi. \quad (8.5)$$

or

$$\sum_1^n \left\{ [K_n] \{\delta_g^e\} - \{R_n\} \right\} = \phi. \quad (8.6)$$


So the stiffness, stress and load matrices  $[K_n]$ ,  $[S_n]$ ,  $\{R_n\}$  with respect to a global system can be found from the corresponding matrices with respect to the local system  $[K_n^o]$ ,  $[S_n^o]$ ,  $\{R_n^o\}$  through the expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} [K_n] &= [TR]^T [K_n^o] [TR] \\ [S_n] &= [TR] [S_n^o] \\ \{R_n\} &= [TR]^T \{R_n^o\} \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

## 8.2 Transformation matrices for a plate

The transformation in sandwich plate bending problems is necessary for a node which belongs to boundary  $(\bar{s})$  in order to apply the boundary conditions.

The parameters involved have the following form:



( FIG. 8.1 )

$$\begin{Bmatrix} x \\ y \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_2 & G_2 \\ -G_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} a_n \\ a_s \end{Bmatrix} \quad (8.8)$$

$a_n, a_s$  are the co-ordinates of the new system  $(\bar{n}, \bar{s})$ .

$$B_2 = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{\sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}} \quad (8.9)$$

$$G_2 = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{\sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}}$$


### 8.2.1 Displacement models

$$\begin{Bmatrix} w_{,x} \\ w_{,y} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_2 & G_2 \\ -G_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} w_{,n} \\ w_{,s} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \phi_x \\ \phi_y \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_2 & G_2 \\ -G_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \phi_n \\ \phi_s \end{Bmatrix} \quad \begin{Bmatrix} w_{,xx} \\ w_{,xy} \\ w_{,yy} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_2^2 & 2B_2G_2 & G_2^2 \\ -B_2G_2 & (B_2^2 - G_2^2) & B_2G_2 \\ G_2^2 & -2B_2G_2 & B_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} w_{,nn} \\ w_{,sn} \\ w_{,ss} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (8.10)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \theta_x \\ \theta_y \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_2 & G_2 \\ -G_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \theta_n \\ \theta_s \end{Bmatrix}$$

8.2.2 Mixed models




$$\begin{Bmatrix} M_{ss} \\ M_{nn} \\ 2M_{sn} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_2^2 & B_2^2 & 2B_2G_2 \\ B_2^2 & G_2^2 & -2B_2G_2 \\ 2B_2G_2 & -2B_2G_2 & 2(B_2^2 - G_2^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} M_{xx} \\ M_{yy} \\ M_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (8.11)$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} M_{xx} \\ M_{yy} \\ M_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_2^2 & G_2^2 & 2B_2G_2 \\ G_2^2 & B_2^2 & -2B_2G_2 \\ -B_2G_2 & B_2G_2 & (B_2^2 - G_2^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} M_{nn} \\ M_{ss} \\ M_{sn} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (8.12)$$

### 8.2.3 Rotation of an element

It is very common in many plate and dome cases that an element results from another one of the same dimensions by a single rotation of  $180^\circ$



( FIG. 8.3 )

The various matrices of the second element can be evaluated by a simple transformation of the first element's corresponding matrices.

The following expressions relate the degrees of freedom for the 1st and 2nd element

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= -u_1 \\ v_2 &= -v_1 \\ w_2 &= w_1 \\ w'_{x2} &= -w'_{x1} \\ w'_{y2} &= -w'_{y1} \\ w'_{xx2} &= w'_{xx1} \\ w'_{xy2} &= w'_{xy1} \\ w'_{yy2} &= w'_{yy2} \\ \phi'_{x2} &= -\phi'_{x1} \\ \phi'_{y2} &= -\phi'_{y1} \\ \theta'_{x2} &= -\theta'_{x1} \\ \theta'_{y2} &= -\theta'_{y1} \\ M_{xx2} &= M_{xx1} \\ M_{yy2} &= M_{yy1} \\ M_{xy2} &= M_{xy1} \end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

The subscripts 1,2 refer to the coordinate system  $x_1o_1y_1$  for the first element and the coordinate system  $x_2o_2y_2$  for the second element with regard to the relevant degree of freedom (Fig. 8.3 )

### 8.3 Transformation matrices for a dome model

As it has been mentioned previously in Chapter 7 a very important factor, which is decisive for the combination of the various bending and membrane models with regard to the derivation of the several dome models, is the completeness of the different sets of nodal degrees of freedom.

The completeness of a set, with respect to the three-dimensions space, is necessary regarding the assemblage of the finite elements with nodes belonging to plates interconnections, and leads to the accomplishment of the transformation.

The above requirement has been fulfilled for all the dome elements presented in Chapter 7 as far as the set of nodal displacements  $u, v, w$  is concerned (see Chapter 7).

The set of rotations or moments, however, is incomplete due to the absence of a rotation or a moment, as a degree of freedom, with respect to an axis normal to the plane of the element.

One approach to the problem has been suggested in reference [ 115 ] is that additional degrees must be introduced resulting in the completeness of the set.

Some work has been done to determine the form of the part of the stiffness matrix corresponding to these additional degrees of freedom..

A second approach is based on the selection of certain coordinate systems whereby a suitable orientation of the various axes enables the transformation to be performed with a considerable degree of accuracy. [ 21,31,115 ]

The second approach has been followed for the present analysis.

First of all the various matrices for a given element are evaluated with respect to a local coordinate system defined as follows:

The x axis of this local system is the intersection of the element's plane with the xoy of the global system of cartesian coordinate Oxyz (Fig. 8.4)

The unit vectors of the local system are:

$$\vec{v}_{z'} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{z'x} \\ \lambda_{z'y} \\ \lambda_{z'z} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{DT} \begin{bmatrix} y_{ji} z_{mi} - z_{ji} y_{mi} \\ z_{ji} x_{mi} - x_{ji} z_{mi} \\ x_{ji} y_{mi} - y_{ji} x_{mi} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.14)$$

$$\vec{v}_{x'} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{x'x} \\ \lambda_{x'y} \\ \phi. \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{z'y} / \sqrt{\lambda_{z'y}^2 + \lambda_{z'x}^2} \\ -\lambda_{z'x} / \sqrt{\lambda_{z'y}^2 + \lambda_{z'x}^2} \\ \phi. \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.15)$$

$$\vec{v}_{y'} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{y'x} \\ \lambda_{y'y} \\ \lambda_{y'z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{z'z} \quad \lambda_{z'x} / \sqrt{\lambda_{z'y}^2 + \lambda_{z'x}^2} \\ \lambda_{z'z} \quad \lambda_{z'y} / \sqrt{\lambda_{z'y}^2 + \lambda_{z'x}^2} \\ -\sqrt{\lambda_{z'y}^2 + \lambda_{z'x}^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.16)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{x'x} & \lambda_{x'y} & \phi. \\ \lambda_{y'x} & \lambda_{y'y} & \lambda_{y'z} \\ \lambda_{z'x} & \lambda_{z'y} & \lambda_{z'z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.17)$$

Thus the transformation matrix from local to global has been obtained.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{eg} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.18)$$

Another coordinates system  $\bar{x} \bar{y} \bar{z}$  is defined for an element with a node (m) belonging to a plates-interconnection (1) (2) in the following way. (fig. 3.4)

The  $\bar{x}$  axis of the system coincides with the line (1) (2). The  $\bar{y}$  axis is normal to the vertical plate through the line (1) (2). And the  $\bar{z}$  axis is defined as the cross product of the unit vectors of x and y respectively.

$$\vec{v}_{\bar{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{xx}^- \\ \mu_{xy}^- \\ \mu_{xz}^- \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\ell_{12}} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - x_1 \\ y_2 - y_1 \\ z_2 - z_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.19)$$

$$\ell_{12} = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2} \quad (8.20)$$

$$\vec{v}_{\bar{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{yx}^- \\ \mu_{yy}^- \\ \mu_{yz}^- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu_{xy}^- / \sqrt{\mu_{xx}^{-2} + \mu_{xy}^{-2}} \\ \mu_{xx}^- / \sqrt{\mu_{xx}^{-2} + \mu_{xy}^{-2}} \\ \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.21)$$

$$\vec{v}_{\bar{z}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{zx}^- \\ \mu_{zy}^- \\ \mu_{zz}^- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu_{xx}^- \cdot \mu_{xz}^- / \sqrt{\mu_{xx}^{-2} + \mu_{xy}^{-2}} \\ -\mu_{xy}^- \cdot \mu_{xz}^- / \sqrt{\mu_{xx}^{-2} + \mu_{xy}^{-2}} \\ \sqrt{\mu_{xx}^{-2} + \mu_{xy}^{-2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.22)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} \\ \bar{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{xx}^- & \mu_{xy}^- & \mu_{xz}^- \\ \mu_{yx}^- & \mu_{yy}^- & \mu_{yz}^- \\ \mu_{zx}^- & \mu_{zy}^- & \mu_{zz}^- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.23)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} \\ \bar{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{eg}^- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.24)$$

Combining equations (8.18)(8.24) and considering the orthogonal nature of the matrices  $[T_{eg}]$  ,  $[T_{eg}^-]$  the following relationships can be obtained

$$\begin{Bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{Bmatrix} = [T_{ee}^-] \begin{Bmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} \\ \bar{z} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (8.25)$$


$$[T_{ee}^-] = \begin{bmatrix} v_{x'\bar{x}} & v_{x'\bar{y}} & v_{x'\bar{z}} \\ v_{y'\bar{x}} & v_{y'\bar{y}} & v_{y'\bar{z}} \\ v_{z'\bar{x}} & v_{z'\bar{y}} & v_{z'\bar{z}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.26)$$

$$[T_{ee}^-] = [T_{eg}] [T_{eg}^-]^T \quad (8.27)$$


For co-planar nodes the assembling of the equations is performed in the local co-ordinates system.

For nodes belonging to an interconnection of two plates or to an external boundary the displacements set  $u'$ ,  $v'$ ,  $w'$  is transformed employing the matrix  $[T_{eg}]$  (8.18) to the global displacements  $u$ ,  $v$ ,  $w$  together with the relevant transformation procedure for the various matrices involved (8.7). The set of total rotation, transverse shear displacements and moments is transformed employing the matrix  $[T_{ee}^-]$  (8.25) to the coordinate system  $\bar{x}$   $\bar{y}$   $\bar{z}$  eliminating at the same time the transverse shear displacement relevant to the cross section normal to the  $\bar{x}$  axis .

For nodes belonging to an interconnection of more than two plates all the different parameters are transformed to the global system  $xyz$  employing the matrix  $[T_{eg}]$  eliminating at the same time the transverse shear displacements.



( FIG. 8.4 )



#### 8.4 Condensation

When the elimination of a number of nodal degrees of freedom  $\{\delta_2^e\}$  is required from the total vector of  $\{\delta_1^e\}$  with the remaining vector noted as  $\{\delta_1^e\}$  the following relationships can be obtained.

The stiffness matrix can be partitioned with regards to the two sets of nodal degrees of freedom.  $\{\delta_1^e\}$ ,  $\{\delta_2^e\}$

$$[K_{11}] \{\delta_1^e\} + [K_{12}] \{\delta_2^e\} = \{R_1\} \quad (8.28)$$

$$[K_{21}] \{\delta_1^e\} + [K_{22}] \{\delta_2^e\} = \{R_2\} \quad (8.29)$$

Solving equation (8.29) with respect to  $\{\delta_2^e\}$  and substituting the value for equation (8.28) one obtains:

$$[K_{cd}] \{\delta_1^e\} - \{R_{cd}\} = \phi \quad (8.30)$$

$$[K_{cd}] = [K_{11}] - [K_{12}] [K_{22}]^{-1} [K_{21}] \quad (8.31)$$

$$\{R_{cd}\} = \{R_1\} - [K_{12}] [K_{22}]^{-1} \{R_2\} \quad (8.32)$$

In the same way the stress matrix after the condensation has the form

$$\{\sigma\} = ([S_1] - [S_2] [K_{22}]^{-1} [K_{21}]) \{\delta_1^e\} + [S_2] [K_{22}]^{-1} \{R_2\} \quad (8.33)$$

## 9. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

### 9.1 Introduction

The experimental part of the present work consists of the construction and testing of two polyhedral domed sandwich structures.

(a) The 24 faced dome (see Photogr. a ). This dome is formed by six 4 faced pyramidal flat segments (see Chapter 13 ) .

(b) The 36 faced dome (see Photog. b-e). The second dome is an extension of the first formed by adding six "dormer" sections (see Chapter 13 ).

The dimensions of both the domes have been obtained through a computer programme (outlined in [85]). They are included in Chap. 13 and are presented in the relevant section. The experimental results obtained from the various loading cases are presented in the same section

### 9.2 Construction and Materials

The decisive factor for the determination of the dome's dimensions was the size of the basic orthogonal sandwich sheet, readily available from the manufacturers. The panels forming the two domes are all identical as far as their dimensions are concerned and have been formed as part of the basic orthogonal sandwich sheet, as shown in Fig. 9.1 , so that from each sheet two panels can be obtained with the least possible wastage of material.




FIG. 9.1

The sandwich panels used were composed from hardboard faces of 4.1 mm thickness and polyurethane core of 50.8mm thickness.

The elasticity moduli for the above mentioned materials, as well as for the composite sandwich structure used for the present analysis, were obtained by other researchers [21,46,85].

The properties of all the various sandwich panels used in the present work are presented in figs. 13.2,13.3.

The construction of the two domes was carried out in the following way:

(a) First the supports and the foundation of the structure were built. Detailed drawings of the foundation are presented in Fig.9.2+9.7

The foundation must be functioning, at the final stage, in such a way that the displacements, with respect to all three global axes, are zero without constraining the corresponding rotations (pinned-joint function).

For the construction stage a limited amount of displacements with respect to x and y axes have been allowed so that dimensional inaccuracies could be overcome (see detailed drawings). 9.4+9.6

(b) Second the six 4-faced pyramidal flat segments (Fig. 9.8.1) as well as the six "dormer" sections (Fig. 9.8.2) were built by joining the identical triangular sandwich panels together. The joining technique details are presented in Fig. 9.9

The joining technique has been tested and found satisfactorily strong and economical. Details with regard to the behaviour of the joints are presented in Chapter 10.

(c) At this stage the first dome was formed by erecting the six segments on site and by adjusting the previously mentioned mechanisms at the supports so that all six segments came together. Next, the joints of the adjoining segments, which were built using the same technique mentioned above, were secured by the fitting of the steel cover plates and bolts (See Fig. 9.10)

It is worth mentioning that the construction of the six segments was carried out in 10 days and the erection and formation of the first dome in one day, with the help of three departmental technicians.

(d) After the testing of the first dome was accomplished, the second dome was built by erecting and joining to the existing first dome the six "dormer" sections. The same joining technique was used as previously. (see fig. 9.11)

The second dome was then tested.

### 9.3 Testing of the Domes

The two domes were tested under concentrated loads acting at the centroids of certain groups of panels.

The first dome was tested under two loading cases:-

- 1st Concentrated load of 1216 N at all upper panel centroids.
- 2nd Concentrated load of 1216 N at all bottom panel centroids.

The second dome was tested under three loading cases:-

- 1st Concentrated load of 1216 Nt at all upper panel centroids.
- 2nd Concentrated load of 1216 Nt at all bottom panel centroids.
- 3rd Concentrated load of 1216 Nt at all "dormer" centroids.

The following assumptions made in the analysis of the structure were tested.

- (a) The behaviour of the supports was found satisfactory.

The displacements measured at the supports were practically zero. (Approximately two orders of magnitude smaller than the maximum displacement).

- (b) The behaviour of the structure under certain concentrated loads was found to be, with regard to the structure as a whole, such that the analytical assumption of an elastic behaviour can be considered as a fair approximation. Visco-elastic indentation of the faces was observed locally for the loaded panels in a limited area surrounding the loading point, which required a little time (1 hour) to recover.

- (c) To minimize the effects of temperature and relative humidity changes as well as the wind effects, the testing of the domes under

various loading cases was accomplished under as similar weather conditions as possible. In addition, the surface of the panels was covered by two layers of "yacht varnished" for weather protection.

(d) The structure was assumed to be symmetrical. The dimension inaccuracies observed during the construction stage were negligible.

The symmetrical behaviour of the domes was tested next and found to be satisfactory. First, the displacements at certain symmetrical points under a symmetrical loading case were measured and found to be almost symmetrical (with a maximum deviation of 10 per cent). Second, the displacements (of a point on an axis of symmetry), normal to the axis of symmetry, for a symmetrical loading case were measured and found to be negligible.

Due to the above reasons, the assumption of the symmetrical behaviour of the structure under symmetrical load was considered to be valid and consequently only 1/12 of the two domes was numerically modelled for the loading cases (symmetrical) mentioned previously.

(e) Time-dependent behaviour was also observed. To minimize the effects of this behaviour the measurements of the points by the theodolites have been taken in the minimum possible time starting always from the loaded panel where the time-dependent behaviour is expected to occur.

(f) The application of the high density concentrated load of 1216 Nt per loading point, as already mentioned, was dictated by

the high rigidity of the structure and the accuracy of the method used for measuring the displacements, to be outlined in the next section. Special steel cylindrical devices were used at the loading points to secure the vertical application and spreading of the load.

#### 9.4 Displacement Measurement

Under a certain loading case the displacements of certain points were measured (see Chapter 13)

For this a combination of two theodolites was used. The theodolites were secured so that they were at the same horizontal level separated by a constant distance.

For each modelling point on the structure eight readings were obtained, four with the structure unloaded and four with the structure loaded. The four readings in each case consist of one horizontal and one vertical angle from both the theodolites.

The global displacements have been obtained for each point from the above measurements by the following mathematical formulation, through a computer program based on it.

The first and second theodolites are considered to be at points  $O_1, O_2$  respectively (see Fig. 9.12)

A modelling point, at  $A_1$  before the application of the load, is to be considered.

The coordinates of  $A_1$  with respect to the two cartesian coordinate systems  $x_1^0 y_1^0, x_2^0 y_2^0$  are  $(a_1', a_2', a_3')$ ,  $(a_1', a_2' + \ell, a_3')$  where  $\ell$  is the constant distance between  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  which has already been measured.

The following angles can be measured by the two theodolites:

	For Point A <sub>1</sub>		For Point A' <sub>1</sub>		
	angle $\phi$	+ g $\phi$	angle $\phi'$	+ g $\phi'$	
Horizontal angle	$Y_1 O_1 B_1$	$h_1$	$Y_1 O_1 B'_1$	$h'_1$	From Point O <sub>1</sub>
Vertical angle	$B_1 O_1 A_1$	$v_1$	$B'_1 O_1 A'_1$	$v'_1$	
Horizontal angle	$Y_2 O_2 B_1$	$h_2$	$Y_2 O_2 B'_1$	$h'_2$	From Point O <sub>2</sub>
Vertical angle	$BB_1 O_2 A_1$	$v_2$	$B'_1 O_2 A'_1$	$v'_2$	

By the following expressions the coordinates of the points can be related to the measured angles:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= a_2 h_1 \\
 a_1 &= (a_2 + \ell) h_2 \\
 a'_1 &= a'_2 h'_1 \\
 a'_1 &= (a'_2 + \ell) h'_2
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9.1}$$

From (1) the following can be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= \frac{\ell h_1 h_2}{h_1 - h_2} \\
 a_2 &= \frac{\ell h_2}{h_1 - h_2} \\
 a'_1 &= \frac{\ell h'_1 h'_2}{h'_1 - h'_2} \\
 a'_2 &= \frac{\ell h'_2}{h'_1 - h'_2}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9.2}$$

The following expressions related the horizontal coordinates  $a_1, a_2, a'_1, a'_2$  obtained by equations (2) with the third coordinate  $a_3, a'_3$  and the vertical angles. (Mid value is being taken)

$$a_3 = \frac{v_1 \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2} + v_2 \sqrt{a_1^2 + (a_2 + \ell)^2}}{2} \quad (9.3)$$

$$a'_3 = \frac{v'_1 \sqrt{a'_1{}^2 + a'_2{}^2} + v'_2 \sqrt{a'_1{}^2 + (a'_2 + \ell)^2}}{2}$$

the displacements for the point  $A_1$  can be obtained with respect to the cartesian system  $x_1^0 y_1$  (or  $x_2^0 y_2$ )


$$\begin{aligned} u_{A_1} &= a'_1 - a_1 \\ v_{A_1} &= a'_2 - a_2 \\ w_{A_1} &= a'_3 - a_3 \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

The displacements with respect to any cartesian coordinate system  $x_n^0 y_n$  can be obtained from the  $u_{A_1}, v_{A_1}, w_{A_1}$  employing the appropriate transformation expressions.

$A_1$  a modelling point before loading


$$O_1 O_2 = \text{constant} = l$$

$A'_1$  the same modelling point after loading




$B_1, B'_1$  the projections of  $A_1, A'_1$  respectively on the horizontal plane  $x_2^0 y_2 \equiv x_1^0 y_1$




FIG. 9.12




PHOTOGRAPH a.




PHOTOGRAPH 5



PHOTOGRAPH C




PHOTOGRAPH d.



PHOTOGRAPH e.

9.8.1. 4. FACED PYRAMIDAL SEGMENT.



9.8.2. DORMER





FIG. 9.8.

# VALLEY

SCALE: 1cm = 1cm



# RIDGE

SCALE: 1cm = 1cm






FIG. 9.9.



ERECTION OF  
24-FACED DOME.

FIG. 9.10.



ERECTION  
OF 36-FACED DOME

FIG. 9.11.

## 10. BEHAVIOUR OF THE JOINT

### 10.1. INTRODUCTION

The behaviour of the joint, as it has been formed for the construction of the two domes, the 24-faced dome and the 36-faced dome, is to be outlined in the present chapter.

The behaviour of the joints belonging to the rest of the domes analysed in the present work is assumed to be as outlined in ref. [85].

### 10.2. TESTS

The strength of the joint under axial tension has been evaluated experimentally by a series of tests for various forms of arrangement at the position of the bolts.

It has been established by the results of these tests that the presence of a wooden insert (as shown in figs. 10.1 - 10.4 ) significantly increases the tensile strength of the joint.

The ultimate axial load of the joint with a wooden cylinder, inserted at the position of the bolts, as shown in fig.10.2 , is 4.33 times greater than the ultimate axial load of the joint without any insert at all.

The ultimate axial load at the joint in the form used in the construction of the two domes, which has a wooden cube as an insert, as shown in fig.10.3 , is 10 times greater than the ultimate axial load at the joint without any insert at all.

There are five wooden inserts per metre of joint length for each of the joints in the two domes. This number has been assumed empirically to be sufficient for the function of the joints with regard to the tests that were to be performed with the two domes.

The function of the joint is to be analysed in the following section.

### 10.3. ANALYSIS OF THE FUNCTION OF THE JOINT

#### 10.3.1. AXIAL TENSILE STRENGTH

The strength of a unit length sandwich panel in axial tension, assuming constant distribution of the stresses across the thickness at the faces and that the contribution of the core is negligible (see chapter 2 ). is given by the relationship:

$$P^S = 2 \cdot f \cdot \sigma_f = 2 \times 4.1 \cdot 10^{-3} \times 2.5 \cdot 10^7 = 2.05 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Nt} \quad (10.1)$$

(see figs. 10.1 , 10.4)

where  $P^S$  is the axial tensile load for a sandwich panel of one metre in length, and  $\sigma_f$  the value of the normal stress at the faces.

For a joint line with 5 inserts per metre the axial tensile load is given by the relationship.

$$P^j = n_{in} \cdot P^i = 5 \times 15 \cdot 10^3 = 0.75 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Nt} \quad (10.2)$$

(see figs.10.3 , 10.4)

Where  $n_{in}$  is the number of inserts per metre and  $P^i$  the experimental value of the axial load per insert (see fig. 10.4 )

#### 10.3.2 STRENGTH UNDER BENDING

The active width  $\ell_{ac}$  of the steel plate at a joint line functioning under bending is assumed to be the one corresponding to the total length of the wooden inserts per metre.

For a bending moment acting at the joint line the stresses which are developed, assuming that the distribution of the stresses across the thickness of the hardboard faces or the steel plate is constant and that the contribution of the core is negligible, are given by the following relationships:

a) For the faces when the sandwich panel only is to be considered

$$M = 1 \cdot f \cdot \sigma_f (c + f) \quad (10.3)$$

b) For the steel covering plates only

$$M = \ell_{ac} \cdot t_s \cdot \sigma_s (c + 2f + t_s) = \ell_{in} n_{in} \cdot t_s \cdot \sigma_s \cdot (c + 2f + t_s) \quad (10.4)$$

c) At the position of the bolt

$$M = P^c n_{in} \cdot (c + 2f + t_s) \quad (10.5)$$

$$\text{where } P^c = 0.9P^i \quad (\text{see previous section}) \quad (10.6)$$

The maximum values of the bending moments which can be applied in each case can be evaluated by substituting at the above relationships the relevant maximum values of stresses or forces as follows

a) For the faces

$$\sigma_f \text{ max} = 2.5 \cdot 10^7 \text{ Nt/m}^2 \quad M_{\text{max}} = 5.63 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Ntm/m} \quad (10.7)$$

b) For the steel plates

$$\sigma_s \text{ max} = 1.025 \cdot 10^9 \text{ Nt/m}^2 \quad M_{\text{max}} = 15.38 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Ntm/m} \quad (10.8)$$

c) For the bolt

$$P^i = 15 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Nt} \quad M_{\text{max}} = 4.12 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Ntm/m} \quad (10.9)$$

10.4. ANGULAR FUNCTION OF THE JOINT UNDER BENDING

For valleys under the action of a bending moment as shown in fig. 10.5.3 the top steel plate can be deformed as shown in fig. 10.5.4.

For a bending moment acting with an opposite sign the presence of the wooden insert restricts such deformation.

The same applies for ridges with a bending moment acting with an opposite sign as shown in figs. 10.5.1 , 10.5.2.

The following analysis has been attempted for evaluating this angular deformation of the joint under bending.

The static system and the applied load are as shown in fig. 10.6.

The resulting stressing condition of the plate is as shown in fig. 10.6.

Through this the angular deformation can be evaluated as follows:

$$\omega = 2 \Delta\phi = \frac{2\ell^2}{12E_s I_s} \cos\phi \sin\phi \frac{M}{d} \quad (10.10)$$

$$I_s = \ell_{ac.t}^3 / 12 \quad (10.11)$$

$$\omega = \frac{2\ell^2}{E_s \ell_{ac.t}^3} \cos\phi \sin\phi \frac{M}{d} \quad (10.12)$$

$$\frac{\omega}{2\phi} = \epsilon_{\Delta\phi} = \frac{1^2}{E_s \ell_{ac.t}^3 d} \frac{\cos\phi \sin\phi}{\phi} \cdot M \quad (10.13)$$

$$d = 60 \text{ mm}$$

$$\ell_{ac} = 0.250 \text{ m}$$

$$\ell = 0.025 \text{ m}$$

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\cos\phi \sin\phi}{\phi} \quad (10.14)$$

For  $\epsilon_{\Delta\phi} = 0.4 \%$

$$M = 20/\delta_1 \text{ Ntm/m} \quad (10.15)$$

For  $\epsilon_{\Delta\phi} = 0.8 \%$

$$M = 40/\delta_1 \text{ Ntm/m} \quad (10.16)$$

The values of  $\delta_1$  and M are given by the graphs where they are plotted against the value of the corresponding angle

## 10.5. CONCLUSIONS FROM THE BEHAVIOUR OF THE JOINT

The type of the joint used for the construction of the two domes, the 24-faced dome and the 36-faced dome, is sufficiently strong in comparison with the strength of the sandwich panels joined by it, when the angular function of the joint is negligible due to the sign of the acting bending moment as explained in section 4.

It becomes obvious from the analysis of sections 3. and 4. that when angular deformation of the joint is expected, the joint does not act as an absolutely fixed joint.


With the joint angle decreasing and/or the distance between the bolt and the joint line increasing the joint tends to act as a hinge.

The values of joint angles which are formed by the various adjacent sandwich panels forming the two domes, the 24-faced dome and the 36-faced dome, are presented in fig. 10.7.

$$P_{failure}^i = 1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ Nt}$$

hardboard face (thick.  $f = 4.1 \text{ mm}$ )

steel plate (thick.  $t_s = 1 \text{ mm}$ )



steel plate (thick.  $t_s = 1 \text{ mm}$ )

hardboard face (thick.  $f = 4.1 \text{ mm}$ )


SCALE:  $1 \text{ cm} = 1 \text{ cm}$

FIG. 10.1.

$$P_{failure}^i = 6.5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Nt}$$

hardboard face (thick  $f = 4.1 \text{ mm}$ )

steel plate (thick.  $t_s = 1 \text{ mm}$ )



SCALE: 1 cm = 1 cm

steel plate (thick.  $t_s = 1 \text{ mm}$ )


hardboard face (thick.  $f = 4.1 \text{ mm}$ )

FIG. 10.2.

$$P_{failure} = 15 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Nt}$$

hardboard face (thick  $f = 4.1 \text{ mm}$ )

steel plate (thick.  $t_s = 1 \text{ mm}$ )



steel plate (thick.  $t_s = 1 \text{ mm}$ )

hardboard face (thick.  $f = 4.1 \text{ mm}$ )

SCALE:  $1 \text{ cm} = 1 \text{ cm}$

FIG. 10.3








FIG. 10.4.


10.5.1. RIDGE



10.5.2. RIDGE



10.5.3 VALLEY



10.5.4. VALLEY




FIG. 10.5.






FIG. 10.6.

DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	degrees
PYRAMID LONG RIDGE	a-a	↑ 61.67
PYRAMID SHORT RIDGE	b-b	↑ 76.41
TOP VALLEY	c-c	↓ 72.93
DORMER RIDGE	d-d	↑ 56.50
DORMER VALLEY	e-e	↓ 56.00




FIG. 10.7.

11. COMPUTER PROGRAMS

11.1 Introduction

For the formation of the stiffness, stress and load matrices (see Chapter 4 ) eleven separate subroutines have been developed. The first seven deal with the sandwich plate bending models and the remaining four with the dome models each of them corresponding to the relevant model as they were presented in Chapters 6 and 7.

The listing of each individual subroutine is presented in the Appendix.

All eleven subroutines are consistent with the main routine, which is a modified version, by Bettess, of the frontal solution technique for solving a large system of simultaneous equations as it has been developed by Irons.

The main routine assembles the various matrices for the total number of elements (Chapter 4. ) and for a given set of boundary conditions proceeds with the solution of the system of simultaneous equations. [ 20,21,64 ]

The results for all the various models include for every element: (a) the relevant nodal displacements, (b) the stresses. (See Chapters 6 and 7 and Tables 11.1 ÷ 11.11 )

For the displacement and rotation, plate and dome models the stresses are evaluated at the centroid of the element in the following order.

For the sandwich plate bending models:

$$\{M_{xx_c}, M_{yy_c}, M_{xy_c}, Q_{x_c}, Q_{y_c}\}$$

For the dome models

$$\{M_{xx_c}, M_{yy_c}, M_{xy_c}, Q_{x_c}, Q_{y_c}, N_{xx_c}, N_{yy_c}, N_{xy_c}\}$$

For the mixed model PMX12 the shear forces are evaluated at the centroid of the element

$$\{ Q_{x_c} , Q_{y_c} \}$$

For the mixed model PMX24 the shear forces are evaluated at the first and second nodes of the element

$$\{ Q_{x1} , Q_{y1} , Q_{x2} , Q_{y2} \}$$

For the mixed model DMX36 the shear forces are evaluated at the first and second nodes and the in-plane forces at the centroid of the element.

$$\{ Q_{x1} , Q_{y1} , Q_{x2} , Q_{y2} , N_{xx_c} , N_{yy_c} , N_{xy_c} \}$$

Due to the considerable amount of work involved for the preparation of the data necessary for the solution of a problem, an additional routine has been developed which can generate the data for a problem suitable for all eleven models.

This routine, for most of the cases, considerably reduces the required amount of work, particularly if a series of solutions with all of the different models involved are to be obtained.

The above mentioned routine has been employed successfully for most of the cases which have been solved as part of the present analysis. It is believed that it could, therefore, be similarly usefully employed by any future users.

The different parameters involved in the data generation routine are outlined in the next section.

## 11.2 DATA GENERATION ROUTINE INPUT

11.2.1 First the structure has to be divided into triangular elements.

It is very advantageous as far as the computer time and consequently the cost per run are concerned to employ as few types of elements as possible. The term "types of elements" indicates elements of the same nature (one model can be employed for the solution of a problem at one time) but with different dimensions and elasticity moduli.

For an element "similar" to a previous one with regards to the above mentioned parameters (dimensions and elasticity moduli of an element) the various matrices which have already been calculated and stored for the first of the similar elements can be used again for all the remaining similar elements.

For this purpose storage space for two different sets of matrices is allocated.

The polyhedral sandwich dome structures (see Chapter 1) take full advantage of the above mentioned principle.

The numbering of the nodes is then carried out employing single numbers for each node as if the node had only one degree of freedom.

The numbering order is totally insignificant.

If a particular problem is to be solved by more than one model (including mid-side nodes models) it is advisable to number the mid-side nodes together with the corner nodes.

11.2.2 The second step is the numbering of the elements.

It is of great importance that a node which belongs to more than one element appears in such a way that the difference

between the smallest number of the element, where the node first appears, and the largest number of the element, where the node last appears, is the minimum possible.

Another important factor which must be taken into account in the numbering of the elements in combination with the above mentioned rule is that one must use the storage facility for similar element matrices in the most efficient way as far as the calculation of the various matrices for an element is concerned (see paragraph 9 INFO(3)).

This can be achieved by numbering the similar elements in consecutive order. Note that a similar element can be obtained by using the option of  $180^{\circ}$  rotation of an element (see Chapter 8 Section 2 and paragraph 3 ).

11.2.3 Six numbers are punched via FORMAT (6I5).

The first number (NEIDOS) indicates the code number of the model to be employed (see tables 11.1 + 11.11 ).

The second number (NELEM) indicates the total number of elements involved in the problem.

The third number (NKIND) indicates the number of different elements as far as the coordinates of the elements are concerned, (maximum 30).

Note that a set of coordinates resulting by pure translation from a previous one is considered identical to the latter.

The fourth number (NSTIF) indicates the number of different stiffness sets as regards the elasticity moduli which are to be considered in the problem, (maximum 10).

The fifth number (NBOUL) indicates the total number of different boundary lines (internal or external) as regards the

boundary conditions and the transformation which are to be considered in the problem, (maximum 16).

The sixth number (NBOUP) indicates the number of different individual points with a singularity as far as the boundary conditions and the transformation are concerned so that they have to be considered separately and not as part of one of the previously mentioned boundary lines.

$$(NBOUP + NBOUL < 26)$$

Note: All the above set limits can be increased by changing the size of the relevant arrays in the data generation routine.

For changes which particularly affect the limit of the numbers NSTIF and NBOUL changes in the arrays of the main solving routine have to be introduced.

11.2.4. Nine numbers are to be punched via FORMAT (6D 10.3,/,3D 10.3) for each of the different coordinate sets. (see NKIND paragraph 3).

They represent the coordinates of the three corner nodes of the relevant element with respect to a global cartesian coordinate system in the following order:

$$(x_i, y_i, z_i)_i = 1,3$$

The numerical subscript indicates the 1st, 2nd and 3rd node respectively.

11.2.5. Twelve numbers are to be punched via FORMAT (6D 10.3,/,6D 10.3) for each of the different stiffness sets (see paragraph 3 NSTIF).

They represent the elasticity moduli of a set in the following order:

$$D_{xx}^{xx}, D_{xx}^{yy}, D_{yy}^{yy}, D_{xy}^{xx}, S_{xz}^{xz}, S_{yz}^{yz},$$

$$E_{xx}^{xx}, E_{xx}^{yy}, E_{yy}^{xx}, E_{yy}^{yy}, E_{xy}^{xy}, D_{yy}^{xx}$$

(see Chapter 2, Section 2 )

Note:

- (a) That  $D_{yy}^{xx}$  has to be punched only if it is different from  $D_{xx}^{yy}$
- (b) For sandwich plate bending problems the moduli relevant to plane stress ( $E_{rs}^{ij}$ ) can be substituted by zeros.

11.2.6. A code number (see paragraph 3 NBOUL, NBOUP) must be punched for each individual boundary line and boundary point via FORMAT (26 I 3).

The first one represents the code number for the first boundary line and the (NBOUL)th one represents the code number for the last boundary line.

The (NBOUL+1)th one represents the code number for the first boundary point and the (NBOUL + NBOUP)th one represents the code number for the last boundary point (see tables

1st	2nd	3rd	4th	.....	NBOULth	(NBOUL+1)th	.....	(NBOUL+NBOUP)th
1st B. Line	2nd B. Line	3rd B. Line	4th B. Line	.....	Last B. Line	1st B. Point	.....	Last B. Point

11.2.7. A set of six numbers must be punched via FORMAT (6D 10.3) for each of the boundary lines (see paragraph 3 and 6).

They represent the coordinates of two points  $A_1, A_2$  on the relevant boundary line with respect to the global cartesian coordinate system in the following order:

$$x_2, x_1, y_2, y_1, z_2, z_1$$


The numerical subscripts 1, 2 indicate point  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively.

11.2.8. Generally for all eleven models six numbers must be punched next via FORMAT (6IS) for each individual element in the structure from the first to the last element in a consecutive order.

They represent the numbers of the nodes from the 1st to the 6th as they have been set out. (See paragraph 1).

Note: (a) The order of the nodes must be consistent with the setting of their coordinates (see paragraph 4 and Appendix I).

(b) For models with only corner nodes the first three numbers (relevant to the corner nodes) are necessary although all six can be punched as well. (See paragraph 1).



11.2.9. Sixteen numbers must be punched next via FORMAT (16IS) for every element.

The first number (INFO(1)) indicates the relevant stiffness set to be used for the calculation of the various matrices (from the NSTIF sets. See paragraph 3).

Note: Even if the various matrices are to be taken ready from the storage space, in the case of a similar element, the above number must be set greater than zero.

The second number (INFO(2)) indicates the relevant coordinate set to be used for the calculations of the various matrices (from the NKIND sets. See paragraph 3).

Note: If set to zero the coordinates of the nodes are to be set as zero. This option can only be used in a case of a similar element.

The third number (INFO(3)) indicates the storage technique to be used and has the following significance with regard to the setting of the value.

If 11: it evaluates the various matrices, stores them in position I of the storage, and uses them.

If 12: it evaluates the various matrices, stores them in position II of the storage and uses them.

If 1: it takes and uses the various matrices stored in position I of the storage.

If 2: it takes and uses the various matrices stored in position II of the storage.



The 5th, 7th, 9th, 11th, 13th, 15th numbers are set as follows (to be set, if the previously defined six numbers which the present numbers are combined with are different from zero).

Number Refers to	5th 1st node	7th 2nd node	9th 3rd node	11th 4th node	13th 5th node	15th 6th node	Indicates that the relevant node is linked with the Nth. boundary line or point (paragraph 6) as follows
combined with	4th number	6th number	8th number	10th number	12th number	14th number	As previously defined
is set to	N	N	N	N	N	N	WHERE N indicates the nth boundary line or point in the order they have been defined in paragraph 6. The transformation is to be performed with respect to the system defined by the line $A_1 A_2$
is set to	-N	-N	-N	-N	-N	-N	(only if the corresponding number of the previously defined set is positive) N as above. The transformation is to be performed with respect to the global coordinate system.

See paragraph 7 and Chapter 8.

The 16th number indicates the loading condition for the element and is set in a value as follows


0 If no load is to be applied

n Where n is the number of the non zero terms of the load matrix which is of the following form

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$P_x$	$P_y$	$P_z$	$R_x^1$	$R_y^1$	$R_z^1$	$R_x^2$	$R_y^2$	$R_z^2$	$R_x^3$	$R_y^3$	$R_x^3$

$P_i$  the component of the uniformly distributed load with respect to i global axis

$R_i^j$  the component of the concentrated load at node j with respect to i global axis



If the 16th number is 0 the next card is the card with the 16 numbers for the next element.

If the 16th number is different from zero the next card (cards) must be inserted in the following way via FORMAT (I5,D 10.3). The first number to be punched indicates the column of the non-zero term in the load matrix and the second number indicates the magnitude of the non-zero term.









PLATE MODEL

REFERENCE SYMBOL  
**PDS24**

CODE NUMBER  
**5**

STRESSES EVALUATED  
 AT CENTROID  
 $M_{xyc}, M_{yxc}, M_{xyc}, G_{xc}, G_{yc}$

TOTAL NUMBER OF D.O.F.  
**24**

DOF	DEGREES OF FREEDOM		FREE EDGE	FREE EDGE WITH STIFFENER	SIMPLY SUPPORTED EDGE	SIMPLY SUPPORTED EDGE WITH CTR.	CLAMPED EDGE	CLAMPED EDGE WITH STIF.	AXIS OF SYMMETRY	AXIS OF SYMMETRY WITH STIF.	POINT SUPPORT	CORNER POINT							
	BEFORE THE TRANSFORMATION	AFTER THE TRANSFORMATION																	
W	W	W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$V_{xx}$	$\Theta_x$	$\Theta_x$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W <sub>y</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W <sub>xy</sub>	W <sub>mm</sub>	W <sub>mm</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W <sub>xy</sub>	W <sub>sm</sub>	W <sub>sm</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W <sub>yy</sub>	W <sub>ss</sub>	W <sub>ss</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\phi_x$	$\phi_m$	$\phi_m$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\phi_y$	$\phi_s$	$\phi_s$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(CORNER NODES

MIDDLE NODES  
 (IF DIFFERENT FROM CORNER NODES)

1 INDICATES CONSTRAINED D.O.F.  
 0 INDICATES FREE D.O.F.




TABLE 11.5.













## 12. SANDWICH PLATE BENDING RESULTS

The various sandwich plate bending models, (see fig. 12.1), outlined in chapter 6, were tested by solving a series of problems, for which other solutions have already been found by other researchers.

The characteristics of each individual model had to be established by the analysis of the obtained results.

As outlined in chapter 7 the sandwich dome models were formed by extending certain sandwich plate bending models.

The selection of these models was based on the conclusions drawn from the comparative analysis of the results from the plate models presented in this chapter.

The analysed problems are classified as follows.

### 12.1 Theoretical results.

Most of the existing theoretical results are obtained from numerical solutions of certain sandwich plate bending problems (small deflections). [21 ]

The following were chosen for the analysis.

#### 12.1.1. Comparison with Dynamic Relaxation Method [16]

Square isotropic sandwich plate under uniformly distributed load.

The plate is simply supported with the twisting moment fixed to zero ( $M_{ns} = 0$ ) all along the boundaries. (see figs. 12.5, 12.6, CASE 1)

A second solution was obtained with the twisting moment acting ( $M_{ns} \neq 0$ ) all along the boundaries. (see figs. 12.5, 12.7, CASE 2)

The deflection curves obtained for the various models compare very well with those of reference [16]. The model PDS21 is more flexible than the rest. The same applies for the models PDS30 to a lesser extent.

For the mixed model PMX24 the agreement is very good; the mixed model PMX12 being less accurate.

The various models are very accurate with regard to the distribution of moment. The agreement with regard to the distribution of shear stresses varies from very good for the models PDS21 and PMX24 to fair for the models PDS15 and PMX12 [16].

#### 12.1.2. Comparison with Finite Difference Method [110]

Square orthotropic sandwich plate under uniformly distributed load. The plate is simply supported with the twisting moment acting ( $M_{ns} \neq 0$ ) all along the boundaries.

Six sets of results were obtained by varying the properties of the plate. (see fig. 12.5, CASES 3 ÷ 8)

The results for each case are presented in figs. 12.8 ÷ 12.11

The various models behave in the same way as presented in the previous section 12.1.1.

#### 12.1.3. Comparison with Finite Difference Method [110]

Square orthotropic sandwich plate under uniformly distributed load. The plate is clamped with the twisting moment acting ( $M_{ns} \neq 0$ ) all along the boundaries.

Three sets of results were again obtained by varying the properties of the plate. (see fig. 12.5, CASES 9 ÷ 11)

The results obtained for each individual case are presented in figs. 12.12 ÷ 12.20.

The maximum values for the deflection, moment and shear stress obtained through the various models are plotted against the values of shear stiffness for the different cases. (see figs. 12.19, 12.20 CASE 9 ÷ 12)

The deviation of the deflection obtained through the model PDS21 increases for small values of shear stiffness as the deflection due to shear becomes predominant in the increase of the total deflection.

The effect of the shear stiffness on the moments and shear stresses is negligible.

#### 12.1.4. Comparison with Finite Element Models [21, 97]

Skew isotropic sandwich plate under uniformly distributed load.

The plate is simply supported with the twisting moment acting ( $M_{ns} \neq 0$ ) all along the boundaries. (see figs. 12.21 ÷ 12.24, CASE 1)

A second solution was obtained with the twisting moment fixed to zero ( $M_{ns} = 0$ ) all along the boundaries. (see figs. 12.21 ÷ 12.27, CASE 2)

The models PMX12, PMX24 appear to be stiff and the models PDS21, PDS30 flexible for CASE 1 with regard to the deflection although the

agreement for CASE 2 is very good.

For the distribution of the moment  $M_y$  for CASE 1 the agreement is reasonable for most of the displacement models, although the mixed models differ because the  $M_y$  was fixed to zero at the corner A. For the distribution of the  $M_x$  for CASE 1 the mixed models are more accurate.

For CASE 2, all the models are quite accurate with regard to the distribution of the moments  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$ , the mixed models being superior in this respect.

#### 12.1.5. Comparison with Fourier Series Method. [66]

Skew isotropic sandwich plate under uniformly distributed load [66]

The plate is clamped with the twisting moment acting ( $M_{ns} \neq 0$ ) all along the boundaries.

Four sets of results were obtained by varying the skew angle of the plate. (see figs. 12.28 ÷ 12.37, CASES 1 ÷ 4)

For all the cases the mixed models as well as most of the displacement models are seen to be accurate, the mixed models being superior in this respect.

The higher order models PDS24, PDS30 appear to be less accurate.

### 12.2 Experimental Results

#### 12.2.1. Comparison with Sandwich Plates tested by Bettess

Square sandwich plate under concentrated load at the centre of the plate. [21]

The plate was supported at all four corners and the edges were unstiffened ( $M_{ns} = 0$  all along the boundaries.)

Seven cases were analysed corresponding to seven different sandwich panels tested by Bettess. [21] (see figs. 12.38 ÷ 12.49, CASES 1 ÷ 7)

The above cases proved to be the most difficult modelling tests for the various elements.

The models PDS21, PDS30, PRO18 show an increased flexibility (up to 45%) for most cases excepting CASE 6. (see fig. 12.39)

Due to the above behaviour additional boundary conditions were introduced for the above models, by restraining the rotations at the four supports.

For the quadratic mixed model PMX24 the modelling was performed with the twisting moment acting all along the free edge. Despite this, the

model behaves in an increasingly flexible manner for cases 1, 2 and 5, but becomes stiffer for case 6.

The displacement models PDS15 and PDS24 proved more successful than the rest with the exception of case 5, generally agreeing very well with the experimental results.

#### 12.2.2. Comparison with triangular sandwich plates under concentrated load tested by COLLINS [21, 33, 85]

Six cases were analysed varying the shape, the loading point and the boundary conditions of the plate.

The properties of the plate were the same as Bettles's Plate 3.

For all the models the agreement with the experimental results varies from good to very good. The displacement models PDS15 and PDS24 appear to behave in a less flexible manner in comparison with the experimental results, the latter being closer to the behaviour of the models PDS21 and PDS30 which behave as in the previously analysed cases with an increased flexibility. The behaviour of the mixed models PMX12, PMX24 and the rotation model PRO18 is very satisfactory.

#### 12.3 Conclusions

Seven different models were used in the present analysis to solve sandwich plate bending problems as presented in section 12.1 and 12.2 serving the purpose of comparison between the mixed variational approach and the displacement variational approach. (chapters 4 and 6)

An attempt was made by simulating the theoretical and experimental results obtained by other researchers, to understand the behaviour of the various models and from the comparative study to select the most efficient and appropriate for the sandwich dome problem as explained in chapters 7 and 12.

The following can be concluded from the problems analysed in sections 12.1, 12.2.

From the convergence study presented in figs. 12.2 ÷ 12.5 it is evident that all the models converge, the higher order in a better way as expected.

The improvement in the accuracy obtained from the higher order displacement models PDS24 and PDS30 in comparison with the models PDS15 and PDS21 does not provide enough justification for the considerable increase in computational effort, due to the increase in the total number of

unknowns for a problem. (see fig. 6.1, 6.2, 12.2 ÷ 12.5)

Another important factor is the boundary conditions for the higher order models PDS24 and PDS30. They include restraints of second derivations of deflections ( $W_{nn}$ ,  $W_{sn}$ ,  $W_{ss}$ ) which adds one more difficulty to the already delicate problem of simulating the physical boundary restraints.

This proved to have a very great influence in the case of the square plates supported at the four corners under concentrated load, as mentioned in Section 12.2.

The displacement models tend to show better accuracy for problems with few displacement constraints (free edges) than the mixed models. On the other hand for problems with a large number of displacement constraints (clamped edges) but few constraints for the mixed models, the latter are more accurate in their behaviour.

The same is valid from the numerical and computational effort point of view when the boundary constraints are introduced as computer data.

The mixed models proved advantageous with regard to the distribution of the stresses and in particular to the distribution of moments, which is obtained from nodal values, as for the mixed models the moments represent degrees of freedom (see chapters 4, 5 and 6)

As expected the increase in the order of the shear variation for the models PDS21 and PDS30 produce more flexible models because of the increase, as mentioned in section 12.1.1, in the part of the total deflection due to shear.

This was proved to cope in a better way with problems where the ratio shear stiffness over bending stiffness  $S_{xz}^{xz}/D_{xx}^{xx}$  (see chapter 2) had small values of the range between 1 ÷ 15, as some of the cases tested experimentally. (12.2)

#### 12.4 The extension from Plate to Dome Models

As already mentioned in chapter 7, four plate models were extended to form the four dome models (figs. 7.1, 7.2 and 13.1). These are PDS15, PDS21, PMX24 and PRO18.

The two higher order elements PDS24 and PDS30 were rejected because, as outlined in section 12.3, the accuracy obtained does not justify the increase in the total number of unknowns. Another decisive factor was the difficulty which the second derivatives present in transformation and boundary conditions.

The mixed models PMX12 was rejected for reasons outlined in chapter 7.

The first models PDS15 (DDS21) has the advantage of possessing the least degrees of freedom as a sandwich dome model, this being of great significance from the computational effort point of view. The behaviour of the model when tested by the different plate bending problems was found, on average to be very satisfactory.

The second model PDS21 (DDS33) although it appeared to behave flexibly in most cases, was included in the set of dome models. The reason for this inclusion was that most of the dome cases to be analysed, presented a ratio of shear stiffness over bending stiffness ( $S_{xz} / D_{xx}$ ) of a fairly low value. As outlined in the previous section, this particular high shear model proved quite successful in this respect.

The third model PMX24 (DMX36) was very successful in a large number of cases but not so successful in others, as explained in the previous section. Its application to the dome problem will provide comparative results between the mixed and displacement approach for the dome problem.



Special advantages of the mixed dome model include the higher variation with regard to plane stress (second order) and the presence of moments as degrees of freedom, the latter being very significant for the analysis of dome structures (see chapters 10 and 13).

The fourth model PRO18 (DRO30) was successful throughout the various sandwich plate bending problems. It was included in order to obtain comparative results from the total rotation model category which it represents.

FIG. 12.1.

SANDWICH PLATE MODELS

REFERENCE SYMBOL DEGREES OF FREEDOM	SANDWICH - PLATE MODELS	STRESSES
<b>PDS15</b> $w, w_x, w_y, \phi_x, \phi_y$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yyc}, M_{xyc}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ } at the centroid
<b>PDS21</b> $w, w_x, w_y, \phi_x, \phi_y$ $\phi_x, \phi_y$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yyc}, M_{xyc}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ } at the centroid
<b>PMX12</b> $w, M_{xx}, M_{yy}, M_{xy}$ MIXED MODEL		$Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ at the centroid
<b>PMX24</b> $w, M_{xx}, M_{yy}, M_{xy}$ MIXED MODEL		$Q_{x1}, Q_{y1}$ at 1st mode $Q_{x2}, Q_{y2}$ at 2nd mode
<b>PDS24</b> $w, w_x, w_y, w_{xx}, w_{xy}, w_{yy}$ $\phi_x, \phi_y$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yyc}, M_{xyc}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ } at the centroid
<b>PDS30</b> $w, w_x, w_y, w_{xx}, w_{xy}, w_{yy}$ $\phi_x, \phi_y$ $\phi_x, \phi_y$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yyc}, M_{xyc}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ } at the centroid
<b>PRO18</b> $w, \theta_x, \theta_y$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yyc}, M_{xyc}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ } at the centroid



$M=3$

- PDS15
- △ PDS21
- x PMX12
- PMX24
- PDS24
- + PDS30
- ▽ PRO18

$M$  number of mesh subdivisions




FIG. 12.2.

total number of degrees of freedom

# CONVERGENCE TOWARDS SERIES SOLUTION




FIG. 12.3.

# CONVERGENCE TOWARDS SERIES SOLUTION





FIG. 12.4.



## SQUARE PLATE

UNDER  
UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOAD  
 $1 \text{ Nt/m}^2$

KEY

PDS15	◦
PDS21	△
PMX12	×
PMX24	----
PDS24	□
PDS30	+
PRO18	▽

CASE	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	$D_{xx}^{xx}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{xx}^{yy} = D_{yy}^{xx}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{yy}^{yy}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{xy}^{xy}$ (Nt/m)	$S_{xz}^{xz}$ (Nt/m)	$S_{yz}^{yz}$ (Nt/m)	COMPARISON with
1.	SIMPLY SUPPORTED $M_{ns} = 0$ . along the supports	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.7	16.	16.	FINITE DIFFERENCES [1c] (BASU and DAWSON)
2.	SIMPLY SUPPORTED $M_{ns} \neq 0$ . along the supports	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.7	16.	16.	FINITE DIFFERENCES (BASU and DAWSON) [1c]
3.	>>	1.1	0.33	1.1	0.769	50.	50.	FINITE DIFFERENCES [110] (CHAPMAN and WILLIAMS)
4.	>>	1.08	0.27	0.9	0.631	50.	60.	>>
5.	>>	1.07	0.229	0.764	0.535	50.	70.	>>
6.	>>	1.06	0.198	0.663	0.464	50.	80.	>>
7.	>>	1.055	0.176	0.586	0.409	50.	90.	>>
8.	>>	1.045	0.157	0.522	0.367	50.	100.	>>
9.	CLAMPED $M_{ns} \neq 0$ . along the supports	1.1	0.33	1.1	0.769	50.	50.	>>
10.	>>	1.1	0.33	1.1	0.769	250.	250.	>>
11.	>>	1.1	0.33	1.1	0.769	500.	500.	>>

FIG. 12.5.

# CASE 1.




FIG. 12.6.




FIG. 12.7.

CASE 3.




FIG. 12.8.




FIG. 12.9.




FIG. 12.10.




FIG. 12.11

CASE 9





FIG. 12.12



- PDS15
- PDS21
- × PMX12
- PMX24
- PDS24
- + PDS30
- ▽ PRO18

CASE 9.

$-5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ Nt/m/m}$






FIG. 12.13.

CASE 10.




FIG. 12.14.

# CASE 10.




FIG. 12.15.

# CASE 11




FIG. 12.16.

CASE 11.




FIG. 12.17.

	CASE 9.					CASE 10.					CASE 11.				
MESH 3 x 3	$W_c$	$M_{xx(max)}$	$M_{xy(min)}$	$M_{xy(max)}$	$Q_y(max)$	$W_c$	$M_{xx(max)}$	$M_{xx(min)}$	$M_{xy(max)}$	$Q_y(max)$	$W_c$	$M_{xx(max)}$	$M_{xx(min)}$	$M_{xy(max)}$	$Q_y(max)$
PDS15	$2.71 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.15 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.29 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.32 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-2.74 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.53 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.17 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.28 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.60 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.00 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.38 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.17 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.28 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.28 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.15 \cdot 10^{-1}$
PDS21	$3.41 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.14 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.74 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.65 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.77 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.19 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.56 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.42 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.82 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.52 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.18 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.38 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.93 \cdot 10^{-1}$
PMX12	$2.63 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.56 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.24 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.24 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-2.83 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.44 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.53 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.60 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.94 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.01 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.28 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.53 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.70 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.37 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.08 \cdot 10^{-1}$
PMX24	$2.72 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.35 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.32 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.67 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.68 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.49 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.26 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.42 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.94 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.33 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.24 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.56 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.90 \cdot 10^{-1}$
PDS24	$2.74 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.12 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.57 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.08 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.49 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.50 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.43 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.33 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.58 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.53 \cdot 10^{-1}$
PDS30	$2.55 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.30 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.34 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.49 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.08 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.49 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.28 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.41 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.89 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.43 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.34 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.27 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.46 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.80 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.72 \cdot 10^{-1}$
PRO18	$2.66 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.65 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.91 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.00 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.46 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.16 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.72 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.54 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-2.99 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.28 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.13 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.71 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.14 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-2.74 \cdot 10^{-1}$
CHAPMAN AND WILLIAMS	$2.70 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.30 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.35 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.70 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.50 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.70 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-4.00 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.35 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-5.00 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.30 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-4.10 \cdot 10^{-1}$
(UNITS)	(m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)	(Nt/m)

FIG. 12.18.




FIG. 12.19.





FIG. 12.20.

SKEW SIMPLY SUPPORTED PLATE

UNDER  
UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOAD  
 $1 \text{ Nt/m}^2$



KEY	
PDS15	o
PDS21	◊
PMX12	x
PMX24	----
PDS24	□
PDS30	+
PRO18	v

CASE	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	$D_{xx}^{xx} (Nt/m)$	$D_{xx}^{yy} = D_{yy}^{xx} (Nt/m)$	$D_{yy}^{yy} (Nt/m)$	$D_{xy}^{xy} (Nt/m)$	$S_{yz}^{xz} (Nt/m)$	$S_{yz}^{yz} (Nt/m)$	COMPARISON with	
1.	SIMPLY SUPPORTED $M_{ns} \neq 0$ along the boundaries	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.7	218.4	218.4	BETTES	SANDERS
2.	SIMPLY SUPPORTED $M_{ns} = 0$ along the boundaries	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.7	218.4	218.4	BETTES	SANDERS

FIG. 12.21.





FIG. 12.22.





FIG. 12.23.

CASE I.




FIG. 12.24.

CASE 2.





FIG. 12.25.

CASE 2.





FIG. 12.26.





FIG. 12.27.

UNDER  
UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOAD  $1\text{Nt}/\text{m}^2$



KEY	
PDS15	◦
PDS21	◡
P MX12	x
P MX24	----
PDS24	□
PDS30	----- or +
PRO18	∇

CASE	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	$\theta$ (dg.)	$D_{xx}^{xx}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{yy}^{xx} = D_{xx}^{yy}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{yy}^{yy}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{xy}^{xy}$ (Nt/m)	$S_{xz}^{xz}$ (Nt/m)	$S_{yz}^{yz}$ (Nt/m)	COMPARISON with $\rightarrow$
1.	CLAMPED $M_{ns} = 0$ along the boundaries	$15^\circ$	$1.6527 \cdot 10^4$	$0.5289 \cdot 10^4$	$1.6527 \cdot 10^4$	$1.1238 \cdot 10^4$	$0.8979 \cdot 10^5$	$0.8979 \cdot 10^5$	KENNEDY [66]
2.	>>	$30^\circ$	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>
3.	>>	$45^\circ$	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>
4.	>>	$60^\circ$	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

FIG. 12.28.

CASE 1.




FIG. 12.29.

# CASE 1.




FIG. 12.30.





FIG. 12.31.





FIG. 12.32.




FIG. 12.33.




FIG. 12.34.





FIG. 12.35.





FIG. 12.36.

	CASE 1. ( $\theta=15^\circ$ )			CASE 2. ( $\theta=30^\circ$ )			CASE 3. ( $\theta=45^\circ$ )			CASE 4. ( $\theta=60^\circ$ )		
	$W_c$	$M_{max}$	$M_{edge}$									
PDS15	0.841	2.22	-2.35	0.674	1.74	-2.30	0.448	1.25	-1.21	0.218	0.703	-0.721
PDS21	0.899	2.33	-1.85	0.707	2.06	-1.90	0.442	1.37	-1.41	0.188	0.643	-1.25
PMX12	0.851	2.86	-3.99	0.673	2.43	-3.10	0.445	1.76	-1.99	0.221	0.964	-0.940
PMX24	0.888	2.65	-4.30	0.732	2.24	-3.54	0.509	1.64	-2.53	0.270	0.934	-1.35
PDS24	0.848	2.56	-1.78	0.657	2.44	-1.91	0.411	2.15	-1.71	0.173	1.120	-1.66
PDS30	0.729	2.66	-2.40	0.588	2.22	-2.37	0.398	1.52	-2.35	0.204	0.881	-1.95
PRO18	0.885	2.65	-2.35	0.729	2.18	-1.90	0.507	1.54	-1.47	0.269	0.828	-0.950
KENNEDY	0.912	2.53	-4.36	0.748	2.19	-3.66	0.524	1.63	-3.59	0.282	0.956	-2.15
	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$	$10^{-2} Nt/m$

FIG. 12.37.



## SQUARE PLATE WITH FOUR CORNER SUPPORTS

UNDER

1 Nt. CENTRAL LOAD

KEY	
PDS15	o
PDS21 <small>with stiff-corners</small>	△
PMX12	x
PMX24	----
PDS24	□
PDS30 <small>with stiff-corners</small>	+
PRO18 <small>with stiff-corners</small>	▽
PDS21	△
PDS30	◇
PRO18	▽

CASE	DESCRIPTION OF THE PLATE	$\alpha$ (m)	$D_{xx}^{xx}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{yy}^{xx} = D_{xx}^{yy}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{yy}^{yy}$ (Nt/m)	$D_{xy}^{xy}$ (Nt/m)	$S_{xz}^{xz}$ (Nt/m)	$S_{yz}^{yz}$ (Nt/m)	COMPARISON WITH
1.	PLYWOOD PLATE (BETTES PLATE 1.) $\frac{c}{f} = 15.7$	0.5	9700	2600	6800	7100	59000	59000	BETTES EXPERIMENT [21]
2.	PLYWOOD PLATE (BETTES PLATE 3.) $\frac{c}{f} = 7.5$	0.5	2540	690	1800	1900	32000	32000	>>
3.	FIBREGLASS PLATE (BETTES PLATE 4.)	0.5	$0.29 \cdot 10^4$	$0.86 \cdot 10^3$	$0.29 \cdot 10^4$	$0.20 \cdot 10^4$	$2.5 \cdot 10^5$	$2.5 \cdot 10^5$	>>
4.	FIBREGLASS PLATE (BETTES PLATE 5.)	0.5	$0.15 \cdot 10^4$	$0.46 \cdot 10^3$	$0.15 \cdot 10^4$	$0.11 \cdot 10^4$	$0.18 \cdot 10^6$	$0.18 \cdot 10^6$	>>
5.	FIBREGLASS PLATE (BETTES PLATE 6.)	0.5	$0.59 \cdot 10^3$	$0.18 \cdot 10^3$	$0.59 \cdot 10^3$	$0.41 \cdot 10^3$	$1.0 \cdot 10^5$	$1.0 \cdot 10^5$	>>
6.	ALUMINIUM PLATE (BETTES PLATE 7.) $\frac{c}{f} = 22.6$	1.0	$0.29 \cdot 10^4$	$0.72 \cdot 10^3$	$0.29 \cdot 10^4$	$0.17 \cdot 10^4$	$0.37 \cdot 10^6$	$0.37 \cdot 10^6$	>
7.	HARDBOARD PLATE (BETTES PLATE 8.) $\frac{c}{f} = 15.3$	1.0	$0.26 \cdot 10^5$	$0.79 \cdot 10^4$	$0.26 \cdot 10^5$	$0.92 \cdot 10^4$	$1.4 \cdot 10^5$	$1.4 \cdot 10^5$	>>

FIG. 12.38.




FIG. 12.39.

CASE 2.




FIG. 12.40.

CASE 5




FIG. 12.41.

CASE 4.





FIG. 12.42.

CASE 5.




FIG. 12.43.




FIG. 12.44.




FIG. 12.45.




FIG. 12.46.





FIG. 12.47.




FIG. 12.48.





FIG. 12.43.



$$D_{xx}^{xx} = 0.254 \cdot 10^4 \text{ Nt/m}, \quad D_{xx}^{yy} = D_{yy}^{xx} = 0.690 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Nt/m}$$

$$D_{yy}^{yy} = 0.180 \cdot 10^4 \text{ Nt/m}, \quad D_{xy}^{xy} = 0.190 \cdot 10^4 \text{ Nt/m}$$

$$D_{xz}^{xz} = D_{yz}^{yz} = 0.320 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Nt/m}$$

KEY	
PDS15	•
PDS21	◦
PMX12	×
PMX24	-----
PDS24	◻
PDS30	+
PRO18	▼

CASE	$L_1$ (m)	$L_2$ (m)	$\phi$ (deg.)	BOUNDARY CONDITION OF THE SIDE AB	BOUNDARY CONDITION OF THE SIDE BC	BOUNDARY CONDITION OF THE SIDE CA	1. NT. CON. LOAT AT THE POINT	COMPARISON WITH
1.	1.	1.	90°	simply supported	simply supported	simply supported	G	COLLINS [33] EXPERIMENT
2.	1.	1.	90°	>>	simply supported	free	E	>>
3.	1.	1.	90°	>>	free	simply supported	G	>>
4.	0.75	0.75	60°	>>	simply supported	simply supported	G	>>
5.	0.75	0.75	60°	>>	free	simply supported	D	>>
6.	0.75	0.75	60°	>>	free	simply supported	G	>>

FIG. 12.50.





FIG. 12.51.




FIG. 12.52.



# CASE 4.





FIG. 12.54.








FIG. 12.55.








FIG. 12.56.

13. RESULTS FROM THE SANDWICH DOME MODELS

As presented in the previous chapter (see section 12.4), four sandwich dome models were developed.

They were tested with a series of sandwich dome problems and the obtained results were compared with the experimental results obtained for the same problems by previous researchers as well as by the author himself.

These analysed problems are as follows:

- |     |                  |                       |                 |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| (a) | Tetrahedral dome | (fig. 13.6 ÷ 13.17)   | [85]            |
| (b) | Square Pyramid   | (fig. 13.18 ÷ 13.32)  | [21]            |
| (c) | 16-faced dome    | (fig. 13.33 ÷ 13.33)  | [85]            |
| (d) | 24-faced dome    | (fig. 13.59 ÷ 13.87)  | (see chapter 9) |
| (e) | 36-faced dome    | (fig. 13.88 ÷ 13.132) | (see chapter 9) |

The elastic properties of the sandwich panels from which the above structures were constructed are listed in fig. 13.3.

The elastic properties of the materials, from which the sandwich panels themselves are composed, are outlined in fig. 13.2 (see also references [21, 85]).

### 13.1 Tetrahedral Dome (figs. 13.6 ÷ 13.17) [85]

The shape, support conditions and dimensions of the structure are shown in figures 13.6 and 13.7. (For a more detailed presentation see references [21, 85] ).

This dome was analysed for one loading case. The structure was loaded with 1Nt vertical concentrated load applied at the centroid of face ABC as shown in figures 13.6 and 13.7. Due to symmetry one half of the structure was modelled.

The displacements normal to the face are plotted against experimental results [85], for the loaded panel. (figs. 13.13, 13.13).

It is evident that the presence of a free edge at the loaded panel increases the deformations due to shear, especially as the sandwich panels forming the tetrahedral dome have low shear rigidity. (see fig. 13.3)

It is for this reason that the results obtained from the higher shear variation model, DDS33, appear more accurate when compared with the experimental results.

The horizontal displacements obtained from the various models are plotted in figures 13.8 ÷ 13.11, for half of the whole structure.

The moments and shear forces distribution of the loaded panel ABC, are shown in figures 13.14 ÷ 13.17. The results obtained from the various models when compared with each other appear to agree fairly well in their respective distribution form.

For the mixed model DMX36, the moment  $M_{nn}$ , where  $n$  is the vector normal to the relevant joint line (see fig. 8.2), was fixed to zero all along the various joint lines of the structure, with the result that the joints acted like hinges.

This constraint of the moment  $M_{nn}$  was justified by the way these joints were constructed. (see chapter 10 and reference [85]).

### 13.2 Square Pyramid (figs. 13.18 ÷ 13.32) [21]

The shape, support conditions and dimensions of the structure are shown in figures 13.18 and 13.19. (For a more detailed presentation see reference [21]).

This structure was analysed when loaded with 1 Nt vertical concentrated load at all four face centroids, as shown in figures 13.18 and 13.19. Due to symmetry only one half of the structure was modelled.

The horizontal displacements for half of the whole structure and the in-plane stresses at specific points of the loaded face EBC are shown in figures 13.20 ÷ 13.23.

The agreement between the results obtained from the various models and the experiment with regard to the in-plane stresses is very good. The models

with higher order variation of the in-plane displacements (DDS33, DMX36, DRO30) show increased values of horizontal displacements, as expected, when compared with the linear variation model with regard to the in-plane displacements. (DDS21).

Displacements normal to the face are plotted against experimental results [21], for the loaded panel EBC. (see figs. 13.24, 13.27). The agreement between the experimental results and the result obtained from the various models is good, especially for the models DDS21 and DMX36.

The free edge does not influence the behaviour of the loaded panel in the same way as outlined in the previous section.

This is due to the high shear rigidity of the aluminium sandwich panels from which the square pyramid is composed. (see fig. 13.3).

The distribution of moments for the loaded panel EBC is shown in figures 13.28 ÷ 13.32.

The results obtained from the various models, when compared with each other, appear to agree very well in their respective distribution form. Moreover, the agreement with the experimental results is also very good.

For the mixed model DMX36, the moment  $M_{nn}$ , where  $n$  is the vector normal to the relevant joint line (see fig. 8.2), was fixed to zero all along the various joint lines so that the joints acted like hinges. This constraint of the moment  $M_{nn}$  was justified by the way these joints were constructed. (see chapter 10 and reference [85]).

### 13.3 16-faced domes (figs. 13.33 ÷ 13.58) [85]

The shape, support conditions and dimensions of the structure are shown in figures 13.33, 13.34. (For a more detailed presentation see reference [85]).

This structure was analysed for two loading cases. Due to symmetry only  $1/8$  of the structure was modelled.

The first loading case consisted of 1Nt vertical concentrated load acting at all eight upper panel centroids. (see figs. 13.35 ÷ 13.46).

For the second case the load was 1 Nt vertical concentrated load applied at all eight bottom panel centroids. (see figs. 13.47 ÷ 13.58).

The experimental results are presented in reference [85]. For each loading case, the horizontal and vertical displacements for  $1/8$  of the whole structure as well as the normal displacements of the loaded face, obtained by employing the four individual dome models, are plotted against the experimental results. [85]. (see figs. 13.39 ÷ 13.42, 13.51 ÷ 13.54)

The agreement between the results obtained from the various models and the experimental results varies from quite good for the first model (DDS21)

to very good for the remaining models (DDS33, DMX36, DRO30). (see figs. 13.39 ÷ 13.42, 13.51 ÷ 13.54)

The reason for this is the linear variation of the in-plane displacements for the first model (DDS21) in comparison with the higher order variation for the same parameters for the other models:

For the second loading case the presence of the free edge and the low shear rigidity of the sandwich panels forming the structure, resulted in the same behaviour, with regard to the higher order shear model DDS33, as the one observed in the tetrahedral dome (see section 13.1), in that the latter model showed increased flexibility.

For the mixed model, DMX36, the moment  $M_{nn}$ , where  $n$  is the vector normal to the relevant joint line (see fig. 8.2), was fixed to zero all along the various joint lines of the structure, with the result that the joints acted like hinges.

This constraint of the moment  $M_{nn}$  was justified by the way these joints were constructed. (see chapter 10 and reference [85]).

#### 13.4 24-faced dome (figs. 13.59 ÷ 13.87)

The shape, support conditions and dimensions of the structure are shown in figs. 13.59, 13.60.

Details relevant to the construction and testing of this dome are presented in chapter 9.

This structure was analysed for two loading cases. Due to symmetry, only  $1/12$  of the structure was modelled.

The first loading case consisted of 1 Nt vertical concentrated load acting at all twelve upper panel centroids. (see figs. 13.62 ÷ 13.74)

In the second loading case the vertical concentrated load of 1 Nt was applied at all twelve bottom panel centroids. (see figs. 13.75 ÷ 13.87)..

The experimental results are presented in figures 13.62 and 13.75 for each loading case respectively.

The horizontal and vertical displacements for  $1/12$  of the whole structure as well as the normal displacements of the loaded face were obtained for both loading cases by employing all four individual dome models.

These results are plotted against experimental results obtained by testing the structure as presented in chapter 9.

For both loading cases, the higher order variation of the in plane displacement models DDS33, DMX36 and DRO30, yield better accuracy than the linear variation of the in plane displacement model DDS21.

The accuracy obtained is satisfactory. All the models agree in their deformation pattern with the experimental results. The higher order shear variation model DDS33 agrees well with the experimental results especially for the second loading case, where the presence of the free edge at the loaded panel influences the results in the same way as explained previously. (see sections 13.1, 13.3).

For the mixed model DMX36, the moment  $M_{nn}$ , where  $n$  is the vector normal to the joint-line AB (see fig. 8.2) was constrained to zero all along the line for both loading cases. This was enforced in order to simulate the joint action as outlined in chapter 10.

Some further conclusions drawn from the analysis of the 24-faced dome are as follows:

First, with regard to the joint-lines, and, in particular those unaffected by the joint action described in chapter 10, the covering steel plates (see chapters 9, 10) have a stiffening effect on the structure in the longitudinal direction of the joint. As a result, a more detailed analysis must be carried out by modelling the area adjacent to the joint lines with elements of a higher stiffness.

The second conclusion refers to the support conditions of the overall structure. In the analysis it was assumed that the structure is supported at points with all three displacements  $u$ ,  $v$ ,  $w$  as well as the moments  $M_z$ , and  $M_x$  (where  $x$ , and  $z$  the global axes, see figs. 13.59, 13.60) fixed to zero.

The supports at the actual structure were constructed in such a way that the above assumption is fulfilled (see chapter 9).

However, due to the considerable stiffness of those parts of the supports which are joined to the sandwich panels the assumption of points supports is not valid.

Thus for a more correct representation of the supports' behaviour a line support instead of a point support is recommended.

In addition, the elements adjacent to this line support must be considered with an increased stiffness.

### 13.5 36-faced dome (see figs. 13.88 ÷ 13.132)

The shape, support conditions and dimensions of the structure are shown in figures 13.88 ÷ 13.90

Details relevant to the construction and testing of this dome are presented in chapter 9. This structure was analysed for three loading cases. Due to symmetry only  $1/12$  of the whole structure was modelled.

The first loading case consisted of 1 Nt vertical concentrated load acting at all twelve upper panel centroids. (see figs. 13.91 ÷ 13.104 )

In the second loading case a vertical concentrated load of 1 Nt was applied at all twelve bottom panel centroids. (see figs. 13.105 ÷ 13.118 )

For the third loading case the same load was applied at all twelve centroids of the panels forming the dormer sections. (see figs. 13.119 ÷ 13.132 )

The horizontal and vertical displacements for  $1/12$  of the whole structure as well as the normal displacements of the loaded face, were obtained for each individual loading case, employing the four dome models.

These results are plotted against the experimental results obtained by testing the structure as presented in chapter 9. The same conclusions as stated in the previous section are again valid for this dome.

The models DDS33, DMX36 and DRO30 are more accurate than the model DDS21. All the models agree in their deformation pattern with the experimental results.

The higher shear order element, DDS33, agrees well with the experimental results especially for the third loading case where the presence of the free edges on the dormer section panels influences the results in the same way as discussed in sections 13.1 and 13.3.

For the mixed model, DMX36, the moment  $M_{nn}$ , where n is a vector normal to a line (see fig. 8.2), was constrained to zero all along the joint-lines, AB and BD, for every loading case.

This was enforced in order to simulate the joint action as explained in chapter 10.

An investigation of the distribution of the normal moment,  $M_{nn}$ , all along the joint lines for the different models, was carried out from the obtained results.

It is evident from the cases, that when the load is applied on a panel with a joint in the form of a valley adjacent to it then this joint will experience normal moments  $M_{nn}$ , of a considerable magnitude exceeding the limits found in the analysis of chapter 10 with regard to the joint action.

Further investigation and adaptation of the various computer programs, developed in the present work, which will incorporate the function of the joints, is therefore recommended. The mixed model, DMX36, has the most potential in that respect due to the presence of moments as nodal degrees of freedom.

A solution to the problem would be to introduce elastic constraints so that the normal moments could be transferred at a joint line between adjacent panels only when its magnitude does not exceed a certain limit. This limit can be decided on by a semi-analytical method, (chapter 10) or by purely experimental investigations.

14. CONCLUSIONS

Four finite element models were developed for the analysis of the polyhedral dome sandwich structures.

After a comparative study between the results obtained from these four dome models and the experimental results of the five specific analysed domes (see previous sections) it is evident that the accuracy obtained from each model varies from fair to very good depending on the nature of the problem.

A convergency study carried out for the square pyramid (fig. 13.5) indicates that the various models converge in a monotonic manner.

All the examined structures were analysed, using a subdivision of 100 triangular elements for each panel of the polyhedron.

Each individual model carries particular features which makes it more accurate for certain cases. These are as follows:

The first model, DDS21, has the advantage of possessing the lowest number of degrees of freedom.

For problems where the bending action is predominant, when compared with the membrane action, this model yields accurate results.

For structures where the membrane action becomes predominant, as in the case of the last three polyhedra, the finite element models, with a variation of the in plane displacements of an order higher than linear, yield better results. This is due to the slow convergency of the linear variation of the in-plane displacement finite element which is part of the first dome model DDS21. As a result the model becomes relatively stiffer and produces less accurate results.

As stated for the examined sandwich plate bending, as well as the sandwich dome problems, structures with free edges experience high deformations of the free edge when loaded at the relevant panel.

This is particularly valid for structures composed of sandwich panels with core of low shear rigidity. In this case a representation of the shear deformation with a variation higher than linear yields better results.

As discussed previously, the joint action could be of considerable significance for the dome structures. Further research must be carried out either analytically or experimentally in this direction as suggested.

This can be achieved numerically by modifying the models developed in the present work so that they can accommodate the desired joint action. The mixed formulation is more succesful in this respect.

The boundary conditions is another important factor and has to be treated with great care as it has a very decisive effect on the results.

Point supports, as mentioned for the sandwich plate bending and sandwich dome problems, present difficulties which can lead to incorrect physical representation of the structure. They can also lead to numerical inadequacies.

Depending on the degree of accuracy desired and the funds available a more detailed study can be performed including all these factors. The developed programs are able to accommodate such options.

For a complete understanding of the behaviour of the structures analysed in the present work the effects of geometric nonlinearities (large deflections) and the time dependent phenomena (creep) must be considered.

These structures when loaded for a long period become subject to considerable deformation which is largely due to the creep effect. This is evident from the experiments performed by Parton as well as from those carried out by the author. [85]

The first model DDS21 has the lowest number of degrees of freedom and consequently is the most efficient for use in any future analysis which includes the creep effects.

This is due to the iteration procedure which must be employed in order to solve the time dependent equations.

The author investigated these aspects but more research in this direction is necessary.

REFERENCE SYMBOL DEGREES OF FREEDOM	SANDWICH - DOME MODELS	STRESSES
<b>DDS21</b> ○ $u, v, w, w_x, w_y, \phi_x, \phi_y$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yyc}, M_{xye}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ $N_{xxc}, N_{yye}, N_{xye}$ } at the centroid
<b>DDS33</b> ○ $u, v, w, w_x, w_y, \phi_x, \phi_y$ × $\phi_x, \phi_y, u_{xy}, v_{xy}$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yye}, M_{xye}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ $N_{xxc}, N_{yye}, N_{xye}$ } at the centroid
<b>DMX36</b> ○ $u, v, w, M_{xx}, M_{yy}, M_{xy}$ MIXED MODEL		$Q_{x1}, Q_{y1}$ at 1st node $Q_{x2}, Q_{y2}$ at 2nd node $N_{xxc}, N_{yye}, N_{xye}$ at the centroid
<b>DRO30</b> ○ $u, v, w, \theta_x, \theta_y$ DISPLACEMENT MODEL		$M_{xxc}, M_{yye}, M_{xye}$ $Q_{xc}, Q_{yc}$ $N_{xxc}, N_{yye}, N_{xye}$ } at the centroid

FIG. 13.1. SANDWICH DOME MODELS


MATERIALS (REFERENCE [21])				Thickness measured (m)	ELASTIC PROPERTIES (Nt/m <sup>2</sup> )					
					$\frac{C_{xx}}{10^{10}}$	$\frac{C_{yy}}{10^{10}}$	$\frac{C_{yy}^{xx}}{10^{10}}$	$\frac{C_{xy}^{xy}}{10^{10}}$	$\frac{C_{yz}^{yz}}{10^7}$	$\frac{C_{xz}^{xz}}{10^7}$
1	PLYWOOD	FACE	ORTHOTROPIC	0.00166	1.2 ÷ 1.4	0.78 ÷ 0.91	0.32 ÷ 0.38	0.55		
2	ALUMINIUM	FACE	ISOTROPIC	0.000486	6.8	6.8	2.0	4.8		
3	FIBREGLASS	FACE	>>	VARIED						
4	HARDBOARD (ICI)	FACE	>>	0.00408	0.56	0.56	0.168	0.392		
5	HARDBOARD	FACE	>>	0.00340	0.42	0.42	0.126	0.294		
6	EXPANDED POLYURETHANE	CORE	>>	0.0260					0.2	0.2
7	EXPANDED POLYURETHANE	CORE	>>	0.0190					0.2	0.2
8	EXPANDED POLYURETHANE	CORE	>>	0.0125					0.2	0.2
9	EXP. POLYURETHANE BONDED TO THE HARDBOARD	CORE	>>	0.0260					0.2	0.2
10	EXPANDED POLYVINYL CHLORIDE	CORE	>>	0.0110					1.0	1.0
11	EXPANDED POLYURETHANE	CORE	>>	0.0190					0.14	0.14

FIG. 13.2. ELASTIC PROPERTIES

POLYHEDRAL DOME CONSTRUCTED BY SANDWICH PANELS		COMPOSED FROM MATERIALS	ELASTIC PROPERTIES OF SANDWICH PANELS (REFERENCE [21])										
			$D_{xx}^{xx}$	$D_{yy}^{xy} = D_{xx}^{yy}$	$D_{yy}^{yy}$	$D_{xy}^{xy}$	$S_{xz}^{xz}$	$S_{yz}^{yz}$	$E_{xx}^{xx}$	$E_{yy}^{xx}$	$E_{xx}^{yy}$	$E_{yy}^{yy}$	$E_{xy}^{xy}$
			$10^4 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^3 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^4 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^4 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^5 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^5 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^8 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^2 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^7 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^8 \text{ Nt/m}$	$10^8 \text{ Nt/m}$
1	TETRAHEDRAL DOME PLATES ① $h=0.031\text{m}$ $\rho/l=15.66$	1 and 6	0.97	2.6	0.68	0.71	0.59	0.59	0.46	1.3	1.3	0.30	0.37
2	TETRAHEDRAL DOME PLATES ② $h=0.023\text{m}$ $\rho/l=11.44$	1 and 7	0.54	1.5	0.38	0.39	0.45	0.45	0.46	1.3	1.3	0.30	0.37
3	TETRAHEDRAL DOME PLATES ③ $h=0.016\text{m}$ $\rho/l=7.5$	1 and 8	0.254	0.69	0.18	0.19	0.32	0.32	0.46	1.3	1.3	0.30	0.37
4	SQUARE PYRAMID $h=0.013\text{m}$ $\rho/l=22.63$	2 and 10	0.29	0.72	0.29	0.17	3.7	3.7	0.66	1.9	1.9	0.66	0.47
5	16 FACED DOME $h=0.016\text{m}$ $\rho/l=7.5$	1 and 8	0.19	0.52	0.135	0.14	0.32	0.32	0.35	0.98	0.98	0.225	0.27
6	24 FACED DOME $h=0.058\text{m}$ $\rho/l=12.75$	4 and 9	2.6	7.9	2.6	0.92	1.4	1.4	0.41	1.2	1.2	0.41	0.29
7	36 FACED DOME $h=0.058$ $\rho/l=12.75$	4 and 9	2.6	7.9	2.6	0.92	1.4	1.4	0.41	1.2	1.2	0.41	0.29
8	HEXAGONAL DOME $h=0.032$ $\rho/l=7.03$	4 and 9	0.57	1.7	0.57	0.4	0.99	0.99	0.41	1.2	1.2	0.41	0.29

FIG. 13.3. ELASTIC PROPERTIES OF SANDWICH PANELS

# DOME ELEMENTS (POLYHEDRAL STRUCTURE OF TWO PLATES)



$n = 3$

- DD515
- △ DD533
- x DMX36
- DRO30

number of subdivisions  
 $n$






FIG. 13.4.

FIG. 13.5. CONVERGENCE TOWARDS SERIES SOLUTION

$$\frac{W_{C \text{ approx.}} - W_{C \text{ exact}}}{W_{C \text{ exact}}}$$

( $W_{C \text{ exact}}$  Experimental value, Reference [21])



SQUARE PYRAMID 4  
 INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS  
 1/2 OF THE PYRAMID ANALYSED

TETRAHEDRAL DOME ( PLATES 3 )

SCALE :  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$





FIG. 13.6. GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_6$ ) OF FACE ABC

KEY

—○—	DDS21
—△—	DDS33
- - -x- - -	DMX36
- - -□- - -	DRO30
—+—	EXPERIMENT (REF. [85])




FIG. 13.7. GENERAL ARRANGEMENT


INT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_c$ ) OF FACE ABC

HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

1cm =  $10^{-1}$ m LENGTH

1cm =  $10^{-5}$ m DISPLACEMENTS



TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

FIG. 13.8.


INT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_6$ ) OF FACE ABC

HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES :

$1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.



TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

FIG. 13.9.


1 NT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_6$ ) OF FACE ABC

HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $10^{-5}$  m DISPLAC.



TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

FIG. 13.10.


INT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_c$ ) OF FACE ABC

HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $10^{-5}$  m DISPLAC.




TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

FIG. 13.11.

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_6$ ) OF FACE ABC

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE



SCALES :

1cm = 4cm LENGTH

1cm =  $0.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  m DISPL.





FIG. 13.12.

TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

THE VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_G$ ) OF FACE ABC


NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE



SCALES:  
 1cm = 4cm LENGTH  
 1cm =  $0.5 \cdot 10^{-5}m$  DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.13. TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

0.10 INT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_c$ ) OF FACE ABC



SCALES  
 1cm = 4cm LENGTH  
 1cm =  $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$  Nt m/m MOMENTS


MOMENTS  $M_{xx}$  OF LOADED FACE

TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

FIG. 13.14.


INT VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_c$ ) OF FACE ABC

MOMENTS  $M_{yy}$  OF LOADED FACE



TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

FIG. 13.15.



SCALES:

$1 \text{ cm} = 4 \text{ cm}$  LENGTH

$1 \text{ cm} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ Nt/m}$  SHEAR FORCE

1 Nt VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_b$ ) OF FACE ABC

SHEAR FORCES  $Q_y$  OF LOADED FACE

TETRAHEDRAL DOME (PLATES 3)

FIG. 13.16.

1 Nt VERTICAL LOAD AT CENTROID ( $M_6$ ) OF FACE ABC  
 SHEAR FORCES  $Q_x$  OF LOADED FACE





FIG. 13.17

# SQUARE PYRAMID

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

SCALE:  
 $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$



FREE MOVEMENT  
↔

FIG. 13.18.


# SQUARE PYRAMID

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

SCALE:

$$1\text{cm} = 5 \cdot 10^{-2}\text{m}$$

0.5m



KEY

- DDS21
- △ DDS33
- × DMx36
- DRO30
- +— EXPERIMENT  
(REF. [21])

FIG. 13.19.

# SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS







FIG. 13.20.

# SQUARE PYRAMID

IN THE VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH  
 $1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.



IN-PLANE STRESSES




FIG. 13.21.

# SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS







FIG 13.22.

# S Q U A R E P Y R A M I D

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS'

SCALES:  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH  
 $1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.



IN-PLANE STRESSES

FIG. 13.23.




FIG. 13.24. SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:  $1\text{cm} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.




FIG. 13.25.

SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:  $1\text{cm} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.




FIG. 13.26.

SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS



NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:  $1cm = 5 \cdot 10^{-2} m$  LENGTH

$1cm = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-6} m$  DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.27.

# SQUARE PYRAMID



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:  $1cm = 5 \cdot 10^{-2} m$  LENGTH

$1cm = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-6} m$  DISPLAC.




FIG. 13.28

SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

MOMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:  $l_{cm} = 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}$  LENGTH

$l_{cm} = 2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Nt m/m}$  MOMENTS




FIG. 13.29 SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

MOMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES :  $1 \text{cm} = 5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}$       LENGTH  
 $1 \text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ Ntm/m}$       MOMENTS

0.8

0.4

0.0

- 0.4

- 0.8

- 1.2

- 1.6

$- 2.0 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ Ntm/m}$

$M_y$

$M_x$

$M_{xx}$


### FIG. 13.30. SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

MOMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES  $I_{cm} = 5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^2$  LENGTH

$I_{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ Ntm/m}$  MOMENTS






FIG. 13.31. SQUARE PYRAMID

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

MOMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES :  $1 \text{ cm} = 5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}$  LENGTH  
 $1 \text{ cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ Nt m/m}$  MOMENTS




FIG. 13.32. SQUARE PYRAMID

LINE VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 4 FACE CENTROIDS

MOMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:  $l_{cm} = 5 \cdot 10^{-2} m$  LENGTH  
 $l_{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-2} Ntm/cm$  MOMENTS

16 FACED DOME  
GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

SCALE: 1m = 25m





FIG 13.33.

# 16 FACED DOME

KEY	
○	DDS21
△	DDS33
×	DMX36
□	DRO30
+	EXPERIMENT (REFERENCE [85])

SCALE: 1cm = 10<sup>-1</sup>m LENGTH





FIG. 13.34. GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

FIG. 13.35. 16 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS


SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$

FIG. 13.36. 16 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS


SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$

FIG. 13.37. 16 FACED DOME

1 N $\downarrow$  VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS




HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES :

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$

FIG. 13.38. 16 FACED DOME  
 INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES :

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS  $W, U$

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPL.  $1\text{cm} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$





FIG. 13.39. 16 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS  $W, U$

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPL.  $1\text{cm} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$



FIN. ELEMENT VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_1} = -12.56 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$

EXPERIMENTAL VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_1} = -13.66 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$


INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS ( $G_1$ )

FIG. 13.40. 16 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS W, U

SCALES

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$   
 DISPL.  $1\text{cm} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$



FIN. ELEMENT VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_1} = -12.40 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$

EXPERIMENTAL VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_1} = -13.66 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$


INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS ( $G_1$ )

FIG. 13.41. 16 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS  $W, U$

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$   
 DISPL.  $1\text{cm} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$




FINITE ELEMENT VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_1} = -12.69 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{mm}$

EXPERIMENTAL VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_1} = -13.66 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{mm}$


Jnt VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS ( $G_1$ )

FIG. 13.42. 16 FACED DOME




INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS  
 NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

FIG. 13.43. 16 FACED DOME




INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS  
 NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

FIG. 13.44. 16 FACED DOME



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS  
 NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE  
 FIG. 13.45. 16 FACED DOME




INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS  
 NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

FIG. 13.46. 16 FACED DOME

FIG. 13.47. 16 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS


SCALES :

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$

FIG. 13.48. 16 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:


LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$

FIG. 13.49.

16 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS


SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$

FIG. 13.50. 16 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$


DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS W, U

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS ( $G_2$ )

FIG. 13.51.


16 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS W, v

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$



FIN. ELEMENT	VERTICAL	DEFLECTION	$W_B = -0.11 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$
EXPERIMENTAL	VERTICAL	DEFLECTION	$W_B = -0.16 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$

FIN. ELEMENT	VERTICAL	DEFLECTION	$W_{G_2} = -14.18 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$
EXPERIMENTAL	VERTICAL	DEFLECTION	$W_{G_2} = -12.71 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS ( $G_2$ )


FIG. 1352. 16 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS  $W, v$

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-5}\text{m}$



FIN. ELEMENT VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_B = 0.0 \text{ m}$   
 EXPERIMENTAL VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_B = -0.16 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$

FIN. ELEMENT VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_2} = -13.70 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$   
 EXPERIMENTAL VERTICAL DEFLECTION  $W_{G_2} = -12.71 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS ( $G_2$ )

FIG. 13.53.


16 FACED DOME

# GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS W, U

SCALES:

LENGTH  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-1}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.  $1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$



FIN. ELEMENT	VERTICAL DEFLECTION
EXPERIMENTAL	VERTICAL DEFLECTION

$$W_B = 0.02 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$

$$W_B = -0.16 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$

FIN. ELEMEN	VERTICAL DEFLECTION
EXPERIMENTAL	VERTICAL DEFLECTION


$$W_{G2} = -13.24 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$

$$W_{G2} = -12.71 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS ( $G_2$ )

FIG. 13.54.

16 FACED DOME



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROID  
NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

FIG. 13.55. 16 FACED DOME




FIG. 13.56.

16 FACED DOME





FIG. 13.57. 16 FACED DOME



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 8 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS  
NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE


FIG. 13.58. 16 FACED DOME

# FIG. 13.59. 24. FACED DOME

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

SCALE:  
1cm = 0.5m LENGTH

PLAN



ELEVATION




FIG. 13.60. 24. FACED DOME

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

SCALE

1cm = 0.5 m LENGTH





FIG. 13.61 24 FACED DOME

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT


SCALE :

1cm = 2.10<sup>-1</sup> m LENGTH

UPPER PANEL



BOTTOM PANEL



KEY	
DDS21	○
DDS33	△
DMX36	x
DRO30	□
EXPERIMENT	+

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS


EXPERIMENTAL VALUES OF GLOBAL DISPL.  $u, v, w$

POINT	$u$ $10^{-6}m$	$v$ $10^{-6}m$	$w$ $10^{-6}m$	POINT	$u$ $10^{-6}m$	$v$ $10^{-6}m$	$w$ $10^{-6}m$	POINT	$u$ $10^{-6}m$	$v$ $10^{-6}m$	$w$ $10^{-6}m$
A	-0.05	-0.07	-0.66	M <sub>13</sub>	-1.15	-1.60	-3.50	N <sub>11</sub>	0.00	-1.32	-2.13
M <sub>1</sub>	-1.30	-1.89	-2.14	M <sub>14</sub>	0.25	-0.66	-3.19	N <sub>2</sub>	0.05	-1.06	-3.28
M <sub>2</sub>	-0.32	-1.07	-1.89	M <sub>8</sub>	-0.34	-2.30	-2.46	N <sub>3</sub>	-0.98	-0.41	-1.31
M <sub>3</sub>	-0.74	-1.31	-3.45	B	-0.82	-1.56	-3.28	N <sub>12</sub>	-1.15	-0.82	-2.21
M <sub>9</sub>	0.00	0.00	-3.03	M <sub>15</sub>	-0.47	-1.39	-2.87	N <sub>13</sub>	-0.51	-0.65	-1.56
M <sub>4</sub>	-0.25	-1.64	-2.29	M <sub>16</sub>	0.00	-1.56	-2.63	N <sub>4</sub>	-0.08	-0.82	-1.39
M <sub>5</sub>	-0.71	-1.43	-4.26	M <sub>17</sub>	0.08	-1.64	-1.97	N <sub>5</sub>	-0.33	-0.57	-1.06
M <sub>10</sub>	-1.97	-1.39	-4.01	M <sub>18</sub>	0.30	-1.31	-1.80	N <sub>14</sub>	-0.65	-0.41	-1.23
M <sub>11</sub>	-0.98	-2.71	-3.93	C	-0.25	-1.64	-2.38	N <sub>6</sub>	-0.08	-1.01	-0.98
M <sub>6</sub>	0.08	-1.31	-3.12	N <sub>1</sub>	-0.82	-1.48	-2.54	N <sub>7</sub>	-0.33	-0.41	-0.33
M <sub>7</sub>	-0.62	-1.31	-3.61	N <sub>9</sub>	-1.48	-1.48	-2.13	N <sub>8</sub>	0.00	-0.51	0.00
M <sub>12</sub>	-1.15	-1.47	-3.93	N <sub>10</sub>	-1.23	-1.42	-1.88				

FIG. 13.62. 24 FACED DOME

FIG. 13.63. 24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS


SCALES :

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 10^{-6} \text{m}$  DIS'PLAC.

FIG. 13.64. 24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES :


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.65.

24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS




HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES :

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ m}$  LENGTH


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$  DISPLAC.

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS W, U

SCALES:

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $10^{-6}$  m DISPLAC.



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER-PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.67. 24 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS  $U, W$


SCALES

$1 \text{ cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ m}$

LENGTH

$1 \text{ cm} = 10^{-6} \text{ m}$

DISPLAC.



INFL VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS

INFL FIG. 13.68. 24 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS W, U

SCALES:  
 $1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH  
 $1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$  DISPLAC.





FIG. 13.69. 24 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS  $W, U$

SCALES:

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m DISPLAC.




INT. VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.70.

24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS  
OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:


1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$  m DISPLAC.

	FINITE ELEMENT (PDS21) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)
M1	-1.10	-2.26
M2	-1.12	-1.85
M3	-1.28	-3.23
M9	-1.40	-2.57
M4	-1.28	-2.23
M5	-1.32	-3.95
M10	-2.39	-3.73
M11	-2.36	-3.97
M6	-1.60	-2.95
M7	-1.20	-3.37
M12	-1.65	-3.68
M13	-2.18	-3.35
M14	-1.65	-2.86
M8	-1.19	-2.63

FIG. 13.71. 24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

SCALES:  
 1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m. LENGTH  
 1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$  m DISPLAC.

	FINITE ELEMENT (DD533) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. $W$ ( $10^{-6}$ m)	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. $W$ ( $10^{-6}$ m)
M <sub>1</sub>	-1.53	-2.26
M <sub>2</sub>	-1.58	-1.85
M <sub>3</sub>	-1.91	-3.23
M <sub>9</sub>	-2.06	-2.57
M <sub>4</sub>	-1.89	-2.23
M <sub>5</sub>	-1.97	-3.95
M <sub>10</sub>	-3.36	-3.73
M <sub>11</sub>	-3.31	-3.97
M <sub>6</sub>	-2.15	-2.95
M <sub>7</sub>	-1.85	-3.37
M <sub>12</sub>	-2.43	-3.68
M <sub>13</sub>	-3.00	-3.35
M <sub>14</sub>	-2.56	-2.86
M <sub>8</sub>	-1.84	-2.63



FIG. 13.72. 24 FACED DOME

FIG. 13.73.

24 FACED DOME

1/4 VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS

1.23m



SCALES:

1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH  
 1cm =  $5 \cdot 10^{-7}$  m DISPLAC.

	FINITE ELEMENT (DMX36) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)
M <sub>1</sub>	-3.25	-2.26
M <sub>2</sub>	-3.32	-1.85
M <sub>3</sub>	-4.00	-3.23
M <sub>9</sub>	-4.07	-2.57
M <sub>4</sub>	-4.01	-2.23
M <sub>5</sub>	-4.12	-3.95
M <sub>10</sub>	-5.10	-3.73
M <sub>11</sub>	-5.05	-3.97
M <sub>6</sub>	-4.12	-2.95
M <sub>7</sub>	-3.95	-3.37
M <sub>12</sub>	-4.30	-3.68
M <sub>13</sub>	-4.62	-3.35
M <sub>14</sub>	-4.40	-2.86
M <sub>8</sub>	-3.77	-2.63

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE


INT. VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS

1.23m

B

C

0.0  
-0.5  
-1.0  
-1.5  
-2.0  
-2.5  
-3.0  
-3.5  
-4.0  
-4.5  
-5.0  
-5.5  
-6.0



$10^{-6} m$

W

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

	FINITE ELEMENT (DRO30) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6} m$ )	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6} m$ )
M <sub>1</sub>	-3.25	-2.26
M <sub>2</sub>	-3.32	-1.85
M <sub>3</sub>	-3.95	-3.23
M <sub>9</sub>	-4.01	-2.57
M <sub>4</sub>	-3.95	-2.23
M <sub>5</sub>	-4.04	-3.95
M <sub>10</sub>	-5.06	-3.73
M <sub>11</sub>	-5.03	-3.97
M <sub>6</sub>	-4.01	-2.95
M <sub>7</sub>	-3.85	-3.37
M <sub>12</sub>	-4.21	-3.68
M <sub>13</sub>	-4.57	-3.35
M <sub>14</sub>	-4.30	-2.86
M <sub>8</sub>	-3.82	-2.63

FIG. 13.74. 24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

EXPERIMENTAL VALUES OF GLOBAL DISPL.  $u, v, w$


POINT	$u$ $10^{-6} m$	$v$ $10^{-6} m$	$w$ $10^{-6} m$	POINT	$u$ $10^{-6} m$	$v$ $10^{-6} m$	$w$ $10^{-6} m$	POINT	$u$ $10^{-6} m$	$v$ $10^{-6} m$	$w$ $10^{-6} m$
A	-0.08	-0.21	3.45	M <sub>13</sub>	-0.74	-1.89	-1.15	N <sub>11</sub>	-0.33	-2.13	-2.62
M <sub>1</sub>	-0.33	-1.39	2.13	M <sub>14</sub>	-0.33	-1.15	-1.56	N <sub>2</sub>	0.09	-0.82	-3.11
M <sub>2</sub>	-0.35	-1.07	2.54	M <sub>8</sub>	-0.16	-1.80	-0.98	N <sub>3</sub>	-2.75	-3.44	-5.14
M <sub>3</sub>	-0.57	-0.90	0.33	B	-1.89	-3.75	-4.02	N <sub>12</sub>	-1.80	-3.85	-4.47
M <sub>9</sub>	-0.16	-1.31	1.23	M <sub>15</sub>	-1.23	-3.52	-3.85	N <sub>13</sub>	-0.5	-2.5	-
M <sub>4</sub>	-0.33	-1.64	1.07	M <sub>16</sub>	-0.25	-3.03	-3.11	N <sub>4</sub>	0.08	-1.00	-1.80
M <sub>5</sub>	-0.74	-3.11	-1.39	M <sub>17</sub>	-1.05	-2.98	-2.62	N <sub>5</sub>	-0.65	-2.66	-3.66
M <sub>10</sub>	-0.36	-1.15	-0.98	M <sub>18</sub>	-0.18	-2.38	-2.54	N <sub>14</sub>	-0.16	-1.89	-2.29
M <sub>11</sub>	0.00	-1.89	-0.41	C	-0.75	-3.03	-2.29	N <sub>6</sub>	0.16	-0.74	-1.25
M <sub>6</sub>	-0.33	-2.87	0.25	N <sub>1</sub>	-1.72	-3.46	-4.65	N <sub>7</sub>	0.00	-0.75	-1.90
M <sub>7</sub>	-1.80	-3.52	-2.62	N <sub>9</sub>	-1.39	-3.85	-3.85	N <sub>8</sub>	0.21	0.00	-0.45
M <sub>12</sub>	-1.07	-3.11	-2.46	N <sub>10</sub>	-0.66	-2.38	-3.52				

FIG. 13.75 24 FACED DOME

FIG. 13.76.

24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES :


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$  DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.77.

24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$       LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$       DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.78.

# 24 FACED DOME

1 kN VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES :

$$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$$

LENGTH


$$1\text{cm} = 4 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$$

DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.79.

24 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$       LENGTH


$1\text{cm} = 4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$       DISPLAC.

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS W, U

SCALES :

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m      LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m      DISPLAC.



INT VERTICAL LOAD - AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG 13.80. 24 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS

W, U


SCALES

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$

LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$

DISPLAC



THE VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 24 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.81. 24 FACED DOME


GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS

W, U

SCALES :

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m DISPLAC.



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.82.


24 FACED DOME

GLOBAL DISPLACEMENTS  $W, U$

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ m}$  LENGTH


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$  DISPLAC.



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.83. 24 FACED DOME

1.23m



NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE


SCALES:

1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH  
 1cm =  $4 \cdot 10^{-7}$  m DISPLAC.

	FINITE ELEMENT (DDSS21) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. $W (10^{-6} m)$	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. $W (10^{-6} m)$
N <sub>1</sub>	-3.64	-5.84
N <sub>9</sub>	-3.43	-5.45
N <sub>10</sub>	-3.13	-3.97
N <sub>11</sub>	-2.14	-3.10
N <sub>2</sub>	-1.12	-2.37
N <sub>3</sub>	-5.51	-6.62
N <sub>12</sub>	-4.64	-6.03
N <sub>13</sub>	-3.20	-4.23
N <sub>4</sub>	-1.18	-1.70
N <sub>5</sub>	-4.56	-4.23
N <sub>14</sub>	-2.85	-2.67
N <sub>6</sub>	-1.11	-1.16
N <sub>7</sub>	-2.56	-1.64
N <sub>8</sub>	-0.70	-0.18

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

1.23m



SCALES!  
 1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH  
 1cm =  $4 \cdot 10^{-3}$  m DISPLAC.


	FINITE ELEMENT (DDSS33) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)
N <sub>1</sub>	-5.30	-5.84
N <sub>9</sub>	-5.07	-5.45
N <sub>10</sub>	-4.60	-3.97
N <sub>11</sub>	-3.41	-3.10
N <sub>2</sub>	-2.09	-2.37
N <sub>3</sub>	-7.94	-6.62
N <sub>12</sub>	-6.76	-6.03
N <sub>13</sub>	-4.87	-4.23
N <sub>4</sub>	-1.91	-1.70
N <sub>5</sub>	-6.83	-4.23
N <sub>14</sub>	-4.56	-2.67
N <sub>6</sub>	-2.20	-1.16
N <sub>7</sub>	-4.86	-1.64
N <sub>8</sub>	-1.90	-0.18

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS OF LOADED FACE

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.86.

24 FACED DOME




SCALES:  
 1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH  
 1cm =  $4 \cdot 10^{-7}$  m DISPLAC.

	FINITE ELEMENT (DMX36) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. W ( $10^{-6}$ m)
N <sub>1</sub>	-6.07	-5.84
N <sub>9</sub>	-6.13	-5.45
N <sub>10</sub>	-6.05	-3.97
N <sub>11</sub>	-5.58	-3.10
N <sub>2</sub>	-5.04	-2.37
N <sub>3</sub>	-7.36	-6.62
N <sub>12</sub>	-7.16	-6.03
N <sub>13</sub>	-6.45	-4.23
N <sub>4</sub>	-5.42	-1.70
N <sub>5</sub>	-6.36	4.23
N <sub>14</sub>	-5.89	-2.67
N <sub>6</sub>	-5.49	-1.16
N <sub>7</sub>	-4.66	-1.64
N <sub>8</sub>	-4.89	-0.18

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS  
OF LOADED FACE

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.87 24 FACED DOME



SCALES:

1 cm =  $10^{-4}$  m LENGTH  
 1 cm =  $4.10^{-7}$  m DISPLAC.

	FINITE ELEMENT (DRO30) VALUE OF NORMAL DISPL. $W$ ( $10^{-6}$ m)	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLAC. $W$ ( $10^{-6}$ m)
N <sub>1</sub>	-6.76	-5.84
N <sub>9</sub>	-6.55	-5.45
N <sub>10</sub>	-6.21	-3.97
N <sub>11</sub>	-5.42	-3.10
N <sub>2</sub>	-4.56	-2.37
N <sub>3</sub>	-8.41	-6.62
N <sub>12</sub>	-7.63	-6.03
N <sub>13</sub>	-6.56	-4.23
N <sub>4</sub>	-4.88	-1.70
N <sub>5</sub>	-7.32	4.23
N <sub>14</sub>	-6.11	-2.67
N <sub>6</sub>	-5.06	-1.16
N <sub>7</sub>	-5.48	-1.64
N <sub>8</sub>	-4.46	-0.18

NORMAL DISPLACEMENTS  
OF LOADED FACE

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



FIG. 13.89.

36 FACED DOME

SCALE:

1cm = 0.5m LENGTH








FIG. 13.90. 36 FACED DOME

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

SCALE :

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$  m LENGTH

KEY	
DDS 21	○
DDS 33	△
DMX 36	x
DR 030	□
EXPERIMENT	+



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS

EXPERIMENTAL VALUES OF GLOBAL DISPLAC.  $u, v, w$


POINT	$u$ $10^{-6} m$	$v$ $10^{-6} m$	$w$ $10^{-6} m$	POINT	$u$ $10^{-6} m$	$v$ $10^{-6} m$	$w$ $10^{-6} m$
A	-0.04	-0.06	-0.53	M <sub>13</sub>	0.16	0.57	-0.94
M <sub>2</sub>	-0.08	0.52	-1.64	M <sub>14</sub>	0.04	1.15	-1.43
M <sub>3</sub>	+0.28	0.72	-2.28	M <sub>15</sub>	-0.08	1.05	-1.07
M <sub>4</sub>	-0.13	0.48	-1.73	M <sub>16</sub>	0.04	1.15	-1.07
M <sub>5</sub>	+0.78	0.98	-4.34	M <sub>17</sub>	0.45	0.41	-0.78
M <sub>6</sub>	0.49	1.80	-2.42	M <sub>18</sub>	0.04	0.16	-0.78
M <sub>7</sub>	0.61	1.48	-2.05	M <sub>19</sub>	0.16	0.57	-0.82
T	0.33	0.86	-0.98	M <sub>20</sub>	0.29	0.90	-0.91
M <sub>9</sub>	0.37	1.15	-1.54	M <sub>21</sub>	0.25	0.80	-1.25
B	0.66	2.21	-2.25	M <sub>22</sub>	0.45	0.16	-0.66
M <sub>11</sub>	0.21	1.97	-1.64	M <sub>23</sub>	0.15	0.51	-0.66
C	-0.08	1.11	-1.19				

FIG. 13.91.

36 FACED DOME

FIG. 13. 92 36 FACED DOME

IN VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2.10^{-1}\text{m}$


$1\text{cm} = 1.10^{-6}\text{m}$

LENGTH

DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.93 36 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER - PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS


SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2.10^{-1}\text{m}$       LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 1.10^{-6}\text{m}$       DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.94 36 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER-PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2.10^{-1}\text{m}$

LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 2.10^{-6}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.

IN VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER-PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$

LENGTH


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^6\text{m}$

DISPLAC.

SCALES:

1 cm =  $2.10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1 cm =  $1.10^{-6}$  m DISPLAC.




INT. VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER-PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.96 36 FACED DOME

SCALES:

1 cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m      LENGTH  
 1 cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m      DISPLAC.



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 36 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.97      36 FACED DOME


SCALES:

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m

LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m

DISPLAC.




INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER-PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.98

36 FACED DOME




1 KN $\downarrow$  VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LIVE VECTORS:

- $\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$
- $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$



MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION


SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 50 \text{Nt}_m/\text{m}$  MOMENTS

FIG. 13. 101 36 FACED DOME


1 KN $\downarrow$  VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LIVE VECTORS:

- $\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$
- $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$



MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION


SCALES:

1cm = 2.10<sup>-1</sup> LENGTH

1cm = 50 Ntm/m MOMENTS

FIG. 13.102 36 FACED DOME


1 KN-T VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LINE VECTORS:

$\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$   
 $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:

1cm = 2.10<sup>-1</sup> m LENGTH

1cm = 50 Ntm/m MOMENTS


1 KNT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LIVE VECTORS:

- $\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$
- $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 50 \text{Nt}_m/\text{m}$  MOMENTS

# 36 FACED DOME



SCALES:  
 1cm =  $10^{-4}$  m      LENGTH  
 1cm =  $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$  m      DISPLAC.



	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DD521) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DD533) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DMX36) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W <small><math>M_{mm} = 0</math> along AB, BD</small>	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DR030) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W
	†	○	△	×	□
$M_2$	-1.55	-1.11	-1.62	-3.51	-3.55
$M_3$	-2.05	-1.70	-2.30	-3.92	-3.97
$M_4$	-1.44	-1.11	-1.61	-3.50	-3.54
$M_6$	-2.71	-1.99	-2.80	-4.24	-4.27
$M_7$	-2.38	-2.02	-2.78	-4.20	-4.21
	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 UPPER PANEL CENTROIDS

1 Nt

VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS


EXPERIMENTAL VALUES OF GLOBAL DISPLAC.  $U, V, W$ 

POINT	$U$ $10^{-6}m$	$V$ $10^{-6}m$	$W$ $10^{-6}m$	POINT	$U$ $10^{-6}m$	$V$ $10^{-6}m$	$W$ $10^{-6}m$
A	0.00	0.02	0.37	M <sub>13</sub>	0.62	2.38	-1.48
M <sub>2</sub>	0.61	1.15	-0.12	M <sub>14</sub>	0.62	2.13	-1.72
M <sub>3</sub>	0.33	1.15	-0.53	M <sub>15</sub>	0.86	2.54	-2.62
M <sub>4</sub>	0.21	1.39	-0.53	M <sub>16</sub>	0.45	1.89	-1.84
M <sub>5</sub>	0.25	1.31	-0.98	M <sub>17</sub>	0.74	2.13	-1.35
M <sub>6</sub>	0.37	1.80	-1.52	M <sub>18</sub>	1.56	3.07	-2.79
M <sub>7</sub>	-0.04	0.74	-0.70	M <sub>19</sub>	0.29	1.19	-0.70
T	0.90	2.38	-0.62	M <sub>20</sub>	0.49	1.80	-1.56
M <sub>8</sub>	0.61	2.66	-1.35	M <sub>21</sub>	0.66	0.86	-1.27
B	0.49	2.21	-2.54	M <sub>22</sub>	0.49	1.48	-1.56
M <sub>11</sub>	0.03	2.21	-1.89	M <sub>23</sub>	0.04	1.15	-0.94
C	-0.12	2.13	-1.56				

FIG. 13.105 36 FACED DOME

FIG. 13.106 36 FACED DOME

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM-PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$

LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$

LENGTH:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$

DISPLAC.

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$

LENGTH

DISPLAC.

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$

LENGTH


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$

DISPLAC.

SCALES:

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m DISPLAC.



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM-PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.110

36 FACED DOME


SCALES:

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m

LENGTH

DISPLAC.



INT. VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM-PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.111 36 FACED DOME


SCALES:

$$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$$

LENGTH

$$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$$

DISPLAC.



ONE VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.112

36 FACED DOME


SCALES:

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  m

LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m

DISPLAC.




INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.113

36 FACED DOME


1 KN $\downarrow$  VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM-PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LIVE VECTORS:

$\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$   
 $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:

1cm = 2 · 10<sup>-1</sup> m LENGTH

1cm = 100 Nt<sub>m</sub>/m MOMENTS


1 KNE VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LINE VECTORS:

- $\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$
- $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 100\text{Ntm/m}$  MOMENTS


1 KN† VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM - PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LINE VECTORS:

- $\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$
- $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 100\text{Nt}_m/\text{m}$  MOMENTS


1 KNT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LIVE VECTORS:

$\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$   
 $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 100 \text{Ntm/m}$  MOMENTS

FIG. 13.11B 36 FACED DOME



SCALES:  
 1cm =  $10^{-1}$  m LENGTH  
 1cm =  $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$  m DISPLAC.



	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DD521) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DD533) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DMX36) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT $M_{nn} = 0$ AB, BD	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DRO30) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT
	+	o	Δ	x	□
M <sub>15</sub>	-3.62	-1.58	-2.46	-3.72	-3.75
M <sub>16</sub>	-2.54	-1.65	-2.49	-3.88	-3.92
M <sub>21</sub>	-1.63	-0.80	-1.57	-2.93	-2.88
M <sub>22</sub>	-2.13	-1.29	-2.05	-3.42	-3.44
M <sub>23</sub>	-1.33	-0.96	-1.69	-3.30	-3.34
	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m	$10^{-6}$ m

↓↑ VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 BOTTOM PANEL CENTROIDS

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS

EXPERIMENTAL VALUES OF GLOBAL DISPL.  $u, v, w$ .

POINT	$u_{10^{-6}m}$	$v_{10^{-6}m}$	$w_{10^{-6}m}$	POINT	$u_{10^{-6}m}$	$v_{10^{-6}m}$	$w_{10^{-6}m}$
A	-0.12	-0.10	0.16	M <sub>13</sub>	-1.37	1.73	-3.79
M <sub>2</sub>	-0.33	1.23	-1.39	M <sub>14</sub>	-0.49	2.54	-3.21
M <sub>3</sub>	0.08	0.95	-0.41	M <sub>15</sub>	0.25	1.64	-2.71
M <sub>4</sub>	0.00	1.15	-0.61	M <sub>16</sub>	-0.08	1.60	-2.62
M <sub>5</sub>	0.08	1.37	-1.85	M <sub>17</sub>	-1.89	3.28	-5.90
M <sub>6</sub>	0.10	1.52	-1.95	M <sub>18</sub>	0.00	1.47	-1.45
M <sub>7</sub>	0.00	1.47	-1.52	M <sub>19</sub>	-1.56	2.30	-3.85
T	0.90	2.35	-1.45	M <sub>20</sub>	-0.57	2.87	-3.28
M <sub>9</sub>	0.82	2.04	-1.85	M <sub>21</sub>	0.49	1.31	-1.64
B	0.57	1.96	-1.95	M <sub>22</sub>	0.41	0.33	-1.47
M <sub>11</sub>	0.00	1.50	-1.46	M <sub>23</sub>	0.14	0.64	-0.96
C	-0.16	0.76	-1.31				


FIG. 13.119

36 FACED DOME

FIG. 13.120

36 FACED DOME

INTERNAL VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$


LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 10^{-6} \text{m}$

DISPLAC.

FIG. 13.121 36 FACED DOME

THE VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS


SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$       LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 10^{-6} \text{m}$       DISPLAC.



INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS



HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$       LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{m}$       DISPLAC.

SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$  DISPLAC.




FIG. 13.124

36 FACED DOME


SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}\text{m}$

LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{m}$

DISPLAC.



12 VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.125

36 FACED DOME


SCALES:

1cm = 2 · 10<sup>-1</sup> m

LENGTH

1cm = 2 · 10<sup>-6</sup> m

DISPLAC.



1 kN VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS


FIG. 13.126

36 FACED DOME

SCALES:

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$  m LENGTH

1cm =  $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$  m DISPLAC.




INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS

FIG. 13.127

36 FACED DOME


1 KN/ Vertical Load AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LIVE VECTORS:

$\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$   
 $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:

1cm = 2.10<sup>-1</sup> m LENGTH

1cm = 100 Nt<sub>m</sub>/m MOMENTS


1 KNT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LINE VECTORS:

- $\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$
- $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$



MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION


SCALES:

$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 100 \text{Ntcm/m}$  MOMENTS




1 KN/VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS



SIGN CONVENTION

LIVE VECTORS:

- $\vec{CA}, \vec{BA}, \vec{CB}$
- $\vec{DC}, \vec{DB}, \vec{DT}, \vec{TB}$




MOMENTS DISTRIBUTION

SCALES:


$1\text{cm} = 2 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{m}$  LENGTH

$1\text{cm} = 100 \text{Ntm/m}$  MOMENTS

# FIG. 13.132 36 FACED DOME



SCALES:  
 1cm =  $10^{-1} m$  LENGTH  
 1cm =  $5 \cdot 10^{-7}$  DISPLAC.



	EXPERIMENTAL VALUE OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DD521) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DD533) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DMX36) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W <small>M<sub>111</sub> = 0 along AB, BD</small>	FINITE ELEMENT VALUE (DR030) OF NORMAL DISPLACEMENT W
	+	○	△	x	□
M <sub>13</sub>	-4.19	-3.23	-4.57	-4.67	-4.97
M <sub>14</sub>	-3.40	-2.20	-3.20	-3.82	-4.02
M <sub>19</sub>	-4.45	-3.15	-4.90	-4.38	-4.76
M <sub>20</sub>	-3.57	-2.35	-3.45	-3.82	-4.02
M <sub>21</sub>	-1.35	-0.85	-1.85	-2.65	-2.60
	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-6} m$	$10^{-6} m$

INT VERTICAL LOAD AT ALL 12 DORMER CENTROIDS

NOTATION

$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_i, b_i, c_i \\ a'_i, b'_i, c'_i \end{array} \right\} (i = 1, 3)$	Parameters for natural coordinates system.	APPENDIX I-V
$a_i (i = 1 \div n)$	Polynomial coefficients	CHAPTER 3 APPENDIX III
$a_n, a_s$	Skew coordinates with respect to system $\bar{n}, \bar{s}$ .	CHAPTER 8
$A_n$	Area of the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	
$a_{ij} (i, j = x, y)$	Bending strains	
$a_i, a'_i (i = 1 \div 3)$	Parameters related to the angles measured by the theodolite	CHAPTER 9
{B}	Vector of polynomial coefficients	APPENDIX III
$B_i (i = 1 \div 18)$	Polynomial coefficients	APPENDIX III
[B]	Strains-displacements matrix	
$B_{ij}$	Elements of the [B] matrix	APPENDICES II-V
[B] <sup>w</sup>	Bending strains-transverse displacements matrix	APPENDICES II, III
[B] <sup>s1</sup>	Bending strains - shear displacements matrix	APPENDICES II, III
[B] <sup>s2</sup>	Shear strains - shear displacements matrix	APPENDICES II, III

$[B_1^{\theta}]$	Bending strains - total rotations matrix	APPENDIX V
$[B_2^{\theta}]$	Shear strains - total rotations matrix	APPENDIX V
$[B^w]$	Shear strains - transverse displacements matrix	APPENDIX V
$[B^{uv}]$	In-plane strains - in-plane displacements matrix	APPENDICES II - V
$B_2', G_2$	Parameters for the transformation with respect to the skew coordinates system $\bar{n}, \bar{s}$ .	CHAPTER 8
C	Core thickness	
$c_{rs}^{ij}$ ( $i, j, r, s = x, y$ )	Cartesian elasticity tensor. When with a prefix f or c refers to the faces or the core respectively.	
[C]	Elasticity matrix relating the strains vector to the stress resultants vector	CHAPTER 4
$[C_b]$	Elasticity matrix relating the bending and shear stress resultants vector	CHAPTER 4 and APPENDIX 4
$d_i$ ( $i = 1 \div 3$ )	Perpendiculars of a triangle	APPENDIX I
DT	Twice the area of a triangle	
[D]	Elasticity matrix relating the stress resultants vector to the strains vector	
$D_{rs}^{ij}$ ( $i, k, r, s = x, y$ )	Elements of the elasticity matrix [D] with respect to the bending strains.	

$D_o$	Elastic constant	CHAPTER 4
$[D_b]$	Part of the elasticity matrix [D] with regard to the bending strains and shear strains	
$[D_{uv}]$	Part of the elasticity matrix [D] with regard to the in-plane strains	
$E_{rs}^{ij}$ ( $i, j, r, s = x, y$ )	Elements of the elasticity matrix [D] with respect to the in plane strains	
$e_{rs}$ ( $r, s = x, y, z$ )	Cartesian strain tensor	
$f$	Face thickness	
$F( )$	Function	
$[F]$	Matrices for the formation of the shape functions matrix	CHAPTER 5 and APPENDIX III
$[F_{gen}]$		
$[GC]$		
$h$	Plate thickness	
$h_1, v_1, h_1', v_1'$	Parameters related to the angles measured by the theodolite	CHAPTER 9
$I$	Functional	
$\bar{I}$	Approximate expression of the functional	CHAPTER 3
$[K_n^o]$	The stiffness matrix of the $n^{th}$ element with respect to a local coordinates system	

$[K_n]$	The stiffness matrix of the $n^{\text{th}}$ element with respect to a global coordinate system	
$[K]$	The overall stiffness matrix	
$[K_n^{uv}]$	Part of the stiffness matrix referring to the in-plane displacements of the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	CHAPTER 4 and APPENDIX IV
$[K_n^{mw}]$	Part of the stiffness matrix (for the mixed formulation) referring to the transverse displacement of the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	CHAPTER 4 and APPENDIX IV
$[K_n^{mq}]$	Part of the stiffness matrix (for the mixed formulation) referring to the moments as generalised displacements of the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	CHAPTER 4 and APPENDIX IV
$K_i \ (i = 1 \div 3)$	Parameters for the formation of various matrices	APPENDIX III
$L_i \ (i = 1 \div 3)$	Natural coordinates for a triangle	
$l_{in}$	Length of the side in	CHAPTER 8
$l_{in}$	Length of an insert at a joint	CHAPTER 10
$l_{ac}$	Active length of a joint	CHAPTER 10
$m_i \ (i = 1 \div 5)$	Parameters for the formation of the matrix $[F_{gen}]^{-1}$	APPENDIX III
$M_{ij} \ (i, j = x, y \text{ or } n, s)$	Bending stress resultants (Moments)	

$\{M_0^e\}$	Vector of nodal degrees of freedom with regard to the moments models	
$\{M_i^e\}$ ( $i = 1 \div 3$ )	Vector of nodal degrees of freedom with regard to the moments $M_{xx}$ , $M_{yy}$ , $M_{xy}$ respectively	
$\bar{M}_{ij}$ ( $i, j = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	Moments as prescribed quantities	
$N_{ij}$ ( $i, j = x, y$ )	In-plane stress resultants	
$[N]$	Shape functions matrix relating the general displacements vector to the general vector of degrees of freedom for an element	
$N_i$	Element of the shape functions matrix referring to the $i^{\text{th}}$ node	
$[N^w]$	Shape functions matrix with respect to the transverse displacements models	
$[N^s]$	Shape functions matrix with respect to the shear models	
$[N^\theta]$	Shape functions matrix with respect to the total rotations models	
$[N^m]$	Shape functions matrix with respect to the moments models	
$[N_m^o]$	Shape functions matrix (mixed model)	APPENDIX IV
$[N_m^1]$	Shape functions matrix (mixed model)	APPENDIX IV
$[N^{uv}]$	Shape functions matrix with respect to the in-plane models	

$\bar{n}, \bar{s}$	Coordinates of skewed coordinates system	
$n_{in}$	Number of inserts per metre of joint-line	CHAPTER 10
$p^i$	Load carried through an insert at a joint	CHAPTER 10
$p^j$	Load carried by the inserts per metre of joint-line	CHAPTER 10
$p^s$	Load carried by the hardboard faces per metre of joint-line	CHAPTER 10
$p^c$	Reduced by 10% value of load carried by an insert	CHAPTER 10
$\bar{P}$	Distributed load vector	
$Q_i$ ( $i, j = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	Shear stress resultants (Shear Forces)	
$\bar{Q}_i$ ( $i, j = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	Shear forces prescribed quantities	
$\{\bar{R}_o\}$	Prescribed load vector, corresponding to the general displacements vector $\{\delta_o^e\}$	
$\{R_n^o\}$	Generalised load vector for the $n^{th}$ element with respect to a local coordinates system corresponding to the vector of nodal degrees of freedom $\{\delta_o^e\}$	

$\{R_n\}$	Generalised load vector for the $n^{\text{th}}$ element with respect to a global coordinates system corresponding to the vector of nodal d.o.f. $\{\delta_g^e\}$	
$\{R\}$	Overall load vector	
$\{R_n^w\}$	Load vector corresponding to the nodal values of transverse displacements as d.o.f. for the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	CHAPTER 4 and APPENDIX IV
$\{R_n^m\}$	Load vector corresponding to the nodal values of moments as d.o.f. for the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	CHAPTER 4 and APPENDIX IV
$\{R_n^{uv}\}$	Load vector corresponding to the nodal values of in-plane displacements as d.o.f. for the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	CHAPTER 4 and APPENDIX IV
$S_{jz}^{iz} (i, j = x, y)$	Elements of the elasticity matrix [D] with respect to the shear strains	
$[S_n^o]$	Stress matrix with respect to a local coordinates system for the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	
$[S_n]$	Stress matrix with respect to a global coordinates system for the $n^{\text{th}}$ element	
$S_{o,n}$	Part of the boundary where $M_{nn}$ , $M_{ns}$ , $Q_n$ are prescribed	

$S_{n,n}$	Part of the boundary where $w, \theta_n, \theta_s$ are prescribed	
$t_s$	Steel plate thickness	CHAPTER 10
$t_{ij}$ ( $i, j = x, y, z$ )	Cartesian stress tensor	
$[T]^{-1}$	Matrix for the formation of the shape functions matrix	CHAPTER 5 and APPENDIX III
$[TR]$	Transformation matrix from a local to a global coordinates system	CHAPTER 8
$[T_{eg}]$	Transformation matrix relating the $x', y', z'$ and $x, y, z$ sets of coordinates	CHAPTER 8
$[T_{ee}]$	Transformation matrix relating the $x', y', z'$ and $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ sets of coordinates	CHAPTER 8
$u$	In-plane displacement corresponding to $x$ axis	
$u_i$	Nodal value of the in-plane displacement $u$ at the $i^{\text{th}}$ node	
$u_{,i}$ ( $i = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	First derivatives of the in-plane displacement $u$ with respect to the $i$ axis	
$u_{,ij}$ ( $i, j = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	Second derivatives of the in-plane displacement $u$ with respect to the $i, j$ axes	

$v$	In-plane displacement corresponding to the y axis	
$v_i$	Nodal value of the in-plane displacement $v$ at the $i^{\text{th}}$ node	
$v_{,i}$ ( $i = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	First derivatives of the in-plane displacement $v$ with respect to the $i^{\text{th}}$ axis	
$v_{,ij}$ ( $i, j = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	Second derivatives of the in-plane displacement with respect to the $i, j$ axes	
$v_{i,j}$ ( $i, j = x, y, z$ )	Elements of the transformation matrix $[T_{e\bar{e}}]$	
$w(x)$	Function of $x$	
$\bar{w}(x)$	Approximate expression of the above function	CHAPTER 3
$w$	Transverse displacement corresponding to the z axis (deflection)	
$\bar{w}$	Prescribed quantity of the transverse displacement	CHAPTER 4
$w_i$	Nodal value of the transverse displacement at the $i^{\text{th}}$ node	
$w_{,i}$ ( $i = x, y$ or $n, s$ )	First derivatives of the transverse displacement with respect to the $i^{\text{th}}$ axis	
$w_{,ir}$ ( $i = x, y$ $r = 1 \div 3$ )	The above quantities at the $r^{\text{th}}$ node	

$w_{,ij}$ ( $i,j = x,y$ or $n,s$ )	Second derivatives of the transverse displacement with respect to $i,j$ axes	
$w_{,ijr}$ ( $i,j = x,y$ or $n,s$ , $r = 1 \div 3$ )	The above quantities at the $r^{\text{th}}$ node	
$x,y,z$	Cartesian coordinates	
$x,y,z$	Global coordinates system	CHAPTER 8
$x',y',z'$	Local coordinates system	CHAPTER 8
$\bar{x},\bar{y},\bar{z}$	Plates-interconnection coordinates system	CHAPTER 8
$\gamma_i$ ( $i = x,y$ )	Shear strains. With a prefix $c$ refers to the core	
$\delta$	Variational operator	CHAPTER 3
$\delta_1$	Trigonometric function	CHAPTER 10
$\{\delta_o\}$	General displacements vector	
$\{\delta^e\}$	Overall nodal generalised displacements (degrees of freedom) vector	
$\{\delta_o^e\}$	Nodal generalised displacements (degrees of freedom) vector for an element with respect to a local coordinates system	
$\{\delta_g^e\}$	Nodal generalised displacements (d.o.f.) vector for an element with respect to a global system	CHAPTER 8

$\{\delta^W\}$	Vector of nodal degrees of freedom with regard to the transverse displacement models	
$\{\delta^S\}$	Vector of nodal d.o.f. with regard to the shear models	
$\{\delta_i^S\}$ ( $i = 1, 2$ )	The above quantities referring to the shear angles $\phi_x, \phi_y$ respectively	
$\{\delta^\theta\}$	Vector of nodal d.o.f. with regard to the total rotation models	
$\{\delta_i^\theta\}$ ( $i = 1, 2$ )	The above quantities referring to the total rotations $\theta_x, \theta_y$ respectively	
$\{\delta^{uv}\}$	Vector of nodal d.o.f. with regard to the in-plane displacements models	
$\{\delta_i^{uv}\}$ ( $i = 1, 2$ )	The above quantities referring to the in-plane displacements $u, v$ , respectively	
$\epsilon_{\Delta\phi}$	Strain referring to the change of angle for a joint	CHAPTER 10
$\{\epsilon\}$	Strains vector	
$\{\epsilon_{uv}\}$	In-plane strains vector	
$\{\epsilon_b\}$	Bending and shear strains vector	
$\theta_i$ ( $i = x, y \text{ or } n, s$ )	Total rotations	
$\theta_{i,r}$ ( $i = x, y \text{ or } r = 1 \div 6$ )	Total rotations at the $r^{\text{th}}$ node	
$\bar{\theta}_i$ ( $i = x, y \text{ or } n, s$ )	Prescribed quantities of the total rotations	

$\lambda_{i,j}$ ( $i,j = x,y,z$ )	Elements of the transformation matrix $[T_{eg}]$	CHAPTER 8
$\mu_{i,j}^-$ ( $i,j = x,y,z$ )	Elements of the transformation matrix $[T_{eg}^-]$	CHAPTER 8
$\{\sigma\}$	Stress resultants vector	
$\{\sigma_b\}$	Vector of bending and shear stress resultants	
$\{\sigma_{uv}\}$	Vector of in-plane stress resultants	
$\sigma_s$	Stress of the steel plate	CHAPTER 10
$\sigma_f$	Stress of the hardboard face	CHAPTER 10
$\tau_i$ ( $i = 1 \div 3$ )	Distance of a point P within a triangle from the sides of the triangle	APPENDIX I
$0, \phi_0$	Zero	
$\phi$	Function	
$\phi$	Angle	
$\phi_i$ ( $i = x,y$ or $n,s$ )	Shear angle	
$\phi_{i,r}$ ( $i = x,y$ or $n,s$ $r = 1 \div 6$ )	Shear angle at the $r^{\text{th}}$ node	
$\omega$	Change of angle at a joint	

$\Sigma$	Indicates summation
$\nabla$	Hamilton's operator
{ }	Vector
[ ]	Matrix of two dimensions
[ ] <sup>-1</sup>	Inverse of a matrix
[ ] <sup>T</sup> , { } <sup>T</sup>	Transpose of a matrix or a vector
[n <sub>1</sub> , n <sub>2</sub> , n <sub>3</sub> ...]	Where n <sub>i</sub> are numbers indicating the references
Nt	Newton weight units

## REFERENCES

1. J.F. ABEL and E.P. POPOV, "Static and dynamic finite element analysis of sandwich structures," 2nd. Conf. Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech. Air Force Inst. of Tech. Wright Patterson Base, Ohio, 1968.
2. S. AHMAD, B.M. IRONS and O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, "Curved thick shell and membrane elements with particular reference to axi-symmetric problems," 2nd. Conf. Matrix methods in Struct. Mech. Air Force Inst. of Technology, Wright Patterson Base, Ohio, 1968.
3. H.G. ALLEN, "Analysis and design of structural sandwich panels," Pergamon 1969.
4. A.M. ALWAN, "Large deflection of Sandwich plates with orthotropic cores," AIAA Journal, Vol.2, No.10, Oct. 1964.
5. R.S. ALWOOD and G.M.M. CORNES, "A polygonal finite element for plate bending problems using the assumed stress approach," Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng. 1, 1969.
6. Anon. "Foams, a 26 million dollar market," Canadian Plastics, Vol.2B, 3, 1970.
7. J.H. ARGYRIS, "Triangular elements with linearly varying strain for the matrix displacement method," Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society, Vol.69, Oct.1965.
8. J.H. ARGYRIS, "Continua and Discontinua," Pros. Conf. on Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech., Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1965.
9. J.H. ARGYRIS, "On the Analysis of Complex Elastic Structures" Appl. Mech. Rev., 11, 1958.

10. J.H. ARGYRIS, D.W. SCHARPF, "The SHEBA family of shell elements for the displacement method," Journal of Royal Aeronautical Society, Vol.72, No.694, 1968.
11. J.H. ARGYRIS, I. FRIED and D.W. SCHARPF, "The TUBA family of plate elements for the matrix displacement method," Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society, Vol.72, Aug. 1968
12. J.H. ARGYRIS and D.W. SCHARPF, "Finite element theory of plates and shells including transverse shear strain effects," Proc. Symposium of IUTAM, Aug. 1970, University of Liege, Belgium, 1971.
13. R. BANHAM, "Guide to modern Architecture," Van Nostrand, 1963.
14. A.J. BARNARD, "The finite element method applied to the analysis of sandwich plate and shell structures," M.Sc. Thesis, Loughborough University of Technology, January 1972.
15. G. BARTELDS and H.H. OTTENS, "Finite element analysis of sandwich panels," Proc. Symposium of IUTAM, Aug. 1970, University of Liege, Belgium, 1971.
16. A.K. BASU and J.M. DAWSON, "Orthotropic sandwich plates," Proc. of Inst. Civil Eng. 1970 Supplement (IV).
17. G.P. BAZELEY, Y.K. CHEUNG, B.M. IRONS and O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, "Triangular elements in bending-conforming and non-conforming solutions," Proc. Conf. Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech. Air Force Inst. Tech., Wright Patterson A.F. Base, Ohio, 1965.
18. K. BELL, "A refined triangular plate bending element," Int. J. of Num. Meth. in Eng., 1, 1969.
19. B.S. BENJAMIN, "Structural design with plastics," Van Nostrand, 1969.

20. P. BETTESS, "The Irons Programm," University of Durham  
Dept. of Eng. Science, Inter. Report, 1970.
21. P. BETTESS, "Analysis of polyhedral domed structures composed  
of flat plates of sandwich material," Ph.D. Thesis, University  
of Durham, 1971.
22. R. BOYD, "New directions in Japanese Architecture," Studio  
Vista, 1968.
23. C. BREBBIA and J.J. CONNOR, "Geometrically non-linear finite  
element analysis," Proc. of ASCE, Journal of Engineering  
Mechanics Division, April 1969.
24. G.A. BUTLIN and R. FORD, "A compatible plate bending element,"  
University of Leicester, Eng. Dept. Report 1968.
25. A. CHATTERJEE and A.V. SETLUR, "A mixed finite element  
formulation for plate problems," Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng.,  
Vol.4, 1972.
26. V.K. CHEUNG, I.P. KING and U.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, "Slab bridges  
with arbitrary shape and support conditions - a general method  
of analysis based on finite elements," Proc. Inst. of Civil  
Engineers, 40, 1968.
27. K.H. CHU and S.G. PINJARKAR, "Analysis of horizontally  
curved box girder bridges," Proc. ASCE, Str. DM, St.10,  
October 1971.
28. R.W. CLOUGH, "The finite element in plane stress analysis,"  
Proc. 2nd. A.S.C.E. Conf. on Electronic Computation,  
Pittsburgh Pa., Sept. 1960.
29. R.W. CLOUGH and C.A. FELIPPA, "A refined quadrilateral  
element for analysis of plate bending," Proc. of 2nd Conf.  
in Struct. Mech., Air Force Inst. of Tech., Wright Patterson  
Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.

30. R.W. CLOUGH and G.L. TOCHER, "Finite element stiffness matrices for analysis of plate bending," Proc. Conf. on matrix methods in Struct. Mech. Air Force Inst. of Technology, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, Oct. 1968
31. R.W. CLOUGH and C.P. JOHNSON, "A finite element approximation for the analysis of thin shells," J. Solids and Struct. 4, 1968.
32. J.J. CONNOR and C. BREBBIA, "Stiffness matrix for a shallow rectangular shell element," Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division, ASCE, Oct. 1967.
33. D.L. COLLINS, "The flexibility of triangular sandwich plates," Third year project report, Dept. Eng. Science, University of Durham, 1972.
34. R.D. COOK, "Two hybrid elements for analysis of thick, thin and sandwich plates," Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., Vol. 5, 1972.
35. G.R. COWPER, E. KOSKO, G.M. LINDBERG and M.D. OLSON, "Formulation of new triangular plate bending element," Trans. Canada Aero-Space Inst. 1, 1968.
36. G.R. COWPER, E. KOSKO, G.M. LINDBERG and M.D. OLSON, "A high precision triangular plate bending element," National Research Council of Canada Aero-Report LR-514 1968.
37. G.R. COWPER, G.M. LINDBERG and M.D. OLSON, "A shallow shell finite element of triangular shape," Int. J. of Solids and Struct. 6, 1970.
38. R. DURHAM and K. PISTER, "A finite element application of the Helinger-Reissner Variational Theorem," 2nd. Conf. in Struct. Mech., Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Oct. 1968.

39. C.S. DESAI and J.F. ABEL, "Introduction to the finite element method," Van Nostrand, New York, 1972.
40. R.M. DAVIES, "Plastics in building construction," Proc. Conf. on plastics in building construction, 25th Sept. 1964.
41. B. FRAIJS DE VEUBEKE, "Displacement and Equilibrium models in the finite element method," In "Stress Analysis," edited by O.C. Zienkiewicz and G. Holister, John Wiley, 1965.
42. B. FRAIJS DE VEUBEKE, "Bending and stretching of plates," Proc. of Conf. on Matrix methods in Struct. Mech., Air Force Inst. of Tech., Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1965.
43. B. FRAIJS DE VEUBEKE and D.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, "Strain Energy bounds in finite element analysis by slab analogy," J. of Strain Analysis, 2, 1967.
44. B. FRAIJS DE VEUBEKE, "A conforming finite element for plate bending," Int. J. Solids Struct. 4, 1968.
45. B. FRAIJS DE VEUBEKE, "An equilibrium model for plate bending," Int. J. Solids Struct. 4, 1968.
46. D.J. ELLIOT, "Structural properties of flat sandwich panels," M.Sc. Thesis, University of Durham, 1970.
47. J. ERGATOUDIS, B.M. IRONS and O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, "Three-Dimensional analysis of arch dams and their foundations," Symposium on arch dams at the Inst. of Civil Engineers, March, 1968.
48. J. ERGATOUDIS, B.M. IRONS, O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, "Curved, Iso-parametric, quadrilateral elements for finite element analysis," Int. J. Solids and Structures, Vol.7, 1968.
49. C.A. FELIPPA, "Refined finite element analysis of linear and non-linear two-dimensional structures," Civil Engr. Dept., University of California, Berkeley, Rept. No.66-22, 1966.

50. G. FEUERSTEIN, "New directions in German Architecture,"  
Studio Vista, 1968.
51. I. FRIED, "Shear in  $C^0$  and  $C^1$  bending finite elements,"  
Int. J. Solids Structures, Vol.9, 1973.
52. I. FRIED, "Some aspects of the natural coordinate system  
in the finite element method," A.I.A.A. Journal, Vol.7,  
No.7, July 1969.
53. W. FLUGGE, "Stresses in Shells," Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1960.
54. A.E. GREEN and W. ZERNA, "Theoretical Elasticity,"  
Oxford, the Clarendon Press, 1954.
55. R.L. GRIEVE et al., "New generation of structural foam polymers,"  
J. Cell Plast. Vol.6, 4, 1970.
56. L.R. HERRMANN, "Finite element bending analysis for plates,"  
Proc. A.S.C.E., St. Dn, EMS, Oct. 1967.
57. L.R. HERRMANN and D.M. CAMPBELL, "A finite element analysis  
for thin shells," A.I.A.A. Journal, Vol.6, No.10, Oct. 1968.
58. I. HOLLAND and P.G. BERGAN, "Higher-order finite element  
for plane stress," Discussion, Proceedings A.S.C.E., EM2,  
April 1968.
59. I. HOLLAND and K. BELL, "Finite element methods in stress  
analysis," T.A.P.I.R., The Technical University of Norway, 1970.
60. B.M. IRONS, "Lagrange multiplier techniques in structural  
analysis," J.A.I.A.A., 3, 6, 1172, 1965.
61. B.M. IRONS, "Engineering application of numerical integration  
in stiffness method," Journal of A.I.A.A., Vol.4, 1966.
62. B.M. IRONS, "Roundoff criteria in direct stiffness solutions,  
A.I.A.A. Journal, Vol.6, No.7, July 1969.

63. B.M. IRONS, "A conforming quartic triangular element for plate bending," Int. Journal of Numerical Methods in Engineering, 1, 1969.
64. B.M. IRONS, "A frontal solution program for finite element analysis," Int. Journal of Num. Methd. in Eng., Vol.2, No.6, 1970.
65. M.W. JOHNSON and R.W. McLAY, "Convergence of the finite element method in the theory of Elasticity," Trans. A.S.M.E. June 1968.
66. J.B. KENNEDY, "On the deformation of parallelogrammic sandwich panels," The Aero J. of the Royal Aeronautical Society, Vol. 74, June 1970.
67. S.W. KEY and Z.E. BEISINGER, "The analysis of thin shells with transverse shear strains by the finite element method," Proc. 2nd Conf. Matrix Meth. Str. Mech., Air Force Inst. Tech., Wright Patterson Base, Ohio, 1969.
68. T.P. KHATUA and V.K. CHEUNG, "Bending and vibration of multilayer sandwich beams and plates," Int. Journal Num. Met. Eng., Vol.6, 1973.
69. V. KOLAR and I. NEMEC, "The efficient finite element analysis of rectangular and skew laminated plates," Int. J. Num. Met. Eng. Vol,7, 1973.
70. R. LANDAU, "New directions in British Architecture," Studio Vista, 1968.
71. C. LIBOVE and S.B. BATDORF, "A general small deflection theory for flat sandwich plates," N.A.C.A. Report, No. B99, 1948.
72. H.C. MARTIN and G.F. CAREY, "Introduction to finite element analysis," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.

73. A.S. MAWENYA and J.D. DAVIES, "Finite element bending analysis of multilayer plates," Int. Journal Num. Meth. Engin. Vol.8, 1974.
74. R.J. MELOSH, "Basis for derivation of matrices for the direct stiffness method," J.A.I.A.A., 1, 1631-7, 1963.
75. R.J. MELOSH and R.M. BAMFORD, "Efficient Solution of load deflection Equations," Proc. A.S.C.E., J. Str. Dn., Vol.95, ST4, April 1969.
76. C. MEYER, A.C. SCORDELIS, "Analysis of curved folded plate structure," Proc. A.S.C.E., St. Dn, St.10, Oct. 1971.
77. L.S.D. MORLEY, "A triangular Equilibrium element with linearly varying bending moments for plate bending problems," Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society, Vol.72, Aug. 1968.
78. G. NITSIOTAS, "Theory of Plates and Shells",  
(in Greek),  
Thessaloniki, 1968.
79. H.G. NODEAN, "Progress in thermosetting foams," Plast. Technology, Vol.14, 10, 1966.
80. E.R. ARRANTES OLIVEIRA, "Theoretical foundations of the finite element methods," Int. J. Solid Struct., Vol.4, No.10, Oct. 1968.
81. E.R. ARRANTES OLIVEIRA, "Completeness and convergence in the finite element method," Proc. 2nd Conf. on Matrix Meth. in Str. Mech., Air Force Inst. Tech., Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
82. E.R. ARRANTES OLIVEIRA, "The Convergence theorems and their role in the theory of structures," Proc. Symposium of IUTAM, Aug. 1970, University of Liege, Belgium, 1971.

83. J.T. ODEN, "A general theory of finite elements," :  
I. "Topological considerations;" II. "Applications"  
Int. Journal of Numer. Methods in Engineering, 1, 1969.
84. J.T. ODEN, "Finite elements of nonlinear continua,"  
McGraw-Hill, New York, 1972.
85. G.M. PARTON, "The structural behaviour of polyhedral sandwich  
shells," Ph.D. Thesis, University of Durham, 1972.
86. T.H.H. PIAN, "Derivation of element stiffness matrices by  
assumed stress distribution," A.I.A.A. J. 2, 1964.
87. T.H.H. PIAN and P. TONG, "Basis of finite element methods  
for Solid Continua," Int. Journal for Numerical Methods in  
Engineering, Vol.1, No.1, Jan-March 1969.
88. T.H.H. PIAN and P. TONG, "Variational formulation of finite-  
displacement analysis," Proc. of Sym. I.U.T.A.M. University  
Liege, Belgium, 1971.
89. F.J. PLANTEMA, "Sandwich Construction," Wiley, 1967.
90. C.A. PRATO, "Shell finite element method via Reissner's  
principle," Int. J. Solids and Structures, Vol.5, 1969.
91. C.W. PRYOR, R.M. BARKER and D. FREDERICK, "Finite element  
bending analysis of Reissner plates," Proc. A.S.C.E.,  
St. Dn. EM6, Dec. 1970.
92. H. RAMSTAD and I. HOLAND, "The finite element method for  
analysis of skew plates in bending by use of parallelogram  
elements," International Symposium on the use of Electronical  
Digital Computers in Structural Engineering, Newcastle-upon-  
Tyne, 1966.
93. E. REISSNER, "Finite deflections of sandwich plates," J.  
Inst. Aeronautical Sciences, Vol.15, July 1948.

94. E. REISSNER, "Small bending and stretching of sandwich type shells," N.A.C.A., 975, Washington D.C. 1950.
95. E. REISSNER, "On a variational theorem in elasticity," J. Math. Phys. Vol.29, 1950.
96. M.G. SAMUCHIN and J. DUNDURS, "Transmission of concentrated forces into prismatic shells - II," Int. J. Solids Struct. Vol.9, 1973.
97. G. SANDER, "Applications de la methode des elements finis a la flexion des plaques," Collection des publications, University de Liege, Faculte des Sciences Appliquees, 1969.
98. A.C. SCORDELIS and K.S. LO, "Computer analysis of cylindrical shells," J. Am. Concr. Inst. 61, May, 1964.
99. J.M. SMITH and W. DUNCAN, "The effectiveness of nodal continuities in finite element analysis of thin rectangular and skew plates in bending," Int. J. of Num. Meth. in Eng. 2, 1970.
100. R.A.M. STERN, "New directions in American Architecture, Studio Vista, 1968.
101. S.P. TIMOSHENKO and J.N. GOODIER, "Theory of Elasticity," 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1951.
102. S.P. TIMOSHENKO and S. WOINOWSKY-KRIEGER, "Theory of plates and shells," Second edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1959.
103. J.L. TOCHER and B.J. HARTZ, "Higher order finite element for plane stress," Proceedings A.S.C.E., EM4, Aug. 1967.
104. P. TONG, T.H.H. PIAN, "The Convergence of the finite element method in solving linear elastic problems," Int. J. Solids Structures, Vol.3, 1967.


105. H. TOTTENHAM and C.A. BREBBIA, "Finite element techniques in structural mechanics," Southampton University Press, 1970.
106. W. VISSER, "A refined mixed-type plate bending element," A.I.A.A. Journal, Vol.7, No.9, Sept. 1969.
107. W. VISSER, "The application of a curved mixed type shell element," Proc. Sym. of I.U.T.A.M., University of Liege, Belgium, 1971.
108. R.N. WHITE, "Optimum solution techniques for finite difference equations," Proc. A.S.C.E. Dn, Vol. B9, STR. Aug. 1963.
109. C.S. WHITNEY, B.G. ANDERSON and H. BIRNBAUM, "Reinforced concrete folded plate construction," Proc. A.S.C.E., St. Dn. St. B, Oct. 1959.
110. D.G. WILLIAMS and S.C. CHAPMAN, "Effect of shear deformation on uniformly loaded rectangular orthotropic plates," Proc. of Inst. Civil Engin. Supplem. Volume, 1969.
111. E.L. WILSON, "Structural analysis of axisymmetric solids," A.I.A.A. Journal, Vol.3, No.12, Dec. 1965.
112. O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ and V.K. CHEUNG, "The finite element method for analysis of elastic isotropic and orthotropic slabs," Proc. Inst. Civ. Engrs. 2B, Aug. 1974.
113. O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, V.K. CHEUNG, "Finite element procedures in the solution of plate and shell problems," in "Stress Analysis," ed. O.C. Zienkiewicz and G.S. Holister, S. Wiley, 1965.
114. O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, C.J. PAREKH and I.P. KING, "Arch dams analysed by a linear finite element shell solution program," Proc. Symp. Arch Dams, Inst. Civ. Eng. London 1968.
115. O.C. ZIENKIEWICZ, "The finite element method in engineering science," McGraw-Hill, London, 1971.

APPENDIX I

GEOMETRICAL RELATIONSHIPS FOR A TRIANGLE

The area coordinates  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  can be defined as follows:- [39,52,59,63  
105,115]

$$L_1 = \frac{\tau_1}{d_1}, \quad L_2 = \frac{\tau_2}{d_2}, \quad L_3 = \frac{\tau_3}{d_3} \quad (\text{AI.1})$$



If  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)$  are the cartesian sets of coordinates for the vertices  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  respectively, and  $(x, y), (L_1, L_2, L_3)$  the cartesian and area coordinates respectively for the point  $P$  the following relationships are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 L_1 + x_2 L_2 + x_3 L_3 &= x \\ y_1 L_1 + y_2 L_2 + y_3 L_3 &= y \\ L_1 + L_2 + L_3 &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{AI.2})$$

The above give the cartesian set  $(x, y)$  for any set of area coordinates  $L_1, L_2, L_3$ .

In reverse we can evaluate the area coordinates  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  for any set of cartesian coordinates  $(x, y)$  through the relationships

$$L_i = \frac{1}{DT} (a_i + b_i x + c_i y) \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (\text{AI.3})$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_1 &= x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2 \\ b_1 &= y_2 - y_3 \\ c_1 &= x_3 - x_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (\text{AI.4})$$

$a_2, b_2, c_2$

$a_3, b_3, c_3$


from  $a_1, b_1, c_1$  with circle symmetrical substitution of the subscripts 1, 2, 3

$$DT = \text{twice the area of the triangle} = b_1 c_2 - b_2 c_1 = b_2 c_3 - b_3 c_2 = b_3 c_1 - b_1 c_3$$

(AI.5)

From (AI.3)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\partial L_i}{\partial x} = \frac{b_i}{DT}, \quad \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial y} = \frac{c_i}{DT} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (\text{AI.6})$$



We have a function of the form  $\phi(L_1, L_2, L_3)$  and we want to express the derivatives of  $\phi$  at the direction  $\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3$  which are vectors normal to the sides  $\bar{23}$  ( $L_1 = \phi$ ),  $\bar{31}$  ( $L_2 = \phi$ ),  $\bar{12}$  ( $L_3 = \phi$ ) respectively.

For easier formulation we have

$$b'_i = \frac{b_i}{DT}, \quad c'_i = \frac{c_i}{DT} \quad i = 1 \div 3 \quad (\text{AI.7})$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n_i} = \bar{n}_i \nabla \phi = \bar{n}_i \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial L_1} \nabla L_1 + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial L_2} \nabla L_2 + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial L_3} \nabla L_3 \right) \quad (\text{AI.8})$$

where  $\nabla$  is Hamilton's operator. For two dimensions has the form

$$\nabla F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \bar{i} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \bar{j}$$

(i, j the unit vectors at x, y)

so

$$\nabla_{L_1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1' \\ c_1' \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla_{L_2} = \begin{bmatrix} b_2' \\ c_2' \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla_{L_3} = \begin{bmatrix} b_3' \\ c_3' \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{AI.9})$$

Substituting the (A.9) to (A.8) and naming as

$$\phi_{,i} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial L_i} \quad (\text{AI.10})$$

we obtain -

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n_i} = \bar{n}_i \left\{ \phi_{,1} \begin{bmatrix} b_1' \\ c_1' \end{bmatrix} + \phi_{,2} \begin{bmatrix} b_2' \\ c_2' \end{bmatrix} + \phi_{,3} \begin{bmatrix} b_3' \\ c_3' \end{bmatrix} \right\} \quad (\text{AI.11})$$

so taking the right values for  $\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3$  we can write

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{n}_1} = \left[ \phi_{,1} (b_1^2 + c_1^2) + \phi_{,2} (b_1 b_2 + c_1 c_2) + \phi_{,3} (b_1 b_3 + c_1 c_3) \right] / DT \times (P_2 P_3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{n}_2} = \left[ \phi_{,1} (b_1 b_2 + c_1 c_2) + \phi_{,2} (b_2^2 + c_2^2) + \phi_{,3} (b_2 b_3 + c_2 c_3) \right] / DT \times (P_1 P_3) \quad (\text{AI.12})$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{n}_3} = \left[ \phi_{,1} (b_1 b_3 + c_1 c_3) + \phi_{,2} (b_2 b_3 + c_2 c_3) + \phi_{,3} (b_3^2 + c_3^2) \right] / DT \times (P_1 P_2)$$

APPENDIX II

FORMATION OF MATRICES

(Reference to DDS15, DDS21)

Formation of [B]

$$\{\epsilon\} = \begin{Bmatrix} a_{xx} \\ a_{yy} \\ a_{xy} \\ \gamma_x \\ \gamma_y \\ \epsilon_{xx} \\ \epsilon_{yy} \\ \epsilon_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} = [B] \{\delta_o^e\} \tag{AII.1}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_{xx} &= w_{,xx} - \phi_{x,x} \\ a_{yy} &= w_{,yy} - \phi_{y,y} \\ a_{xy} &= 2w_{,xy} - \phi_{x,y} - \phi_{y,x} \\ \gamma_x &= \phi_{x,y} & \gamma_y &= \phi_{y,x} \\ \epsilon_{xx} &= u_{,x} & \epsilon_{yy} &= v_{,y} \\ \epsilon_{xy} &= u_{,y} + v_{,x} \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{AII.2}$$

$$\{\delta_o^e\} = \begin{Bmatrix} \delta_o^w \\ \delta_o^s \\ \delta_o^{uv} \end{Bmatrix} \tag{AII.3}$$

$\{\delta_o^w\}$  deflections and derivatives of the deflections as degrees of freedom

$\{\delta_o^s\}$  shear angles as degrees of freedom

$\{\delta_o^{uv}\}$  membrane moves as degrees of freedom.

$$\{\delta_o^W\}^T = \{w_1, w_{x1}, w_{y1}, w_2, w_{x2}, w_{y2}, w_3, w_{x3}, w_{y3}\} \quad (\text{AII.4})$$

$$\{\delta_o^S\}^T = \{\phi_{x1}, \phi_{y1}, \phi_{x2}, \phi_{y2}, \phi_{x3}, \phi_{y3}\} \quad (\text{AII.5})$$

$$\{\delta_o^{uv}\}^T = \{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3\} \quad (\text{AII.6})$$

the subscript , x, y indicates derivatives of x, y respectively and the number (1 ÷ 6) indicates the relevant node.

$$\{\epsilon\} = \begin{bmatrix} [B^W] & [B^{S1}] & [\phi] \\ [\phi] & [B^{S2}] & [\phi] \\ [\phi] & [\phi] & [B^{uv}] \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \{\delta_o^W\} \\ \{\delta_o^S\} \\ \{\delta_o^{uv}\} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (\text{AII.7})$$

#### Formation of $[B^W]$ matrix

$[B^W]$  is a matrix of 3 rows and 9 columns. We will indicate as  $B_{ij}^W$  on of its elements at the i row and j column.

$$B_{1,1}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left[ -2b_1(L_1b_1 + L_2b_2 + L_3b_3) + 2(b_1 - b_2)(L_2b_1 + L_1b_2) + 2(b_1 - b_3)(L_1b_3 + L_3b_1) \right]$$

$B_{1,4}^W, B_{1,7}^W$  from the above will circle symmetrical substitution of the subscript 1,2,3.

$$B_{1,2}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left[ 2b_1^2(c_3L_2 - c_2L_3) + 4L_1b_1(b_2c_3 - b_3c_2) + (c_3 - c_2)(b_1b_2L_3 + p_1p_3L_2 + b_2b_3L_1) \right]$$

$B_{1,5}^W, B_{1,8}^W$  from the above with circle-symmetrical substitution of the subscripts 1,2,3.

$$B_{1,3}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left[ 2b_1^2 (b_2 L_3 - b_3 L_2) + (b_2 - b_3) (b_1 b_2 L_3 + b_1 b_3 L_2 + b_2 b_3 L_1) \right]$$

$B_{1,6}^W, B_{1,9}^W$  from the above will circle symmetrical substitution of the subscripts 1,2,3.

$$B_{2,1}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left[ -2c_1 (L_1 c_1 + L_2 c_2 + L_3 c_3) + 2(c_1 - c_2) (L_2 c_1 + L_1 c_2) + 2(c_1 - c_3) (L_1 c_3 + L_3 c_1) \right]$$

$B_{2,4}^W, B_{2,7}^W$  from the above as previously.

$$B_{2,2}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left[ 2c_1^2 (c_3 L_2 - c_2 L_3) + (c_3 - c_2) (c_1 c_2 L_3 + c_1 c_3 L_2 + c_2 c_3 L_1) \right]$$

$B_{2,5}^W, B_{2,8}^W$  from the above as previously.

$$B_{2,3}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left[ 2c_1^2 (b_2 L_3 - b_3 L_2) + 4L_1 c_1 (c_3 b_2 - c_2 b_3) + (b_2 - b_3) (c_1 c_2 L_3 + c_1 c_3 L_2 + c_2 c_3 L_1) \right]$$

$B_{2,6}^W, B_{2,9}^W$  from the above as previously.

$$B_{3,1}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left[ -2b_1 (c_1 L_1 + c_2 L_2 + c_3 L_3) + 2(b_1 - b_2) (L_2 c_1 + L_1 c_2) + 2(b_1 - b_3) (L_1 c_3 + L_3 c_1) \right]$$

$B_{3,4}^W, B_{3,7}^W$  from the above as previously.

$$B_{3,2}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left\{ 2b_1 c_1 (c_3 L_2 - c_2 L_3) + 2c_1 L_1 (b_2 c_3 - b_3 c_2) + \frac{c_3 - c_2}{2} \left[ L_1 (c_3 b_2 + c_2 b_3) + L_2 (c_1 b_3 + c_3 b_1) + L_3 (c_1 b_2 + c_2 b_1) \right] \right\}$$

$B_{3,5}^W, B_{3,8}^W$  from the above as previously.

$$B_{3,3}^W = \frac{1}{(DT)^2} \left\{ 2b_1 c_1 (b_2 L_3 - b_3 L_2) + 2b_1 L_1 (c_3 b_2 - b_3 c_2) + \frac{b_2 - b_3}{2} \left[ L_1 (c_3 b_2 + c_2 b_3) + L_2 (c_1 b_3 + c_3 b_1) + L_3 (c_1 b_2 + c_2 b_1) \right] \right\}$$

$B_{3,6}^W, B_{3,9}^W$  from the above as previously.

Formation of  $[B^{s1}]$  Matrix

$[B^{s1}]$  is a matrix of 3 rows and 6 columns.

$$[B^{s1}] = \frac{1}{DT}$$

$-b_1$	$\phi.$	$-b_2$	$\phi.$	$-b_3$	$\phi.$
$\phi.$	$-c_1$	$\phi.$	$-c_2$	$\phi.$	$-c_3$
$-c_1$	$-b_1$	$-c_2$	$-b_2$	$-c_3$	$-b_3$

Formation of  $[B^{s2}]$  Matrix

$[B^{s2}]$  is a matrix of 2 rows and 6 columns

$$[B^{s2}] =$$

$L_1$	$\phi.$	$L_2$	$\phi.$	$L_3$	$\phi.$
$\phi.$	$L_1$	$\phi.$	$L_2$	$\phi.$	$L_3$

Formation of  $[B^{uv}]$  Matrix

$[B^{uv}]$  is a matrix of 3 rows and 6 columns

$$[B^{uv}] = \frac{1}{DT}$$

$b_1$	$\phi.$	$b_2$	$\phi.$	$b_3$	$\phi.$
$\phi.$	$c_1$	$\phi.$	$c_2$	$\phi.$	$c_3$
$c_1$	$b_1$	$c_2$	$b_2$	$c_3$	$b_3$

The stiffness, stress and load matrices  $[K_n]$ ,  $[S]$ ,  $\{R_n\}$  are obtained through equations (4.8), (4.5) employing the numerical integration formulae of Appendix VI.

APPENDIX III

FORMATION OF MATRICES

(Reference to PDS24)

The deflection is a complete 5th order polynomial with 21 terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 w \equiv \phi(L_1, L_2) = & a_1 + a_2 L_1 + a_3 L_2 + a_4 L_1^2 + a_6 L_2^2 + a_7 L_1^3 + a_8 L_1^2 L_2 + a_9 L_1 L_2^2 + \\
 & + a_{10} L_2^3 + a_{11} L_1^4 + a_{12} L_1^3 L_2 + a_{13} L_1^2 L_2^2 + a_{14} L_1 L_2^3 + a_{15} L_2^4 + a_{16} L_1^3 + a_{17} L_1^4 L_2 + a_{18} L_1^3 L_2^2 + \\
 & + a_{19} L_1^2 L_2^3 + a_{20} L_1 L_2^4 + a_{21} L_2^5,
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\phi_{,3} = \phi$ . the relationships (AI.1) Appendix I .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{n}_1} &= [(b_1^2 + c_1^2)\phi_{,1} + (b_1 b_2 + c_1 c_2)\phi_{,2}] / (P_2 P_3)^{DT} & k_1 &= b_1 b_2 + c_1 c_2 \\
 & & k_2 &= b_2 b_3 + c_2 c_3 \\
 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{n}_2} &= [(b_1 b_2 + c_1 c_2)\phi_{,1} + (b_2^2 + c_2^2)\phi_{,2}] / (P_1 P_3)^{DT} & k_3 k_3 &= b_1 b_3 + c_1 c_3 \\
 & & k_1 + k_2 &= -(b_2^2 + c_2^2) \\
 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{n}_3} &= [(b_1 b_3 + c_1 c_3)\phi_{,1} + (b_2 b_3 + c_2 c_3)\phi_{,2}] / (P_1 P_2)^{DT} & k_2 + k_3 &= -(b_3^2 + c_3^2) \\
 & & k_1 + k_3 &= -(b_1^2 + c_1^2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{AIII.1}$$

4th Order

$$\phi_{,1} = 5a_{16} L_1^4 + 4a_{17} L_1^3 L_2 + 3a_{18} L_1^2 L_2^2 + 2a_{19} L_1 L_2^3 + a_{20} L_2^4$$

4th Order

$$\phi_{,2} = a_{17} L_1^4 + 2a_{18} L_1^3 L_2 + 3a_{19} L_1^2 L_2^2 + 4a_{20} L_1 L_2^3 + a_{21} L_2^4$$

$$\text{side } \overline{23} L_1 = \phi. \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{n}_1} \text{ cubic} \Rightarrow 5k_1 a_{21} - (k_1 + k_3) a_{20} = \phi.$$

$$\text{side } \overline{31} L_2 = \phi. \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{n}_2} \text{ cubic} \Rightarrow 5k_1 a_{16} - (k_1 + k_2) a_{17} = \phi.$$

(AIII.2)

$$\text{side } \overline{12} L_1 + L_2 = 1. \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{n}_3} \text{ cubic} \Rightarrow$$

$$5k_3 a_{16} + (k_2 - 4k_3) a_{17} + (3k_3 - 2k_2) a_{18} + (3k_2 - 2k_3) a_{19} + (k_3 - 4k_2) a_{20} + 5k_2 a_{21} = \phi.$$

from the above three equations we can express the coefficients

$a_{20}$ ,  $a_{17}$ ,  $a_{19}$  as functions of the rest  $a_{16}$ ,  $a_{18}$ ,  $a_{21}$  if we substitute

these expressions in the initial polynomial form we will have a new

polynomial with 18 terms which will fulfil the conditions

$\frac{\partial w}{\partial n_i} = \phi. \quad i = 1 \div 3$  so the normal slope will be continuous across

interelement boundaries. if  $\{w_i, w_{xi}, w_{yi}, w_{xxi}, w_{xyi}, w_{yyi}\}_{i=1,3}$

at three corner nodes are chosen as degrees of freedom the polynomial

is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} w = F(L_1, L_2) = & B_1 + B_2 L_1 + B_3 L_2 + B_4 L_1^2 + B_5 L_1 L_2 + B_6 L_2^2 + B_7 L_1^3 + B_8 L_1^2 L_2 + B_9 L_1 L_2^2 + \\ & + B_{10} L_2^3 + B_{11} L_1^4 + B_{12} L_1^3 L_2 + B_{13} L_1^2 L_2^2 + B_{14} L_1 L_2^3 + B_{15} L_2^4 + B_{16} [L_1^5 + m_1 L_1^4 L_2 + m_2 L_1^2 L_2^3] + \\ & + B_{17} [L_1^3 L_2^2 + m_3 L_1^2 L_2^3] + B_{18} [L_2^5 + m_4 L_1 L_2^4 + m_5 L_1^2 L_2^3] \end{aligned} \quad \text{(AIII.3)}$$

$$m_1 = \frac{5k_1}{k_1 + k_2} \quad m_2 = \frac{5(k_3 k_2 + k_1 k_3 - 3k_1 k_2)}{(k_1 + k_2)(2k_3 - 3k_2)} \quad m_3 = \frac{3k_3 - 2k_2}{2k_3 - 3k_2}$$

(AIII.4)

$$m_4 = \frac{5k_1}{k_1 + k_3} \quad m_5 = \frac{5(k_3 k_2 + k_1 k_3 - 3k_1 k_2)}{(k_1 + k_3)(2k_3 - 3k_2)}$$

are in a matrix form  $w = [F]\{B\}$ ,  $[F] = [F_i] \quad i = 1 \div 18$  a row matrix

with 18 elements and  $\{B\}$  a vector with 18 elements.

The elements of matrix [F] are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 &= 1, F_2 = L_1, F_3 = L_2, F_4 = L_1^2, F_5 = L_1 L_2, F_6 = L_2^2, F_7 = L_1^3, F_8 = L_1^2 L_2 \\
 F_9 &= L_1 L_2^2, F_{10} = L_2^3, F_{11} = L_1^4, F_{12} = L_1^3 L_2, F_{13} = L_1^2 L_2^2, F_{14} = L_1 L_2^3, F_{15} = L_2^4 \quad (\text{AIII.5}) \\
 F_{16} &= L_1^5 + m_1 L_1^4 L_2 + m_2 L_1^2 L_2^2, F_{17} = L_1^3 L_2^2 + m_3 L_1^2 L_2^2, F_{18} = L_2^5 + m_4 L_1 L_2^4 + m_5 L_1^2 L_2^3
 \end{aligned}$$

The displacement within the element can be expressed in the form

$  \left\{ \begin{array}{l} w \\ w',_x \\ w',_y \\ w',_{xx} \\ w',_{xy} \\ w',_{yy} \end{array} \right\} =  $	1	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	[F]	$  \left. \begin{array}{l} [F]_{,1} \\ [F]_{,2} \\ [F]_{,11} \\ [F]_{,21} \\ [F]_{,22} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{(B)}  $
	$\phi$ .	$b_1/DT$	$b_2/DT$	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	[F]_{,1}	
	$\phi$ .	$c_1/DT$	$c_2/DT$	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	[F]_{,2}	
	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$b_1^2/(DT)^2$	$2b_1 b_2/(DT)^2$	$b_2^2/(DT)^2$	[F]_{,11}	
	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$b_1 c_1/(DT)^2$	$(b_1 c_2 + b_2 c_1)/(DT)^2$	$b_2 c_2/(DT)^2$	[F]_{,21}	
	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$\phi$ .	$c_1^2/(DT)^2$	$2c_1 c_2/(DT)^2$	$c_2^2/(DT)^2$	[F]_{,22}	

(AIII.6)

the subscripts at [F] indicates derivatives of  $L_1, L_2$  respectively so

$$[F]_{,1} = \partial [F] / \partial L_1 \quad [F]_{,12} = \partial^2 [F] / \partial L_1 \partial L_2 \quad \text{etc.}$$

thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w \\ w',_x \\ w',_y \\ w',_{xx} \\ w',_{xy} \\ w',_{yy} \end{array} \right\} = [GC] [Fgen] \{B\} \quad (\text{AIII.7})$$

$$\{\delta_o^W\} = \begin{bmatrix} [GC] & [\phi.] & [\phi.] \\ [\phi.] & [GC] & [\phi.] \\ [\phi.] & [\phi.] & [GC] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [Fgen]_{L_2=0}^{L_1=1} \\ [Fgen]_{L_2=1}^{L_1=0} \\ [Fgen]_{L_2=0}^{L_1=0} \end{bmatrix} \{B\} \quad (\text{AIII.8})$$

$$\{\delta_o^W\}^T = \left\{ W_1, W_{xi}, W_{yi}, W_{xxi}, W_{xyi}, W_{yyi}, \dots \right\}_{i=1 \div 3} \quad (\text{AIII.9})$$

$$\{\delta_o^W\}^T = [GCdiag] [Fgen]_{all} \{B\} \quad (\text{AIII.10})$$

$$\{B\} = [Fgen]_{all}^{-1} [GCdiag]^{-1} \{\delta_o^W\} = [T]^{-1} \{\delta_o^W\} \quad (\text{AIII.11})$$

$$[GCdiag]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} [GC]^{-1} & [\phi.] & [\phi.] \\ [\phi.] & [GC]^{-1} & [\phi.] \\ [\phi.] & [\phi.] & [GC]^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{AIII.12})$$

$$[GC]^{-1} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & \phi. & \phi. & \phi. & \phi. & \phi. \\ \hline \phi. & c_2 & -b_2 & \phi. & \phi. & \phi. \\ \hline \phi. & -c_1 & b_1 & \phi. & \phi. & \phi. \\ \hline \phi. & \phi. & \phi. & c_2^2 & -2c_2b_2 & b_2^2 \\ \hline \phi. & \phi. & \phi. & -c_1c_2 & c_1b_2 + c_2b_1 & -b_1b_2 \\ \hline \phi. & \phi. & \phi. & c_1^2 & -2c_1b_1 & b_1^2 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (\text{AIII.13})$$

$[E_{gen}]_{all}^{-1}$  is a matrix of 18 x 18 size. We will indicate as  $(i,j)$  the element of the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column. The non-mentioned elements are equal zero.

$$(1,13)=1$$

$$(2,14)=1$$

$$(3,15)=1$$

$$(4,16)=0.5$$

$$(5,17)=1$$

$$(6,18)=0.5$$

$$(7,1)=10, (7,2)=-4, (7,4)=0.5, (7,13)=-10, (7,14)=-6, (7,16)=-1.5$$

$$(8,1)=6m_1, (8,2)=-3m_1, (8,3)=3, (8,4)=0.5m_1, (8,5)=-1, (8,13)=-6m_1$$

$$(8,14)=-3m_1, (8,15)=-3, (8,16)=0.5m_1, (8,17)=-2$$

$$(9,7)=6m_4, (9,8)=3, (9,9)=-3m_4, (9,11)=-1, (9,12)=0.5m_4, (9,13)=-6m_4$$

$$(9,14)=-3, (9,15)=-3m_4, (9,17)=-2, (9,18)=-0.5m_4$$

$$(10,7)=10, (10,9)=-4, (10,12)=0.5, (10,13)=-10, (10,15)=-6, (10,18)=-1.5$$

$$(11,1)=-15, (11,2)=7, (11,4)=-1, (11,13)=15, (11,14)=8, (11,16)=1.5$$

$$(12,1)=-12m_1, (12,2)=6m_1, (12,3)=-2, (12,4)=-m_1, (12,5)=1, (12,13)=12m_1$$

$$(12,14)=6m_1, (12,15)=2, (12,16)=m_1, (12,17)=1$$

$$(13,1)=-6(m_1+m_2)/(1-m_3), (13,2)=3(m_1+m_2)/(1-m_3), (13,3)=-3/(1-m_3)$$

$$(13,4)=-m_1/(1-m_3), (13,5)=1/(1-m_3), (13,6)=-m_3/2(1-m_3), (13,7)=6(m_3m_4-m_5)/(1-m_3)$$

$$(13,8)=3m_3/(1-m_3), (13,9)=3(m_3-m_3m_4)/(1-m_3), (13,10)=1/2(1-m_3), (13,11)=-m_3/(1-m_3)$$

$$(13,12)=(m_3m_4-m_5)/2(1-m_3), (13,13)=6(m_1+m_2+m_3m_4)/(1-m_3)$$

$$(13,14)=3(m_1+m_2-m_3)/(1-m_3), (13,15)=3(1+m_5-m_3m_4)/(1-m_3)$$

$$(13,16)=(m_1+m_2-1)/2(1-m_3), (13,17)=2, (13,18)=(m_3+m_3-m_3m_4)/2(1-m_3)$$

$$(14,7)=-12m_4, (14,8)=-2, (14,9)=6m_4, (14,11)=1, (14,12)=-m_4$$

$$(14,13)=12m_4, (14,14)=2, (14,15)=6m_4, (14,17)=1, (14,18)=m_4$$

$$(15,7)=-15, (15,9)=7, (15,12)=-1, (15,13)=15, (15,15)=8, (15,18)=1.5$$

$$(16,1)=6, (16,2)=-3, (16,4)=0.5, (16,13)=-6, (16,14)=-3, (16,16)=-0.5$$

$$(17,1)=6(m_1+m_2)/(1-m_3), (17,2)=-3(m_1+m_2)/(1-m_3), (17,3)=3/(1-m_3)$$

$$(17,4)=(m_1+m_2)/2(1-m_3), (17,5)=-1/(1-m_3), (17,6)=1/2(1-m_3)$$

$$(17,7)=6(m_3-m_4)/(1-m_3), (17,8)=-3/(1-m_3), (17,9)=3(m_4-m_5)/(1-m_3)$$

$$(17,10)=-1/2(1-m_3), (17,11)=1/(1-m_3), (17,12)=(m_3-m_4)/2(1-m_3)$$

$$(17,13)=6(m_4-m_5-m_1-m_2)/(1-m_3), (17,14)=3(1-m_1-m_2)/(1-m_3)$$

$$(17,15)=3(m_4-m_5-1)/(1-m_3), (17,16)=(1-m_1-m_2)/2(1-m_3)$$

$$(17,18)=(m_4-m_5-1)/(1-m_3)$$

$$(18,7)=6, (18,9)=-3, (18,12)=0.5, (18,13)=-6, (18,15)=-3, (18,18)=-0.5$$

$$1 - m_3 = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{3k_2 - 2k_3} = - \frac{b_3^2 + c_3^2}{b_3(3b_2 - 2b_1) + c_3(3c_2 - 2c_1)}$$

has always a real value different from zero for a triangle.

So the inverse matrix  $[Fgen]_{all}^{-1}$  can be always evaluated

$$\{\epsilon\} = \begin{bmatrix} [B^W] & [B^{S1}] \\ \phi & [B^{S2}] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \{\delta_o^W\} \\ \{\delta_o^S\} \end{bmatrix} \quad (AIII.14)$$

$[B^{S1}]$ ,  $[B^{S2}]$  identical with the ones defined for  
PDS15 - DDS21.

$[B^W]$  is a matrix of 18 x 18 size defined by the following relationships.

As it has been written at (AIII.6) the second derivatives (part of the strains ) are given by the form

$$\begin{Bmatrix} w_{,xx} \\ w_{,yy} \\ 2w_{,xy} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline b_1^2 / (DT)^2 & 2b_1 b_2 / (DT)^2 & b_2^2 / (DT)^2 & [F]_{,11} \\ \hline c_1^2 / (DT)^2 & 2c_1 c_2 / (DT)^2 & c_2^2 / (DT)^2 & [F]_{,21} \\ \hline 2b_1 c_1 / (DT)^2 & 2(b_1 c_2 + b_2 c_1) / (DT)^2 & 2b_2 c_2 / (DT)^2 & [F]_{,22} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \{B\} \quad (AIII.15)$$

$[F]_{,11} = \partial^2 [F] / \partial L_1^2$  etc. as defined previously.

Thus:

$$\begin{Bmatrix} w_{,xx} \\ w_{,yy} \\ 2w_{,xy} \end{Bmatrix} = [B_1^W] \{B\} = [B_1^W] [T]^{-1} \{\delta_o^W\} \quad (AIII.16)$$

$$\text{with } [B^W] = [B_1^W] [T]^{-1} \quad (AIII.17)$$

The stiffness, stress and load matrices  $[k_n]$ ,  $[S]$ ,  $\{R_n\}$  are obtained through equations (4.8), (4.5) employing the numerical integration formulae of Appendix VI.

APPENDIX IV

Formation of Stiffness Matrix for the model PMX24

$$\begin{Bmatrix} M_{xx} \\ M_{yy} \\ M_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} = [N_m^0] \{M_o^e\}, \begin{Bmatrix} Q_x \\ Q_y \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} M_{xx,x} + M_{xy,y} \\ M_{yy,y} + M_{xy,z} \end{Bmatrix} = [N_m^1] \{M_o^e\}, \begin{Bmatrix} w_{,x} \\ w_{,y} \end{Bmatrix} = [N_w^2] \{\delta_o^w\}$$

$$\{M_o^e\}^T = \{M_{xxi}, M_{yyi}, M_{xyi}\}_{i=1 \div 6} \quad \{\delta_o^w\}^T = \{w_i\}_{i=1 \div 6}$$

$$[N_m^0] =$$

$N_1$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_2$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_3$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_4$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_5$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_6$	$\phi$	$\phi$
$\phi$	$N_1$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_2$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_3$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_4$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_5$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_6$	$\phi$
$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_1$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_2$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_3$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_4$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_5$	$\phi$	$\phi$	$N_6$

$$N_1 = (2L_1 - 1)L_1, \quad N_2 = (2L_2 - 1)L_2, \quad N_3 = (2L_3 - 1)L_3$$

$$N_4 = 4L_2L_3, \quad N_5 = 4L_1L_3, \quad N_6 = 4L_1L_2.$$

$$[N_m^1] =$$

$N'_{x1}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y1}$	$N'_{x2}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y2}$	$N'_{x3}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y3}$	$N'_{x4}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y4}$	$N'_{x5}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y5}$	$N'_{x6}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y6}$
$\phi$	$N'_{y1}$	$N'_{x1}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y2}$	$N'_{x2}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y3}$	$N'_{x3}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y4}$	$N'_{x4}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y5}$	$N'_{x5}$	$\phi$	$N'_{y6}$	$N'_{x6}$

$$[N_w^2] =$$

$N'_{x1}$	$N'_{x2}$	$N'_{x3}$	$N'_{x4}$	$N'_{x5}$	$N'_{x6}$
$N'_{y1}$	$N'_{y2}$	$N'_{y3}$	$N'_{y4}$	$N'_{y5}$	$N'_{y6}$

$$\{ob\} = \begin{bmatrix} [N_m^0] \\ [N_m^1] \end{bmatrix} \quad \{M_o^e\} = [N_{mq}] \{M_o^e\}$$

$$N'_{x1} = b_1(4L_1 - 1)/DT$$

$$N'_{y1} = c_1(4L_1 - 1)/DT$$

$$N'_{x2} = b_2(4L_2 - 1)/DT$$

$$N'_{y2} = c_2(4L_2 - 1)/DT$$

$$N'_{x3} = b_3(4L_3 - 1)/DT$$

$$N'_{y3} = c_3(4L_3 - 1)/DT$$

$$N'_{x4} = 4(b_2L_3 + b_3L_2)/DT$$

$$N'_{y4} = 4(c_2L_3 + c_3L_2)/DT$$

$$N'_{x5} = 4(b_1L_3 + b_3L_1)/DT$$

$$N'_{y5} = 4(c_1L_3 + c_3L_1)/DT$$

$$N'_{x6} = 4(b_2L_1 + b_1L_2)/DT$$

$$N'_{y6} = 4(c_2L_1 + c_2L_2)/DT$$

$$[K_n^{mw}] = \iint_{A_n} [N_m^1]^T [N_w^2] dA$$


$$[K_n^{mq}] = - \iint_{A_n} [N_{mq}]^T [C_b] [N_{mq}] dA$$

The integrals are evaluated employing the numerical integration formulae of Appendix VI.

APPENDIX V

FORMATION OF MATRICES (Reference to PRO18, DRO30)

Formation of [B]



$$\{\epsilon\} = \begin{Bmatrix} a_{xx} \\ a_{yy} \\ a_{xy} \\ \gamma_x \\ \gamma_y \\ \epsilon_{xx} \\ \epsilon_{yy} \\ \epsilon_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} = [B] \begin{Bmatrix} \delta_o^e \end{Bmatrix} \quad (\text{AV.1})$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_{xx} &= \theta_{x,x} \\ a_{yy} &= \theta_{y,y} \\ a_{xy} &= \theta_{x,y} + \theta_{y,x} \\ \gamma_x &= w_{,x} - \theta_x \\ \gamma_y &= w_{,y} - \theta_y \\ \epsilon_{xx} &= u_{,x} \\ \epsilon_{yy} &= v_{,y} \\ \epsilon_{xy} &= u_{,y} + v_{,x} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (\text{AV.2})$$

$$\{\delta_o^e\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \delta_o^\theta \\ \delta_o^w \\ \delta_o^{uv} \end{array} \right\} \quad (\text{AV.3})$$

$\{\delta_o^\theta\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom with nodal total rotation s.

$\{\delta_o^w\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom with nodal transverse displacements.

$\{\delta_o^{uv}\}$  vector of nodal degrees of freedom with nodal in plane displacements.

$$\{\delta_o^\theta\}^T = [\theta_{xi}, \theta_{yi}, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 6 \quad (\text{AV.4})$$

$$\{\delta_o^w\}^T = [w_i, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (\text{AV.5})$$

$$\{\delta_o^{uv}\}^T = [u_i, v_i, \dots] \quad i = 1 \div 7 \quad (\text{AV.6})$$

$$\{\epsilon\} = \begin{bmatrix} [B_1^\theta] & [\phi.] & [\phi.] \\ [B_2^\theta] & [B^w] & [\phi.] \\ [\phi.] & [\phi.] & [B^{uv}] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \{\delta_o^\theta\} \\ \{\delta_o^w\} \\ \{\delta_o^{uv}\} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{AV.7})$$

Formation of  $[B_1^\theta]$  matrix

$[B_1^\theta]$  is a matrix of 3 rows and 12 columns.

$$B_{1,1}^\theta = b_1(4L_1 - 1)/DT \quad B_{1,3}^\theta, B_{1,5}^\theta \text{ form } B_{1,1}^\theta \text{ with circular}$$

symmetrical substitution of the  
subscripts 1, 2, 3.

$$B_{3,2}^\theta = B_{1,1}^\theta \quad B_{3,4}^\theta, B_{3,6}^\theta \text{ from } B_{3,2}^\theta \text{ as previously}$$

$$B_{1,7}^\theta = (4b_2L_3 + 4b_3L_2)/DT \quad B_{1,9}^\theta, B_{1,11}^\theta \text{ from } B_{1,7}^\theta \text{ as previously}$$

$$B_{3,8}^\theta = B_{1,7}^\theta \quad B_{3,10}^\theta, B_{3,12}^\theta \text{ from } B_{3,8}^\theta \text{ as previously}$$

$$B_{2,2}^\theta = c_1(4L_1 - 1)/DT \quad B_{2,4}^\theta, B_{2,6}^\theta \text{ from } B_{2,2}^\theta \text{ as previously}$$

$$B_{3,1}^\theta = B_{2,2}^\theta \quad B_{3,3}^\theta, B_{3,5}^\theta \text{ from } B_{3,1}^\theta \text{ as previously}$$

$$B_{2,8}^\theta = (4C_2L_3 + 4C_3L_2)/DT \quad B_{2,10}^\theta, B_{2,12}^\theta \text{ from } B_{2,8}^\theta \text{ as previously}$$

$$B_{3,7}^\theta = B_{2,8}^\theta \quad B_{3,9}^\theta, B_{3,11}^\theta \text{ from } B_{3,7}^\theta \text{ as previously.}$$

The rest of the elements in the matrix are zero.

$$[B_2^{\theta}] = - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline (2L_1-1)L_1 & \phi. & (2L_2-1)L_2 & \phi. & (2L_3-1)L_3 & \phi. & 4L_2L_3 & \phi. & 4L_1L_3 & \phi. & 4L_1L_2 & \phi. \\ \hline \phi. & (2L_1-1)L_1 & \phi. & (2L_2-1)L_2 & \phi. & (2L_3-1)L_3 & \phi. & 4L_2L_3 & \phi. & 4L_1L_3 & \phi. & 4L_1L_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$DIM2 = (b_1L_2L_3 + b_2L_1L_3 + b_3L_1L_2)/DT$$

$$DIM3 = (c_1L_2L_3 + c_2L_1L_3 + c_3L_1L_2)/DT$$

$$N_{x1} = b_1(4L_1 - L)/DT + 3DIM2$$

$$N_{x2} = b_2(4L_2 - 1)/DT + 3DIM2$$

$$N_{x3} = b_3(4L_3 - 1)/DT + 3DIM2$$

$$N_{x4} = 4(b_2L_3 + b_3L_2)/DT - 12DIM2$$

$$N_{x5} = 4(b_1L_3 + b_3L_1)/DT - 12DIM2$$

$$N_{x6} = 4(b_1L_2 + b_2L_1)/DT - 12DIM2$$

$$N_{x7} = 27DIM2$$

$$N_{y1} = c_1(4L_1 - 1)/DT + 3DIM3$$

$$N_{y2} = c_2(4L_2 - 1)/DT + 3DIM3$$

$$N_{y3} = c_3(4L_3 - 1)/DT + 3DIM3$$

$$N_{y4} = 4(c_2L_3 + c_3L_2)/DT - 12DIM3$$

$$N_{y5} = 4(c_1L_3 + c_3L_1)/DT - 12DIM3$$

$$N_{y6} = 4(c_1L_2 + c_2L_1)/DT - 12DIM3$$

$$N_{y7} = 27DIM3$$

$$[B^w] = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline N_{x1} & N_{x2} & N_{x3} & N_{x4} & N_{x5} & N_{x6} & N_{x7} \\ \hline N_{y1} & N_{y2} & N_{y3} & N_{y4} & N_{y5} & N_{y6} & N_{y7} \\ \hline \end{array}$$


$$[B^{uv}] = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline N_{x1} & \phi. & N_{x2} & \phi. & N_{x3} & \phi. & N_{x4} & \phi. & N_{x5} & \phi. & N_{x6} & \phi. & N_{x7} & \phi. \\ \hline \phi. & N_{y1} & \phi. & N_{y2} & \phi. & N_{y3} & \phi. & N_{y4} & \phi. & N_{y5} & \phi. & N_{y6} & \phi. & N_{y7} \\ \hline N_{y1} & N_{x1} & N_{y2} & N_{x2} & N_{y3} & N_{x3} & N_{y4} & N_{x4} & N_{y5} & N_{x5} & N_{y6} & N_{x6} & N_{y7} & N_{x7} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The stiffness, stress and load matrices  $[K_n]$ ,  $[S]$ ,  $\{R_n\}$  are obtained through equations (4.8), (4.5) employing the numerical integration formulae of Appendix VI.

APPENDIX VI

NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

The quintic numerical integration formulae have been employed as follows



$$\alpha_1 = 0.05971587$$

$$\beta_1 = 0.47014206$$

$$\alpha_2 = 0.79742699$$

$$\beta_2 = 0.10128651$$

where it was possible the integration has been applied straightforward by the use of the formulae

$$\iint_{P_1 P_2 P_3} L_1^a L_2^b L_3^c dx dy = \frac{a! b! c!}{(a + b + c + 2)!} DT$$

$$DT = \text{twice the area of the triangle } P_1 P_2 P_3$$

SANDWICH PLATE BENDING MODELS

COMPUTER LISTING

	Reference symbol
1.	PDS15
2.	PDS21
3.	PMX12
4.	PMX24
5.	PDS24
6.	PDS30
7.	PRO18

1. Reference symbol PDS15

```

P=1 . PROCTE=CURH COEFES=4
= UNIVERSITY, BATCH
WAS: 10:24:39
SIGNED ON AT 11:32:1 ON MON SEP 22/75
PRINT
*** PLATE DISPLAC.T MODEL 15 DEGR. OF FREEDOM W, UX, UY, UX, UY 3 NODES**
*** STRESSES AT THE CENTROID UX, UY, UXY, OX, OY *****
*** TRANSFORMATION TO W, UO, UO, UO, UO *****
*** CURVIC VARIATION OF W LINEAR OF UX,UY *****
SUBROUTINE STIFF
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/STI/X(3,2),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),
IINFO(20)
COMMON/MAN/BOI(2,36,36),COI(2,8,36),DOI(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM
COMMON/PAT/CO2(3,3),XC(3),YC(3)
DIMENSION TRAN(15,15),SMK(15,15),SMK1(15,15),STR(5,15),TIK(5,15),
LOADG(15),XM(16,2),YM(16,2),COR(4,4)
DO 100 I=1,36
DO 100 J=1,36
00 STUCK(J,I)=.
DO 101 I=1,36
DO 101 J=1,8
01 STICK(J,I)=.
DO 102 I=1,36
02 FORCE(I)=0.
DO 103 I=1,3
XC(I)=X(1,I)
03 YC(I)=X(2,I)
IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517
DO 516 M=1,NGRAM
DO 516 I=1,2
XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)
16 YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)
17 CONTINUE
NLAK=IINFO(1)
IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 104
CALL SUBTI
IF(NLAK.EQ.0) GO TO 105
NLAK=NLAK-1
DO 106 I=1,15
DO 106 J=1,15
16 BOI(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 107 I=1,15
DO 107 J=1,5
17 COI(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 108 I=1,15
08 DOI(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)
GO TO 105
04 DO 109 I=1,15
DO 109 J=1,15
09 STUCK(J,I)=BOI(NLAK,J,I)
DO 110 I=1,15
DO 110 J=1,5
10 STICK(J,I)=COI(NLAK,J,I)
DO 111 I=1,15
11 FORCE(I)=DOI(NLAK,I)
05 CONTINUE
NLIK=IINFO(2)
IF(NLIK.EQ.0.OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 112.
DO 113 I=1,15

```

```

DO 113 J=1,15
13 SMK(J, I)=STICK(J, I)
DO 114 I=1,15
DO 114 J=1,5
14 STR(J, I)=STICK(J, I)
DO 115 I=1,NI*5
K=(I-1)*5+3
L=INFC(K)
K1=INFC(K+1)
DO 583 II=1,15
DO 584 IJ=1,15
84 TRAN(IJ,II)=.
83 TRAN(II, IJ)=1.
CALL TRANI(XM,YM,K1,CC3)
KP=(I-1)*5
DO 587 IN=1,4
DO 587 IL=1,4
87 TRAN(KK+IN+1, KK+IL+1)=CC3(IN, I)
CALL TIMES(SMK,TRAN,SMK1,15,15,15,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK1,SMK,15,15,15,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TRAN,TIK,5,15,15,1)
DO 116 NI=1,15
DO 116 NJ=1,5
16 STR(NJ,NI)=TIK(NJ,NI)
15 CONTINUE
DO 117 I=1,15
DO 117 J=1,15
17 STUCK(J, I)=SMK(J, I)
DO 118 I=1,15
DO 118 J=1,5
18 STICK(J, I)=STR(J, I)
12 CONTINUE
BPPQ=0.
DO 604 J=6,8
DO 604 I=1,3
04 IF(X(I, J).NE.0)BPPQ=1.
IF(BPPQ.NE.1.)GO TO 560
DO 273 I=1,15
73 DARG(I)=0.
DARG(1)=X(3,6)
DARG(6)=X(3,7)
DARG(11)=X(3,8)
DO 274 I=1,15
74 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DARG(I)
6 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,K,TRL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),TRI (4,4),X2(2),Y2(2)
DO 515 J=1,2
X2(J)=X(K,J)
15 Y2(J)=Y(K,J)
G2=X2(2)-X2(1)
B2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
GLFN=DSQRT(G2**2+B2**2)
DO 100 I=1,4
DO 100 J=1,4
00 TRI(I, J)=0.
IF(GLFN.EQ.0) WRITE(6,700)

```

```

TPL(1,1)=32/GLEN
TRL(1,2)=32/GLEN
TPI(1,3)=32/GLEN
TPI(2,1)=-32/GLEN
TRL(2,2)=42/GLEN
TPI(2,3)=-32/GLEN
TPI(3,3)=32/GLEN
TPI(3,4)=32/GLEN
TRL(4,3)=-32/GLEN
TPI(4,4)=32/GLEN

```

```

END
FORMAT(/, ' *****PROGRAM***** SUBROUTINE TRANI GLEN----1, /)
RETURN
END

```

```

*****
ME THA MONTHIA TOY THECV *****
ME THN MONTHIA TOY THECV *****

```

```

SUBPROGRAMS *****
SUBPROGRAMS *****

```

```

THE STIFFNESS MATRIX S
THE STRESS MATRIX S
THE LOAD MATRIX LMP

```

```

INPUT FOR EACH POINT A THE AREA COORDINATES, B THE VALUES OF LOAD
INPUT FOR EACH ELEMENT THE COEFFICIENTS B(2), C(2)
INPUT FOR EACH ELEMENT THE ELASTICITY MATRIX C(2)
SUBROUTINE SHAPE(A,B,C,DT,NTK)

```

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/JOB/S(15,15),S(5,15),D(5,5)
COMMON/JAC/EAP(15,1),PIN(15),P(5),PI(15)
DIMENSION A(3),B(3),C(3),BE(5,15),EI(5,15)
DIMENSION SW(3),SX(3),SY(3),SWX(3),SXX(3),SYX(3),SHY(3),SXY(3),SVY
1(3),SXXX(3),SXXX(3),SYXX(3),SHYY(3),SXY(3),SYYY(3),SWXY(3),SXXY(3
2),SYXY(3),SU(3),SV(3),SFY(3),SFY(3),SUX(3),SUY(3),SVX(3),SVY(3)

```

```

DO 2 1 I=1,3
K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3

```

```

SW(I)=A(I)+A(I)**2*A(K)+A(I)**2*A(J)-A(I)*A(K)**2-A(I)*A(J)**2
SY(I)=B(K)*(A(I)**2*A(J)+0.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-B(J)*(A(K)*A(I)**2+
10.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))

```

```

SX(I)=G(J)*(A(I)**2*A(K)+0.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-G(K)*(A(J)*A(I)**2+
10.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))
SWX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*
1A(K)*(B(I)-B(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(B(I)-B(J)))

```

```

SYX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*A(I)*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+0.5*(B(J)-B(K)
1)*(B(I)*A(K)*A(J)+B(K)*A(I)*A(J)+B(J)*A(I)*A(K)))*(-1./)
SXX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*A(I)*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(B(K)*
1G(J)-B(J)*G(K))+0.5*(G(J)-G(K))*(B(I)*A(K)*A(J)+B(K)*A(I)*A(J)+
2C(J)*A(I)*A(K)))

```

```

SHY(I)=(+1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*
1A(K)*(G(I)-G(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(G(I)-G(J)))

```

```

SYY(I)=(-1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(G(K)*
1B(J)-G(J)*B(K))+0.5*(B(J)-B(K))*(G(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+
2G(J)*A(I)*A(K)))

```

```

SXY(I)=(+1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+0.5*(G(J)-G(K)
1)*(G(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+G(J)*A(I)*A(K)))

```

```

SU(I)=A(I)
SV(I)=A(I)
SFY(I)=A(I)
SFX(I)=A(I)
SXXX(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(-2.*B(I)*(A(I)*B(I)+A(K)*B(K)+A(J)*B(J))+

```

```

12. *(R(I)-B(K))* (A(K)*R(T)+A(T)*B(K))+2. *(P(I)-B(J))* (A(T)*P(J)+
2A(J)*P(I))
SYXX(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)**2*(B(J)*A(K)-P(K)*A(J))+(P(J)-B(K)
1)* (B(I)*B(K)*A(J)+P(I)*P(J)*A(K)+B(K)*B(J)*A(I)))
SXXX(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(2.*P(I)**2*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*B(T)
1*(B(K)*G(J)-B(J)*G(K))+(G(J)-G(K))* (B(T)*B(K)*A(J)+P(I)*B(J)*A(K)+
2B(K)*P(J)*A(I)))
SJYY(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(-2.*G(I)* (A(I)*G(I)+A(K)*G(K)+A(J)*G(J))+2.*
1(G(I)-G(K))* (A(K)*G(I)+A(I)*G(K))+2.* (G(I)-G(J))* (A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*
2G(I)))
SYYY(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)**2*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*G(I)
1*(G(K)*B(J)-G(J)*B(K))+(B(J)-B(K))* (G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+
2G(K)*G(J)*A(I)))
SXYI(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)**2*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+(G(J)-G(K)
1)* (G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+G(K)*G(J)*A(I)))
SWXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(-2.*B(I)* (C(I)*A(I)+G(K)*A(K)+G(J)*A(J))+2.*
1(B(I)-B(K))* (A(K)*C(I)+A(I)*G(K))+2.* (B(I)-B(J))* (A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*
2G(I)))
SYXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)*G(I)* (B(J)*A(K)-P(K)*A(J))+2.*R(I)*
1A(I)* (G(K)*B(J)-G(J)*B(K))+0.5*(B(J)-B(K))* (A(I)* (C(J)*B(K)+G(K)*
2B(J))+A(K)* (G(I)*P(J)+G(J)*P(I))+A(J)* (C(I)*B(K)+G(K)*B(I))))
3*(-1.0)
SXXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(2.*P(I)*G(I)* (G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+2.*G(I)*
1A(I)* (B(K)*G(J)-B(J)*G(K))+1.5*(G(J)-G(K))* (A(I)* (G(J)*B(K)+G(K)*
2P(J))+A(K)* (C(I)*B(J)+G(J)*B(I))+A(J)* (C(I)*B(K)+G(K)*B(I))))
SUX(I)=(1./DT)*B(I)
SVX(I)=(1./DT)*B(I)
SUY(I)=(1./DT)*G(I)
SVY(I)=(1./DT)*G(I)

```

01 CONTINUE

DO 401 I=1,15

DO 401 J=1,5

01 FF(J,I)=0.

DO 202 J=1,7,3

I=J

IF(I.LE.3)K=I

IF(I.GT.3.AND.I.LE.6)K=I-2

IF(I.GT.6)K=I-4

BF(1,J)=SXXX(K)

BF(2,J)=SWYY(K)

BF(3,J)=SWXY(K)

BF(1,J+1)=SYXX(K)

BF(2,J+1)=SYYY(K)

BF(3,J+1)=SYXY(K)

BF(1,J+2)=SXXX(K)

BF(2,J+2)=SXYI(K)

BF(3,J+2)=SXXY(K)

02 CONTINUE

DO 203 J=10,14,2

I=J-9

IF(I.LE.2)K=I

IF(I.GT.2.AND.I.LE.4)K=I-1

IF(I.GT.4)K=I-2

BF(1,J)=-SUX(K)

BF(3,J)=-SUY(K)

BF(4,J)=SFX(K)

BF(2,J+1)=-SVY(K)

BF(3,J+1)=-SVX(K)

BF(5,J+1)=SEY(K)

03 CONTINUE

```

STIFFNESS MATRIX          BY MULTIPLICATION  B * BDR
STIFFNESS MATRIX      SM
STRESS MATRIX      S  BY MULTIPLICATION  D*B
CALL TIMES(F,P,E,S,5,5,15,1)
CALL TIMES(BF,S,SM,15,5,15,2)
DO 402 I=1,15
DO 402 J=1,5
02 EN(J,I)=0.
PROGRAM FOR THE SHAPE FUNCTION MATRIX M
DO 213 J=1,7,3
I=J
IF(I.LE.3)K=J
IF(1.GT.3.AND.I.LE.6)K=I-2
IF(I.GT.6)K=I-4
EN(1,J)=S*(K)
EN(2,J)=SMY(K)
EN(3,J)=SMX(K)
EN(1,J+1)=SY(K)
EN(2,J+1)=SYY(K)
EN(3,J+1)=SYX(K)
EN(1,J+2)=SX(K)
EN(2,J+2)=SXY(K)
EN(3,J+2)=SXX(K)
13 CONTINUE
DO 214 J=10,14,2
I=J-9
IF(I.LE.2)K=I
IF(1.GT.2.AND.I.LE.4)K=I-1
IF(I.GT.4)K=I-2
EN(4,J)=SFX(K)
EN(5,J+1)=SFY(K)
14 CONTINUE
CALL TIMES(EN,P,FNP,15,5,1,2)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SUBT1
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/STI/X(3,20),ELMOD(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36)
1,INFD(20)
COMMON/PAT/CD2(3,3),XI(3),Y(3)
COMMON/JON/SM(15,15),S(5,15),D(5,5)
COMMON/JAC/ENP(15,1),PIN(15),P(5),PT(15)
DO 100 I=1,5
DO 100 J=1,5
01 D(J,I)=1.
D(1,1)=ELMOD(1)
D(1,2)=ELMOD(2)
D(2,2)=ELMOD(3)
D(3,3)=ELMOD(4)/2.
D(4,4)=ELMOD(5)
D(5,5)=ELMOD(6)
FORM THE WHOLE SYMMETRIC MATRIX D
DO 21 J=1,5
DO 210 I=1,5
D(I,J)=D(J,I)
1 CONTINUE
DODD=ELMOD(12)
IF(DDDD.NE.0.) D(2,1)=DDDD
DO 224 I=1,5
24 P(I)=0.

```

```

P(1)=X(3,5)
DIMENSION A(2),H(3),G(3),LENG(3),W(7),A1(7),A2(7),A3(7),ENK(15),
1 SMK(15,15),TIK(5,15),M1(15),SMK1(15,15)
DO 200 I=1,3
K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
R(I)=Y(K)-Y(J)
G(I)=XI(J)-XI(K)
LENG(I)=DSORT(R(I)**2+G(I)**2)
00 CONTINUE
DT=R(1)*G(2)-R(2)*G(1)
QUADRATIC INTEGRATION FOR STIFFNESS MATRIX STUCK
AREA COORDINATES L1 L2 L3 ARCO A(I)
WEIGHTS OF INTEGRATION W(I)
DATA A1/.3333333333333333,0.,0.50715870 00,2*0.470142060 00,0.707426090
1 00,2*0.101286510 00/,A2/.3333333333333333 00,2*0.470142060 00,0.707426090
270 00,2*0.101286510 00/,A3/.797426090 00,0.707426090 00,0.225 00,0.132304
3150 00,3*0.125939180 00/
DO 211 I=1,15
DO 211 J=1,15
11 SMK(J,I)=0.
DO 222 I=1,15
22 ENK(I)=.
DO 212 K=1,7
A(1)=A1(K)
A(3)=A3(K)
A(2)=1.-A(1)-A(3)
CALL SHAPE(A,R,G,DT, )
IF(K.NE.1) GO TO 801
DO 802 I=1,15
DO 8 2 J=1,5
02 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
01 CONTINUE
DO 221 I=1,15
21 ENK(I)=ENK(I)+DT/2.*W(K)*ENP(I,1)
DO 215 I=1,15
DO 215 J=1,15
15 SMK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)+DT/2.*W(K)*SM(J,I)
12 CONTINUE
DATA M1/1,3,2,10,11,4,6,5,12,13,7,9,8,14,15/
DIMENSION TCT(15,15)
DO 247 I=1,15
DO 247 J=1,15
47 TOT(J,I)=0.
DO 248 I=1,15
48 TOT(M1(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(SMK,TOT,SMK1,15,15,15,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,SMK1,SMK,15,15,15,2)
CALL TIMES(TIK,TCT,S,5,15,15,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,ENK,ENP,15,15,1,2)
DO 216 I=1,15
DO 216 J=1,15
16 STUCK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)
DO 217 I=1,15
DO 217 J=1,5
17 STICK(J,I)=S(J,I)
DO 218 I=1,15
18 FORCE(I)=ENP(I,1)

```

```

RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,P,N,M,L,KCK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,H-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),B(1),P(1)
KCK=1  A(N,1) , B(1,L) , P(N,1)  REGULAR  A*B
KCK=2  A(M,N) , P(M,1) , B(N,1)  TRANSPOSE A*B
IR=1
DO 100 K=1,L
DO 100 J=1,N
K(IR)=0.
GO TO(1,1,1,2),KCK
01 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,M
IA=M*(I-1)+1
IR=M*(K-1)+1
03 R(IR)=R(IR)+c(IA)*P(IR)
GO TO 100
02 CONTINUE
DO 1 4 I=1,M
IA=M*(I-1)+1
IR=M*(K-1)+1
 4 P(IR)=P(IR)+A(IA)*B(IR)
00 IR=IR+1
RETURN
END

```

2. Reference symbol PDS21

P=100 OFOUT=DUPE COPIES=4  
= UNIVERSITY, PATCH  
WAS: 11:36:10  
SIGNED ON AT 11:36:26 CA MON SEP 22/75  
PRINT\*

```
*** PLATE DISPLAC.MODEL 21 DEG. OF FREED.  W,WX,EY,FX,EY  3 CORNER NODES ***  
*** +  FX,EY  4 MIDSIDE NODES *****  
***CUBIC VARIATION FOR W , QUADRATIC FOR FX,EY *****  
*** STRESSES AT CENTROID  MXX,MYY,XXY,OX,OY *****  
*** TRANSFORMATION  W, PN, WS, FA, FS *****  
SUBROUTINE STIFF  
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)  
COMMON/STL/X(3,20),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),  
1 INFO(20)  
COMMON/MAN/RO1(2,36,36),COL(2,9,36),DOL(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM  
COMMON/PAT/CO2(3,3),XC(3),YC(3)  
DIMENSION TRAN(21,21),SMK(21,21),SMK1(21,21),STR(5,21),TIK(5,21),  
1EAO(21),YM(16,2),YK(16,2),CO3(4,4)  
DO 100 I=1,36  
DO 100 J=1,36  
STUCK(J,I)=0.  
DO 101 I=1,36  
DO 101 J=1,9  
01 STICK(J,I)=0.  
DO 102 I=1,36  
02 FORCE(I)=.  
DO 103 I=1,3  
XO(I)=X(1,I)  
03 YO(I)=X(2,I)  
IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517  
DO 516 M=1,NGRAM  
DO 516 I=1,2  
XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)  
16 YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)  
17 CONTINUE  
NLAK=INFO(1)  
IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 104  
CALL SUBTI  
IF(NLAK.EQ.3) GO TO 105  
NLAK=NLAK-10  
DO 106 I=1,21  
DO 106 J=1,21  
06 BOL(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)  
DO 107 I=1,21  
DO 107 J=1,5  
07 COL(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)  
DO 108 I=1,21  
08 DOL(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)  
GO TO 105  
04 DO 109 I=1,21  
DO 109 J=1,21  
09 STUCK(J,I)=BOL(NLAK,J,I)  
DO 110 I=1,21  
DO 110 J=1,5  
10 STICK(J,I)=COL(NLAK,J,I)  
DO 111 I=1,21  
11 FORCE(I)=DOL(NLAK,I)  
05 CONTINUE  
NLIK=INFO(2)  
IF(NLIK.EQ.0.OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 112
```

```

DO 113 I=1,21
DO 113 J=1,21
13 SMK(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 114 I=1,21
DO 114 J=1,5
14 STR(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 115 I=1,MLIK
K=(I-1)*2+2
I=INFC(K)
K1=INFC(K+1)
DO 585 II=1,21
DO 584 IJ=1,21
584 TRAN(IJ,IJ)=0.
583 TRAN(IJ,IJ)=1.
CALL TRANL(X,Y,K1,C2)
IF(L.CT,3) GO TO 112
KK=(I-1)*5
DO 587 II=1,4
DO 587 IJ=1,4
587 TRAN(KK+IA+1, KK+IJ+1)=COR(TA, II)
GO TO 120
19 KK=2*IL+7
DO 121 IN=1,2
DO 121 IU=1,2
21 TRAN(KK+IA, KK+IU)=COR(IN, IU)
2 CONTINUE
CALL TIME5(SMK,TRAN,SMK),21,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK1,SMK,21,21,21,2)
CALL TIMES(STP,TRAN,TIK,5,21,21,1)
DO 116 NI=1,21
DO 116 NJ=1,5
16 STR(NJ,NI)=TIK(NJ,NI)
15 CONTINUE
DO 117 I=1,21
DO 117 J=1,21
17 STUCK(J,I)=SMK(I,I)
DO 118 I=1,21
DO 118 J=1,5
18 STICK(J,I)=STR(J,I)
12 CONTINUE
RRPO=0.
DO 604 J=5,3
DO 604 I=1,3
04 IF(X(I,J).NE.0)RRPO=1.
IF(RRPO.NE.1.)GO TO 56
DO 273 I=1,21
73 DAPG(1)=0.
DAPG(1)=X(3,6)
DAPG(6)=X(3,7)
DAPG(11)=X(3,8)
DO 274 I=1,21
74 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DAPG(I)
6 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,K,TPL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),TPL(4,4),X2(2),Y2(2)
DO 515 J=1,2
X2(J)=X(K,J)

```

```

15 Y2(J)=Y(K,J)
G2=X2(2)-X2(1)
E2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
GLENN=RSQRT(G2**2+E2**2)
DO 100 I=1,4
DO 1 J=1,4
00 TPL(I,J)=0.
IF(GLENN.EQ.0) WRITE(6,700)
TPL(1,1)=B2/GLENN
TPL(1,2)=G2/GLENN
TPL(1,3)=E2/GLENN
TPL(2,1)=-G2/GLENN
TPL(2,2)=B2/GLENN
TPL(2,3)=-E2/GLENN
TPL(3,3)=B2/GLENN
TPL(3,4)=G2/GLENN
TPL(4,3)=-G2/GLENN
TPL(4,4)=E2/GLENN
01 FORMAT(/, ' *****PROGRAM***** SUBROUTINE TRANL GLENN----1,/)
RETURN
END

```

\*\*\*\*\*PROGRAM\*\*\*\*\*  
 \*\*\*\*\*PROGRAM\*\*\*\*\*  
 SUBPROGRAMS \*\*\*\*\*  
 SUBPROGRAMS \*\*\*\*\*

```

THE STIFFNESS MATRIX SM
THE STRESS MATRIX S
THE LOAD MATRIX EMP
INPUT FOR EACH POINT A THE AREA COORDINATES,P THE VALUES OF LOAD
INPUT FOR EACH ELEMENT THE COEFFICIENTS B(3),G(3)
INPUT FOR EACH ELEMENT THE ELASTICITY MATRIX D(8)
SUBROUTINE SHAPE(A,B,C,DT,NOK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/JOB/SM(21,21),S(6,21),O(6,5)
COMMON/JAC/EMP(21,1),PIN(15),P(5),PI(15)
DIMENSION A(3),B(3),G(3),BE(5,21),EA(5,21)
DIMENSION SW(3),SX(3),SY(3),SWX(3),SXX(3),SYX(3),SWY(3),SXY(3),SYY
1(3),SWXX(3),SXYY(3),SYXX(3),SWYY(3),SXXY(3),SYYX(3),S'XY(3),SXXY(3)
2),SYXY(3),SE(6),SEY(6),SEY(6)
DO 201 I=1,3
K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
SW(I)=A(I)+A(I)**2*A(K)+A(I)**2*A(J)-A(I)*A(K)**2-A(I)*A(J)**2
SY(I)=B(K)*(A(I)**2*A(J)+0.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-B(J)*(A(K)*A(I)**2+
10.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))
SX(I)=G(J)*(A(I)**2*A(K)+0.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-G(K)*(A(J)*A(I)**2+
11.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))
SWX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*
1A(K)*(B(I)-P(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(B(I)-P(J)))
SYX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*A(I)*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+0.5*(B(J)-B(K))
1*(B(I)*A(K)*A(J)+B(K)*A(I)*A(J)+B(J)*A(I)*A(K)))*(-1.0)
SXX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*A(I)*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(P(K)*
1G(J)-B(J)*G(K))+0.5*(G(J)-G(K))*(B(I)*A(K)*A(J)+B(K)*A(I)*A(J)+
2B(J)*A(I)*A(K)))
SWY(I)=(+1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*
1A(K)*(G(I)-C(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(G(I)-G(J)))
SYY(I)=(-1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(C(K)*
1B(J)-G(J)*B(K))+0.5*(B(J)-B(K))*(G(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+

```

```

2 G(J)*A(I)*A(K))
  SXY(I)=(+1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+.5*(G(J)-G(K)
1)*(G(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+G(J)*A(I)*A(K)))
  SHXX(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(-2.*R(I)*(A(I)*R(I)+A(K)*R(K)+A(J)*R(J))+
12.*(R(I)-R(K))*(A(K)*R(I)+A(I)*R(K))+2.*(R(I)-R(J))*(A(I)*R(J)+
2A(J)*R(I)))
  SYXX(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)**2*(R(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+(R(J)-R(K)
1)*(R(I)*R(K)*A(J)+R(I)*R(J)*A(K)+R(K)*R(J)*A(I)))
  SXXX(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(2.*B(I)**2*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*R(I)
1*(R(K)*G(J)-R(J)*G(K))+(G(J)-G(K))*(R(I)*R(K)*A(J)+R(I)*R(J)*A(K)+
2R(K)*R(J)*A(I)))
  SHYY(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(-2.*G(I)*(A(I)*G(I)+A(K)*G(K)+A(J)*G(J))+2.*
1(C(I)-G(K))*(A(K)*G(I)+A(I)*G(K))+2.*(G(I)-G(J))*(A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*
2G(I)))
  SYYY(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*C(I)**2*(R(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*G(I)
1*(G(K)*R(J)-G(J)*R(K))+(R(J)-R(K))*(G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+
2G(K)*G(J)*A(I)))
  SXVY(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)**2*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+(G(J)-G(K)
1)*(G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+C(K)*G(J)*A(I)))
  SHXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(-2.*R(I)*(C(I)*A(I)+G(K)*A(K)+G(J)*A(J))+2.*
1(R(I)-R(K))*(A(K)*C(I)+A(I)*C(K))+2.*(R(I)-R(J))*(A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*
2G(I)))
  SYXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)*G(I)*(R(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+2.*R(I)*
1A(I)*(C(K)*R(J)-C(J)*R(K))+.5*(R(J)-R(K))*(A(I)*(G(J)*R(K)+G(K)*
2R(J))+A(K)*(C(I)*R(J)+G(J)*R(I))+A(J)*(G(I)*R(K)+G(K)*R(I))))
3*(-1.0)
  SXXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)*C(I)*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+2.*G(I)*
1A(I)*(R(K)*G(J)-R(J)*G(K))+.5*(G(J)-G(K))*(A(I)*(G(J)*R(K)+G(K)*
2R(J))+A(K)*(G(I)*R(J)+G(J)*R(I))+A(J)*(G(I)*R(K)+G(K)*R(I))))
  SF(I)=(2.*A(I)-1.)*A(I)
  SF(I+3)=4.*A(K)*A(J)
  SFX(I)=R(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT
  SFX(I+3)=(4.*R(K)*A(J)+4.*R(J)*A(K))/DT
  SFY(I)=G(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT
1 SFY(I+3)=(4.*G(K)*A(J)+4.*G(J)*A(K))/DT
DO 401 I=1,21
DO 401 J=1,5
1 BF(J,I)=0.
DO 202 J=1,7,3
I=J
IF(I.LE.3)K=1
IF(I.GT.3.AND.I.LE.6)K=I-2
IF(I.GT.6)K=I-4
BF(1,J)=SHXX(K)
BF(2,J)=SHYY(K)
BF(3,J)=SHXY(K)
BF(1,J+1)=SYXX(K)
BF(2,J+1)=SYYY(K)
BF(3,J+1)=SYXY(K)
BF(1,J+2)=SXXX(K)
BF(2,J+2)=SXVY(K)
BF(3,J+2)=SXXY(K)
02 CONTINUE
DO 105 I=1,6
J=8+2*I
BF(1,J)=-SFX(I)
BF(3,J)=-SFY(I)
BF(4,J)=SF(I)
BF(2,J+1)=-SFY(I)
BF(3,J+1)=-SFX(I)

```

```

5  RF(5,J+1)=SF(I)
   STIFFNESS MATRIX          BY MULTIPLICATION  P 3D#R
   STIFFNESS MATRIX  SM
   STRESS MATRIX  S BY MULTIPLICATION  D#R
CALL TIMES(D,RE,S,5,5,21,1)
CALL TIMES(RE,S,SM,21,5,21,2)
DO 402 I=1,21
DO 402 J=1,5
2  FN(J,I)=.
PROGRAM FOR THE SHAPE FUNCTION MATRIX N
DO 213 J=1,7,3
I=J
IF(I.LE.3)K=I
IF(I.GT.3.AND.I.LE.5)K=I-2
IF(I.GT.6)K=I-4
FN(1,J)=SM(K)
FN(2,J)=SMY(K)
FN(3,J)=SMX(K)
EN(1,J+1)=SY(K)
EN(2,J+1)=SYY(K)
EN(3,J+1)=SYX(K)
FN(1,J+2)=SX(K)
EN(2,J+2)=SXY(K)
EN(3,J+2)=SXX(K)
13 CONTINUE
DO 110 I=1,6
J=8+2*I
EN(4,J)=SF(I)
10 FN(5,J+1)=SF(I)
CALL TIMES(FN,P,FNP,21,5,1,2)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SUBTJ
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-7)
COMMON/STI/X(3,20),ELMOD(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36)
1,INFO(20)
COMMON/PAT/CO2(3,3),XI(3),Y(3)
COMMON/JCN/SM(21,21),S(5,21),D(5,5)
COMMON/JAC/FNP(21,1),PIN(15),P(5),PI(15)
DO 100 I=1,5
DO 100 J=1,5
C(J,I)=.
C(1,1)=ELMOD(1)
C(1,2)=ELMOD(2)
C(2,2)=ELMOD(3)
C(3,3)=ELMOD(4)/2.
D(4,4)=ELMOD(5)
D(5,5)=ELMOD(6)
FORM THE WHOLE SYMMETRIC MATRIX D
DO 210 J=1,5
DO 210 I=1,5
C(I,J)=D(J,I)
1 CONTINUE
IF(ELMOD(12).NE.0.) D(2,1)=ELMOD(12)
DO 224 I=1,5
24 P(I)=0.
P(1)=X(3,5)
DIMENSION A(3),B(3),C(3),LENG(3),H(7),A1(7),A2(7),A3(7),FMK(21),
ISMK(21,21),TIK(5,21),M1(21),SMK1(21,21)
DO 200 I=1,3

```

```

K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
U(I)=Y(K)-Y(J)
C(I)=XI(J)-XI(K)
LENG(I)=DSQRT(P(I)**2+G(I)**2)
00 CONTINUE
DT=B(1)*G(2)-B(2)*G(1)
QUANTIC INTEGRATION FOR STIFFNESS MATRIX STUCK
AREA COORDINATES L1 L2 L3 ARCC A(I)
WEIGHTS OF INTEGRATION W(I)
DATA A1/0.33333333D 00,0.05971587D 00,2*0.47014206D 00,0.79742699D
1 ,2*0.1123651D 7,4*0.33333333D 00,2*0.47014206D 00,0.0597158
27D 00,2*0.10128651D 00,0.79742699D 00,7,W/0.22500000D 00,3*0.132394
315D 00,3*0.12593918D 00/
DO 211 I=1,21
DO 211 J=1,21
11 SMK(J,I)=0.
DO 222 I=1,21
22 ENK(I)=0.
DO 212 K=1,7
A(1)=A1(K)
A(3)=A3(K)
A(2)=1.-A(1)-A(3)
CALL SHAPEF(A,B,G,DT,0)
IF(K.NE.1) GO TO 801
DO 802 I=1,21
DO 802 J=1,5
02 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
01 CONTINUE
DO 221 I=1,21
21 ENK(I)=ENK(I)+DT/2.*W(K)*ENK(I,1)
DO 215 I=1,21
DO 215 J=1,21
15 SMK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)+DT/2.*W(K)*SMK(J,I)
12 CONTINUE
DATA M1/1,3,2,1 ,11,4,6,5,12,13,7,9,8,14,15,16,17,18,19,21,21/
DIMENSION TOT(21,21)
DO 247 I=1,21
DO 247 J=1,21
47 TOT(J,I)=0.
DO 248 I=1,21
48 TOT(M1(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(SMK,TOT,SMK1,21,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,SMK1,SMK,21,21,21,2)
CALL TIMES(TIK,TOT,S,5,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,ENK,ENK,21,21,1,2)
DO 216 I=1,21
DO 216 J=1,21
16 STUCK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)
DO 217 I=1,21
DO 217 J=1,5
17 STICK(J,I)=S(J,I)
DO 218 I=1,21
18 FORCE(I)=ENK(I,1)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,R,N,M,I,KCK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)

```

```

DIMENSION A(1),B(1),C(1)
KOK=1  A(N,M) , B(M,L) , C(N,L)  REGULAR  ADD
KOK=2  A(M,N) , B(N,L) , C(N,L)  TRANSPOSE  AIBP
IF=1
DO 100 K=1,L
DO 100 J=1,M
F(IF)=0.
GO TO(101,102),KOK
01 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,N
IA=M*(J-1)+J
IB=M*(K-1)+I
03 F(IF)=F(IF)+A(IA)*F(IB)
GO TO 100
02 CONTINUE
DO 104 I=1,M
IA=M*(J-1)+I
IB=M*(K-1)+I
04 F(IF)=F(IF)+A(IA)*F(IP)
IF=IF+1
RETURN
END

```

3. Reference symbol PMX12

```

P=100 PCOUTF=OURH COPIES=4
= UNIVERSITY, BATCH
WAS: 11:36:26
IGNED ON AT 11:37:14 ON MON SEP 22/75
PRINT*
***** MIXED MODEL 12 DEGREES OF FREEDOM X, MXX, MYY, MXY 3 MODES *****
***** STRESSES CONSTANT WITHIN AN ELEMENT QX,QY *****
***** TRANSFORMATION M, MM, MSS, MNS *****
***** LINEAR VARIATION OF THE FUNCTIONS *****
SUBROUTINE STIFF
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,C-Z)
COMMON/STI/X(3,20),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),
1 INEQ(20)
COMMON/MAN/ROL(2,36,36),COL(2,8,36),CCL(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM
COMMON/COORD/X1(3),Y1(3)
DIMENSION TRAN(12,12),SMK(12,12),SMK1(12,12),STR(2,12),
1 TIK(2,12),DANG(12),XM(16,2),YM(16,2),CF3(3,3)
DO 100 I=1,3
X1(I)=X(1,I)
Y1(I)=Y(2,I)
DO 101 I=1,36
DO 101 J=1,36
1 STUCK(J,I)=0.
DO 102 I=1,36
DO 102 J=1,8
02 STICK(J,I)=0.
DO 103 I=1,36
03 FORCE(I)=.
IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 513
DO 516 M=1,NGRAM
DO 516 I=1,2
16 XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)
YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)
013 CONTINUE
NLAK=INEQ(1)
IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2)GO TO 104
CALL SUBTI
IF(NLAK.EQ.0)GO TO 105
NLAK=NLAK-1
DO 106 I=1,12
DO 106 J=1,12
06 ROL(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 113 I=1,12
DO 113 J=1,2
13 COL(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 108 I=1,12
08 CCL(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)
DO TO 105
04 DO 109 I=1,12
DO 109 J=1,12
09 STUCK(J,I)=ROL(NLAK,J,I)
DO 114 I=1,12
DO 114 J=1,2
14 STICK(J,I)=COL(NLAK,J,I)
DO 111 I=1,12
11 FORCE(I)=DOL(NLAK,I)
05 CONTINUE
NLIK=INEQ(2)
IF(NLIK.EQ.0.OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 112
DO 213 I=1,12

```

```

DO 213 J=1,12
213 SMK(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 214 I=1,12
DO 214 J=1,2
214 STR(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 115 I=1,NI*3
K=(I-1)*2+3
I=INFC(K)
KI=INFC(K+1)
DO 583 II=1,12
DO 584 IJ=1,12
584 TRAN(IJ,II)=.
583 TRAN(II,IJ)=1.
CALL TRANL(XM,YM,KI,CC3)
KK=(I-1)*4
DO 587 IN=1,3
DO 587 IJ=1,3
587 TRAN(KK+IN+1,KK+IJ+1)=CC3(IN,IJ)
CALL TIMES(SMK,TRAN,SMK1,12,12,12,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK1,SMK,12,12,12,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TRAN,TRK,2,12,12,1)
DO 116 MI=1,12
DO 117 MJ=1,2
16 STR(MJ,MI)=TRK(MJ,MI)
15 CONTINUE
DO 117 I=1,12
DO 117 J=1,12
17 STUCK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)
DO 118 I=1,12
DO 118 J=1,2
18 STICK(J,I)=STR(J,I)
12 CONTINUE
BPPD=0.
DO 604 J=6,8
DO 604 I=1,3
04 IF(X(I,J).NE.0.)BPPD=1.
IF(BPPD.NE.1.) GO TO 560
DO 273 I=1,12
73 DANG(I)=0.
DANG(1)=X(3,6)
DANG(5)=X(3,7)
DANG(9)=X(3,8)
DO 274 I=1,12
74 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DANG(I)
60 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SUBT1
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/ST1/X(3,20),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),
LINEO(21)
COMMON/COORD/XI(3),YL(3)
COMMON/TRGA/R(3),G(3),FL(3),DT
DIMENSION FNM1(2,9),FNM2(2,3),FFR(3,3),FFS(2,2),STNM(9,9),FNM(2,9),
1),STNO(9,9),STN(9,9),STMK(9,3),TT(12,12),SIM(12,12),M1(12),STR(2,
212),STR1(2,12),GE(12,12)
DO 100 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3

```

```

IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
R(I)=YI(K)-YL(J)
C(I)=XL(I)-XL(K)
00 F(I)=P(I)**2+C(I)**2
DT=R(1)*G(2)-R(2)*G(1)
D11=YOUNG(1)
D12=YOUNG(2)
D22=YOUNG(3)
D33=YOUNG(4)/2.
D44=YOUNG(5)
D55=YOUNG(6)
D21=D12
D000=YOUNG(12)
IF(D000.NE.0.) D21=D000
DO 101 I=1,3
DO 101 J=1,3
01 FFB(J,I)=0.
DO 102 I=1,2
DO 102 J=1,2
02 FFS(J,I)=0.
D0T=D11*D22-D12*D21
IF(D0T.EQ.0.)WRITE(6,700)
700 FORMAT(/,' ***** ERROR ***** ELASTICITY MATRIX (,/)
FFB(1,1)=D22/D0T
FFB(1,2)=-D12/D0T
FFB(2,1)=-D21/D0T
FFB(2,2)=D11/D0T
EFB(3,3)=1./D33
FFS(1,1)=1./D44
FFS(2,2)=1./D55
DO 103 I=1,9
DO 103 J=1,2
03 ENM1(J,I)=0.
DO 104 I=1,3
J=3*I-2
ENM1(1,J)=R(I)/DT
ENM1(2,J+1)=G(I)/DT
ENM1(1,J+2)=G(I)/DT
04 ENM1(2,J+2)=R(I)/DT
DO 105 I=1,3
ENW2(1,I)=R(I)/DT
05 ENW2(2,I)=G(I)/DT
DO 106 I=1,9,3
DO 106 J=1,9,3
AS=DT/24.
K=I-J
IF(K.LT.3.AND.K.GT.-3) AS=DT/12.
STM1(I,J)=FFB(1,1)*AS
STM1(I+1,J)=FFB(2,1)*AS
STM1(I+2,J)=EFB(3,1)*AS
STM1(I,J+1)=FFB(1,2)*AS
STM1(I+1,J+1)=FFB(2,2)*AS
STM1(I+2,J+1)=FFB(3,2)*AS
STM1(I,J+2)=FFB(1,3)*AS
STM1(I+1,J+2)=FFB(2,3)*AS
6 STM1(I+2,J+2)=FFB(3,3)*AS
CALL TIMES(FFS,ENM1,ENW2,STM1,9,2,9,1)
CALL TIMES(ENM1,ENW2,STM1,9,2,9,2)
CALL TIMES(ENM1,ENW2,STM1,9,2,9,2)
DO 107 I=1,9

```

```

DO 1 7 J=1,9
07 STM(J,I)=STMM(J,I)+DT/2.*STW(J,I)
DO 110 I=1,12
DO 11 J=1,12
TT(J,I)=0.
10 SIM(J,I)=0.
DO 111 I=1,3
DO 111 J=1,9
11 SIM(J+2,I)=STMB(J,I)*DT/2.
DO 112 I=1,9
DO 112 J=1,3
12 SIM(J,I+3)=STMW(I,J)*DT/2.
DO 113 I=1,9
DO 113 J=1,9
13 SIM(J+2,I+3)=-STM(J,I)
DO 114 I=1,12
DO 114 J=1,2
14 STR(J,I)=0.
DO 115 I=1,2
DO 115 J=1,2
15 STR(J,I+3)=FMM1(J,I)
DATA M1/1,4,5,6,2,7,3,9,8,10,11,12/
DO 116 I=1,12
16 TT(M1(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(SIM,TT,GF,12,12,12,1)
CALL TIMES(TT,GF,SIM,12,12,12,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TT,STR1,2,12,12,1)
DO 117 I=1,12
DO 117 J=1,12
17 STUCK(J,I)=SIM(J,I)
DO 118 I=1,12
DO 118 J=1,2
18 STICK(J,I)=SIP1(J,I)
PG=X(3,5)
FORCE(1)=DT/6.*PG
FORCE(5)=DT/6.*PG
FORCE(9)=DT/6.*PG
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,R,N,M,L,KOK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),B(1),P(1)
KOK=1  A(M,M) , P(M,I) , P(N,L)  REGULAR  A*B
KOK=2  A(M,N) , P(M,L) , P(N,L)  TRANSPOSE A*B
IR=1
DO 100 K=1,L
DO 100 J=1,M
R(IR)=0.
GO TO(101,102),KOK
01 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,M
IA=N*(I-1)+J
IB=M*(K-1)+I
03 R(IR)=R(IR)+A(IA)*B(IB)
GO TO 10)
02 CONTINUE
DO 104 I=1,N
IA=M*(J-1)+I
IB=M*(K-1)+I
04 R(IR)=R(IR)+A(IA)*B(IB)

```

```

CO IR=IP+1
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANL (X,Y,K1,TPL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,O-Z)
DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),Y2(2),Y2(2),TPL(3,3)
DO 515 J=1,2
X2(J)=X(K1,J)
15 Y2(J)=Y(K1,J)
G2=X2(2)-X2(1)
R2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
GLEN=DSQRT(D2**2+G2**2)
IF (GLEN.EQ.0.) WRITE(6,700)
COR=B2/GLEN
SIR=-G2/GLEN
TPL(1,1)=COR**2
TR1(1,3)=-2.*COR*SIR
TR1(1,2)=SIR**2
TR1(2,1)=SIR**2
TPL(2,3)=2.*COR*SIR
TR1(2,2)=COR**2
TR1(2,1)=COR*SIR
TR1(3,3)=COR**2-SIR**2
TR1(3,2)=-COR*SIR
FORMAT(7,1) ***** EPPDP ***** GLEN SUB. TRANL(,/)
RETURN
END

```

4. Reference symbol PMX24

P=100 PRCUTE=DURH COPIES=4  
= UNIVERSITY, BATCH  
WAS: 11:37:14  
SIGNED ON AT 11:37:19 ON MON SEP 22/75  
PRINT:

```
***** MIXED MODEL 24 DEGREES OF FREEDOM W, MX, MY, MXY, A AND  
***** STRESSES QX, QY AT FIRST AND SECOND NODES *****  
***** TRANSFORMATION TO U, MNN, MSS, MSN *****  
***** QUADRATIC VARIATION OF THE FUNCTIONS *****  
SUBROUTINE STIFF  
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-Z)  
COMMON/STI/X(3,20),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),  
INFO(20)  
COMMON/MAN/BCL(2,36,36),COI(2,8,36),DCL(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM  
COMMON/COOR/X1(3),Y1(3)  
DIMENSION TRAN(24,24),SMK(24,24),SMK1(24,24),STP(4,24),TIK(4,24),  
ICANG(24),XM(16,2),YM(16,2),COB(3,3)  
DO 100 I=1,3  
X1(I)=X(1,I)  
00 Y1(I)=X(2,I)  
DO 101 I=1,36  
DO 101 J=1,36  
01 STUCK(J,I)=0.  
DO 102 I=1,36  
DO 102 J=1,8  
02 STICK(J,I)=0.  
DO 103 I=1,36  
03 FORCE(I)=0.  
IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517  
DO 516 M=1,NGRAM  
DO 516 I=1,2  
XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)  
516 YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)  
517 CONTINUE  
NLAK=INFO(1)  
IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 104  
CALL SUPTI  
IF(NLAK.EQ.0) GO TO 105  
NLAK=NLAK-1  
DO 106 I=1,24  
DO 106 J=1,24  
06 BCL(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)  
DO 113 I=1,24  
DO 113 J=1,4  
13 DCL(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)  
DO 108 I=1,24  
08 DCL(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)  
GO TO 105  
04 DO 109 I=1,24  
DO 109 J=1,24  
09 STUCK(J,I)=BCL(NLAK,J,I)  
DO 214 I=1,24  
DO 214 J=1,4  
214 STICK(J,I)=DCL(NLAK,J,I)  
DO 111 I=1,24  
11 FORCE(I)=DCL(NLAK,I)  
05 CONTINUE  
NLIK=INFO(2)  
IF(NLIK.EQ.0.OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 112  
DO 213 I=1,24
```

```

DO 213 J=1,24
13 SMK(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 114 I=1,24
DO 114 J=1,4
14 STP(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 115 I=1,MLIK
K=(I-1)*2+3
L=INFC(K)
K1=INFC(K+1)
DO 583 II=1,24
DO 584 IJ=1,24
84 TRAN(IJ,II)=0.
83 TRAN(II,II)=1.
CALL TRANL(XM,YM,K1,CO3)
KK=(L-1)*4
DO 597 IN=1,3
DO 587 IL=1,3
E7 TRAN(KK+IN+1,KK+IL+1)=CO3(IN,IL)
CALL TIMES(SMK,TRAN,SMK1,24,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK1,SMK,24,24,24,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TRAN,TIK,4,24,24,1)
DO 116 NI=1,24
DO 116 NJ=1,4
16 STR(NJ,NI)=TIK(NJ,NI)
15 CONTINUE
DO 117 I=1,24
DO 117 J=1,24
17 STUCK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)
DO 118 I=1,24
DO 118 J=1,4
18 STICK(J,I)=STR(J,I)
12 CONTINUE
BRPO=0.
DO 604 J=6,11
DO 614 I=1,3
04 IF(X(I,J).NE.0.)BRPO=1.
IF(BRPO.NE.1.) GO TO 560
DO 273 I=1,24
73 DARG(I)=0.
DARG(1)=X(3,6)
DARG(5)=X(3,7)
DARG(9)=X(3,8)
DARG(13)=X(3,9)
DARG(17)=X(3,10)
DARG(21)=X(3,11)
DO 274 I=1,24
74 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DARG(I)
60 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SURTI
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-Z)
COMMON/STI/X(3,20),Y0(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),
1INFO(20)
COMMON/TRCA/B(3),G(3),EI(3),DT
COMMON/OLCEP/EMM(18,18),EMQ(18,18),STMW(18,6),STR(4,24)
COMMON/COOR/XL(3),YL(3)
DIMENSION STM(18,18),TT(24,24),M1(24),SIM(24,24),CE(24,24)
1,STRI(4,24)
DO 11 I=1,3

```

```

K=I+1
J=I+7
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
B(I)=YL(K)-YI(J)
G(I)=Xl(J)-Xl(K)
00 FL(I)=R(I)**2+G(I)**2
DT=R(1)*G(2)-R(2)*G(1)
DO 111 I=1,24
DO 111 J=1,4
11 STR(J,I)=0.
CALL INTSU
DO 1 1 I=1,18
DO 101 J=1,18
01 STM(J,I)=EMM(J,I)+EMG(J,I)
DO 102 I=1,24
DO 102 J=1,24
TT(J,I)=^
02 SIM(J,I)=0.
DO 103 I=1,6
DO 1 3 J=1,18
03 SIM(J+6,I)=STMW(J,I)
DO 104 I=1,18
DO 104 J=1,6
04 SIM(J,I+6)=STMW(I,J)
DO 1 5 I=1,18
DO 105 J=1,18
05 SIM(J+6,I+6)=-STM(J,I)
DATA M1/1,7,8,9,2,1^,11,12,3,13,14,15,4,16,17,18,5,19,20,21,
16,22,23,24/
DO 107 I=1,24
07 TT(M1(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(SIM,TT,GE,24,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(TT,GE,SIM,24,24,24,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TT,STR1,4,24,24,1)
DO 106 I=1,24
DO 1 6 J=1,24
06 STUCK(J,I)=SIM(J,I)
DO 112 I=1,24
DO 112 J=1,4
12 STICK(J,I)=STR1(J,I)
PG=X(3,5)
FORCE(13)=DT/6.*PG
FORCE(17)=DT/6.*PG
FORCE(21)=DT/6.*PG
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,K1,TPL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),X2(2),Y2(2),TPI(3,3)
DO 515 J=1,2
X2(J)=X(K1,J)
15 Y2(J)=Y(K1,J)
G2=X2(2)-X2(1)
B2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
GLEN=DSQRT(B2**2+G2**2)
IF(GLEN.EQ.0.) WRITE(6,700)
COB=B2/GLEN
SIB=-G2/GLEN
TRL(1,1)=COB**2

```

```

TRL(1,3)=-2.*COB*SIB
TPI(1,2)=SIB**2
TPI(2,1)=SIB**2
TRL(2,3)=2.*COB*SIB
TPI(2,2)=COB**2
TRI(3,1)=COB*SIB
TRI(3,3)=COB**2-SIB**2
TRI(3,2)=-COB*SIB
FORMAT(/, '          ***** FREQ ***** GLEN  SUB. TRANL',/)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE INTSU
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
D21=YOUNG(12)
COMMON/TRCA/R(3),G(3),EI(3),DT
COMMON/DLGRP/FEM(18,18),EMQ(18,18),EMW(18,6),STR(4,24)
COMMON/STI/X(3,2),YOUNG(12),ST(36,36),SF(8,36),FFR(36),INFD(20)
DIMENSION A1(7),A2(7),A3(7),W(7),FFR(3,3),EFS(2,2),A(3),
1 FN(6),FE(6),FF(6),EMQ(3,18),FNW2(2,6),FNM1(2,18)
2, FNM1(18,6),FMM1(3,18),EMM2(18,18),EMQ1(2,18),EMQ2(18,18)
DATA A1/0.33333333D 00,0.05971597D 00,2*0.47014206D 00,0.79742699D
1 ,2* .10128651D 00,3*0.12593918D 00, A3/ .33333333D 00,2* .47014206D 00,0.79742699D
27D 00,2*0.10128651D 00,0.79742699D 00, A/0.22500000D 00,3*0.132334
315D 00,3*0.12593918D 00/
D11=YOUNG(1)
D12=YOUNG(2)
D22=YOUNG(3)
D33=YOUNG(4)/2.
D44=YOUNG(5)
D55=YOUNG(6)
D21=D12
D000=YOUNG(12)
IF(D000.NE.0.)D21=D000
DO 100 I=1,3
DO 100 J=1,3
FFR(J,I)=0.
DO 101 I=1,2
DO 101 J=1,2
01 FFS(J,I)=0.
DOT=D11*D22-D12*D21
IF(DOT.EQ.0.)WRITE(6,7*)
00 FORMAT(/, '          ***** FREQ ***** ELASTICITY MATRIX ',/)
FFR(1,1)=D22/DOT
FFR(1,2)=-D12/DOT
FFR(2,1)=-D21/DOT
FFR(2,2)=D11/DOT
FFR(3,3)=1./D33
EFS(1,1)=1./D44
EFS(2,2)=1./D55
DO 107 I=1,18
DO 107 J=1,18
EMM(J,I)=0.
07 EMQ(J,I)=0.
DO 108 I=1,6
DO 108 J=1,18
08 EMM(J,I)=0.
INTEGRATION
DO 102 K=1,9
IF(K.EQ.8) A(1)=1.
IF(K.EQ.8) A(2)=0.

```

```

IF (K.EQ.8) A(3)=0.
IF (K.EQ.3) A(1)=1.
IF (K.EQ.9) A(2)=1.
IF (K.EQ.9) A(3)=0.
IF (K.GT.7) GO TO 112
A2(K)=1.-A1(K)-A3(K)
A(1)=A1(K)
A(2)=A2(K)
A(3)=A3(K)
12 CONTINUE
EM(1)=(2.*A(1)-1.)*A(1)
EM(2)=(2.*A(2)-1.)*A(2)
EM(3)=(2.*A(3)-1.)*A(3)
EM(4)=4.*A(2)*A(3)
EM(5)=4.*A(1)*A(2)
EM(6)=4.*A(1)*A(3)
EF(1)=P(1)*(4.*A(1)-1.)/DT
EF(2)=R(2)*(4.*A(2)-1.)/DT
EF(3)=P(3)*(4.*A(3)-1.)/DT
EF(4)=4.*(R(2)*A(3)+F(3)*A(2))/DT
EF(5)=4.*(R(1)*A(3)+R(3)*A(1))/DT
EF(6)=4.*(R(1)*A(2)+P(2)*A(1))/DT
GF(1)=G(1)*(4.*A(1)-1.)/DT
GF(2)=C(2)*(4.*A(2)-1.)/DT
GF(3)=C(2)*(4.*A(3)-1.)/DT
GF(4)=4.*(G(2)*A(3)+C(3)*A(2))/DT
GF(5)=4.*(G(1)*A(3)+G(3)*A(1))/DT
GF(6)=4.*(G(1)*A(2)+C(2)*A(1))/DT
DO 103 I=1,18
DO 103 J=1,3
03 FMM(J,I)=.
DO 203 I=1,18
DO 203 J=1,2
03 FMM1(J,I)=.
DO 104 J=1,6
DO 104 I=1,3
M=3*J+I-3
04 FMM0(J,M)=EM(J)
DO 105 J=1,18,3
M=(J+2)/3
EMM1(1,J)=EF(M)
EMM1(1,J+2)=EF(M)
EMM1(2,J+1)=EF(M)
05 FMM1(2,J+2)=EF(M)
IF (K.GT.7) GO TO 113
DO 106 J=1,6
FMM2(1,J)=EF(J)
06 EMM2(2,J)=EF(J)
CALL TIMES(FMM1,FMM2,FMM1,18,2,6,2)
CALL TIMES(EFM,EMM1,FMM1,3,3,18,1)
CALL TIMES(FMFC,FMM1,FMM2,18,3,18,2)
CALL TIMES(EFS,EMM1,EMM1,2,2,18,1)
CALL TIMES(FMFC1,FMM1,FMM2,18,2,18,2)
DO 108 I=1,18
DO 109 J=1,18
EMM(J,I)=FMM(J,I)+W(K)*EMM2(J,I)*DT/2.
09 FMC(J,I)=FMC(J,I)+W(K)*FMM2(J,I)*DT/2.
DO 11 I=1,6
DO 110 J=1,18
10 FMM(J,I)=FMM(J,I)+U(K)*FMM1(J,I)*DT/2.

```

```

13 CONTINUE
   IF (K.LT.8) GO TO 102
   L=7
   IF (K.EQ.9) L=2
   DO 114 I=1,18
   DO 114 J=1,2
14 STP(L+J,I+6)=FNM1(J,I)
02 CONTINUE
   RETURN
   END
   SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,P,N,M,I,KCK)
   IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-7)
   DIMENSION A(1),B(1),P(1)
   KCK=1  A(N,M) , B(M,L) , P(N,L)  REGULAR  A*B
   KCK=2  A(L,N) , B(M,L) , P(N,L)  TRANSPOSE A*B
   IP=1
   DO 100 K=1,I
   DO 100 J=1,M
   K(IR)=0.
   GO TO(1,1,2),KCK
01 CONTINUE
   DO 103 I=1,N
   IA=N*(I-1)+J
   IB=M*(K-1)+I
03 P(IB)=P(IB)+A(IA)*P(IR)
   GO TO 1
02 CONTINUE
   DO 104 I=1,M
   IA=M*(J-1)+I
   IB=M*(K-1)+I
04 P(IR)=P(IR)+A(IA)*P(IP)
00 IF=IR+1
   RETURN
   END

```

5. Reference symbol PDS24

P=1.0 PROUTE=0.0# COPIES=4  
= UNIVERSITY, PATCH  
WAS: 11:37:10  
IGNED ON AT 11:48:40 ON MON SEP 22/75  
PRINT:

```
**** PLATE DISPL. ELEMENT 24 DO. OF FREEDOM AT 3 NODES ****  
**** QUADRATIC VARIATION OF U (CUBIC VAR. OF W ALONG THE SIDES) ****  
**** LINEAR VARIATION OF SHEAR ANGLE FX, FY ****  
**** STRESSES UXX, UYY, UXY, UX, UY AT CENTROID ****  
**** MODULI OF ELASTICITY THROUGH YOUNG(12) ****  
**** TRANSFORMATION TO W, CM, WS, WXM, WSM, WSS, FM, FS ****  
SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,Z,K,TEL)  
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)  
DIMENSION X(16,2), Y(16,2), Z(16,2), TEL(7,7), X2(2), Y2(2)  
DO 515 J=1,2  
Y2(J)=X(K,J)  
15 Y2(J)=Y(K,J)  
G2=X2(2)-Y2(1)  
B2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)  
GLEM2=DSQRT(G2**2+B2**2)  
IF (GLEM2.LT. .1D-12.AND.GLEM2.GT.-.1D-12) WRITE(6,*)  
G2=B2/GLEM2  
B2=G2/GLEM2  
DO 514 I=1,7  
DO 516 J=1,7  
16 TEL(J,I)=0.  
TEL(1,1)=G2  
TEL(1,2)=G2  
TEL(1,6)=B2  
TEL(2,1)=-G2  
TEL(2,2)=B2  
TEL(2,6)=-G2  
TEL(3,3)=B2**2  
TEL(3,4)=2.*B2*G2  
TEL(3,5)=G2**2  
TEL(4,3)=-B2*G2  
TEL(4,4)=B2**2-G2**2  
TEL(4,5)=B2*G2  
TEL(5,3)=G2**2  
TEL(5,4)=-2.*B2*G2  
TEL(5,5)=B2**2  
TEL(6,6)=B2  
TEL(6,7)=G2  
TEL(7,6)=-G2  
TEL(7,7)=B2  
00 FORMAT(' **** ERROD TRANL ****')  
RETURN  
END
```

```
SUBROUTINE STIFF  
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)  
COMMON/STIX(3,2), YOUNG(12), STUCK(36,36), STICK(8,36), FORCE(36),  
1 INFO(20)  
COMMON/AN/BCI(2,36,36), COL(2,8,36), DCI(2,36), GRAM(16,16), NGRAM  
COMMON/CLIB/CD2(3,3), X0(3), Y0(3), CGM(3,3)  
DIMENSION TRAN(24,24), SMK(24,24), STR(5,24), DANG(24),  
1 XM(16,2), YM(16,2), ZM(16,2), X1(3), Y1(3), Z1(3), CC3(7,7)  
2, C1(3), B1(3), C1(3), STU(24,24), TIK(5,24), FUR(24)  
DO 100 I=1,3  
X0(I)=X(1,I)  
DO 101 I=1,36
```

```

01 DO 1 1 J=1,36
   STUCK(J,I)=0.
   DO 102 I=1,36
   DO 1 2 J=1,2
02 STICK(J,I)=0.
   DO 103 I=1,36
03 FORCE(I)=0.
   DO 607 I=1,24
07 PAWG(I)=1.
   IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517
   DO 516 M=1,NGRAM
   DO 516 I=1,2
   X(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)
   Z(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+4)
16 W(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)
17 CONTINUE
   CNLD=0.
   DO 432 I=1,3
   DO 432 J=5,8
32 IF(X(I,J).NE.0) CNLD=1.
   IF(CNLD.NE.1) GO TO 437
   DO 432 I=5,8
   J=2*(I-5)+1
33 PAWG(J)=X(I,I)
37 CONTINUE
   NLAK=INFD(1)
   IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 104
   CALL SUBTI
   IF(NLAK.EQ.3) GO TO 105
   NLAK=NLAK-10
   DO 1 6 I=1,24
   DO 106 J=1,24
06 RCL(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
   DO 113 I=1,24
   DO 113 J=1,5
13 RCL(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)
   DO 1 8 I=1,24
08 CCL(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)
   GO TO 105
04 DO 1 9 I=1,24
   DO 109 J=1,24
09 STUCK(J,I)=RCL(NLAK,J,I)
   DO 214 I=1,24
   DO 214 J=1,5
14 STICK(J,I)=CCL(NLAK,J,I)
   DO 111 I=1,24
11 FORCE(I)=RCL(NLAK,I)
15 CONTINUE
   DO 438 I=1,24
38 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+PAWG(I)
   NLIK=INFD(2)
   IF(NLIK.EQ.0.OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 115
   DO 601 I=1,24
   DO 601 J=1,24
01 STU(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
   DO 6 2 I=1,24
   DO 602 J=1,5
02 TIK(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
   DO 6 3 I=1,24
03 TOP(I)=FORCE(I)

```

```

DO 116 I=1,MLTK
K=(I-1)*2+3
I=IMEC(K)
KJ=IMEC(K+1)
DO 583 II=1,24
DO 584 IJ=1,24
84 TRAN(IJ,II)=0.
83 TRAN(II,II)=1.
KK=(L-1)*8
CALL TRAN(XN,YN,ZN,K1,C03)
DO 222 IL=1,7
DO 222 JL=1,7
22 TRAN(KK+II+1,KK+JL+1)=C03(IL,JL)
CALL TIMES(STU,TRAN,SNK,24,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SNK,STU,24,24,24,2)
CALL TIMES(TIK,TRAN,STR,5,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,FOR,CACC,24,24,1,2)
DO 232 IL=1,24
DO 232 JL=1,5
32 TIK(JL,IL)=STR(JL,IL)
DO 233 IL=1,24
33 FOR(IL)=CACC(IL)
16 CONTINUE
DO 604 I=1,24
DO 604 J=1,24
04 STUCK(J,I)=STU(J,I)
DO 605 I=1,24
DO 605 J=1,5
05 STICK(J,I)=TIK(J,I)
DO 606 I=1,24
06 FORCE(I)=FOR(I)
15 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SHAPF(A,B,C,DT)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/JCN/SM(24,24),S(5,24),D(5,5),P(8),FMP(24,1)
DIMENSION PF(5,24),BET(3,18),CET(3,3),A(3),B(3),C(3)
1,BFD(3,18),CRI(6,6),TEN(6,18),CEN(6,18),EN(8,24)
COMMON/DOP*/CM(5),OK(3)
CET(1,1)=(B(1)/DT)**2
CET(1,2)=2.*B(1)*B(2)/DT**2
CET(1,3)=(B(2)/DT)**2
CET(2,1)=(G(1)/DT)**2
CET(2,2)=2.*G(1)*G(2)/DT**2
CET(2,3)=(G(2)/DT)**2
CET(3,1)=2.*G(1)*R(1)/DT**2
CET(3,2)=2.*(G(1)*P(2)+G(2)*P(1))/DT**2
CET(3,3)=2.*G(2)*P(2)/DT**2
DO 100 I=1,3
DO 100 J=1,18
BET(I,J)=0.
00 CONTINUE
DO 101 I=1,5
DO 101 J=1,24
PF(I,J)=0.
01 CONTINUE
PLT(1,4)=2.
BET(1,7)=5.*A(1)
BET(1,8)=2.*A(2)

```

```

RFT(1,11)=12.*A(1)**2
RFT(1,12)=6.*A(1)*A(2)
RFT(1,13)=2.*A(2)**2
RFT(1,16)=20.*A(1)**3+12.*CM(1)*A(1)**2*A(2)+2.*CM(2)*A(2)**3
RFT(1,17)=6.*A(1)*A(2)**2+2.*CM(3)*A(2)**3
RFT(1,18)=2.*A(2)**3*CM(5)
RFT(2,5)=1.
RFT(2,8)=2.*A(1)
RFT(2,9)=2.*A(2)
RFT(2,12)=3.*A(1)**2
RFT(2,13)=4.*A(1)*A(2)
RFT(2,14)=3.*A(2)**2
RFT(2,16)=4.*CM(1)*A(1)**3+6.*CM(2)*A(1)*A(2)**2
RFT(2,17)=6.*A(1)**2*A(2)+6.*CM(3)*A(1)*A(2)**2
RFT(2,18)=6.*A(1)*A(2)**2*CM(5)+4.*CM(4)*A(2)**3
RFT(3,6)=2.
RFT(3,9)=2.*A(1)
RFT(3,11)=0.*A(2)
RFT(3,13)=2.*A(1)**2
RFT(3,14)=6.*A(1)*A(2)
RFT(3,15)=12.*A(2)**2
RFT(3,16)=6.*CM(2)*A(1)**2*A(2)
RFT(3,17)=2.*A(1)**3+6.*CM(3)*A(1)**2*A(2)
RFT(3,18)=20.*A(2)**2+12.*CM(4)*A(1)*A(2)**2+6.*CM(5)*A(1)**2*A(2)
CALL TIMES(CET,BFT,BFD,3,3,18,1)
DO 1-2 I=1,3
DO 102 J=1,12
BC(I,J)=-BFD(I,J)
2 CONTINUE
DO 103 J=1,3
K=(J-1)*2+1
BF(1,18+K)=P(J)/DT
BF(2,19+K)=C(J)/DT
BF(3,18+K)=C(J)/DT
BF(3,19+K)=P(J)/DT
3 CONTINUE
BF(4,19)=A(1)
BF(4,21)=A(2)
BF(4,23)=A(3)
BF(5,20)=A(1)
BF(5,22)=A(2)
BF(5,24)=A(3)
CALL TIMES(D,BF,S,5,5,24,1)
CALL TIMES(BF,S,SM,24,5,24,2)
DO 104 I=1,6
DO 104 J=1,6
CRI(I,J)=0.
04 CONTINUE
DO 1-5 I=1,6
DO 105 J=1,18
TEM(I,J)=0.
5 CONTINUE
DO 106 I=1,8
DO 106 J=1,24
EM(I,J)=0.
06 CONTINUE
CRI(1,1)=1.
CRI(2,2)=B(1)/DT
CRI(2,3)=B(2)/DT
CRI(3,2)=G(1)/DT

```

$CPI(3,2) = G(2)/DT$   
 $CPI(4,4) = (B(1)/DT)**2$   
 $CPI(4,5) = 2.*B(1)*B(2)/DT**2$   
 $CPI(4,6) = (B(2)/DT)**2$   
 $CPI(5,4) = G(1)*B(1)/DT**2$   
 $CPI(5,5) = (G(2)*B(1)+G(1)*B(2))/DT**2$   
 $CPI(5,6) = G(2)*B(2)/DT**2$   
 $CPI(6,4) = (G(1)/DT)**2$   
 $CPI(6,5) = 2.*C(1)*C(2)/DT**2$   
 $CPI(6,6) = (C(2)/DT)**2$   
 $TFN(1,1) = 1.$   
 $TFN(1,2) = A(1)$   
 $TFN(1,3) = A(2)$   
 $TFN(1,4) = A(1)**2$   
 $TFN(1,5) = A(1)*A(2)$   
 $TFN(1,6) = A(2)**2$   
 $TFN(1,7) = A(1)**3$   
 $TFN(1,8) = A(1)**2*A(2)$   
 $TFN(1,9) = A(1)*A(2)**2$   
 $TFN(1,10) = A(2)**3$   
 $TFN(1,11) = A(1)**4$   
 $TFN(1,12) = A(1)**3*A(2)$   
 $TFN(1,13) = A(1)**2*A(2)**2$   
 $TFN(1,14) = A(1)*A(2)**3$   
 $TFN(1,15) = A(2)**4$   
 $TFN(1,16) = A(1)**5+CM(1)*A(1)**4*A(2)+CM(2)*A(1)**2*A(2)**3$   
 $TFN(1,17) = A(1)**3*A(2)**2+CM(3)*A(1)**2*A(2)**3$   
 $TFN(1,18) = A(2)**5+CM(4)*A(1)*A(2)**4+CM(5)*A(1)**2*A(2)**3$   
 $TEN(2,2) = 1.$   
 $TEN(2,4) = 2.*A(1)$   
 $TEN(2,5) = A(2)$   
 $TEN(2,7) = 3.*A(1)**2$   
 $TEN(2,8) = 2.*A(1)*A(2)$   
 $TEN(2,9) = A(2)**2$   
 $TEN(2,11) = 4.*A(1)**3$   
 $TEN(2,12) = 3.*A(1)**2*A(2)$   
 $TEN(2,13) = 2.*A(1)*A(2)**2$   
 $TEN(2,14) = A(2)**3$   
 $TEN(2,16) = 5.*A(1)**4+4.*CM(1)*A(1)**3*A(2)+2.*CM(2)*A(1)*A(2)**3$   
 $TEN(2,17) = 3.*A(1)**2*A(2)**2+2.*CM(3)*A(1)*A(2)**3$   
 $TEN(2,18) = CM(4)*A(2)**4+2.*CM(5)*A(1)*A(2)**3$   
 $TEN(3,3) = 1.$   
 $TEN(3,5) = A(1)$   
 $TEN(3,6) = 2.*A(2)$   
 $TEN(3,8) = A(1)**2$   
 $TEN(3,9) = 2.*A(1)*A(2)$   
 $TEN(3,10) = 3.*A(2)**2$   
 $TEN(3,12) = A(1)**3$   
 $TEN(3,13) = 2.*A(1)**2*A(2)$   
 $TEN(3,14) = 3.*A(1)*A(2)**2$   
 $TEN(3,15) = 4.*A(2)**3$   
 $TEN(3,16) = CM(1)*A(1)**4+3.*CM(2)*A(1)**2*A(2)**2$   
 $TEN(3,17) = 2.*A(1)**3*A(2)+3.*CM(3)*A(1)**2*A(2)**2$   
 $TEN(3,18) = 5.*A(2)**4+4.*CM(4)*A(1)*A(2)**3+3.*CM(5)*A(1)**2*A(2)**2$

12

$TFN(4,4) = 2.$   
 $TFN(4,7) = 6.*A(1)$   
 $TFN(4,8) = 2.*A(2)$   
 $TFN(4,11) = 12.*A(1)**2$   
 $TFN(4,12) = 6.*A(1)*A(2)$

```

TEN(4,13)=2.*A(2)**2
TEN(4,16)=20.*A(1)**3+12.*QM(1)*A(1)**2*A(2)+2.*QM(2)*A(2)**3
TEN(4,17)=6.*A(1)*A(2)**2+2.*QM(3)*A(2)**2
TEN(4,19)=2.*QM(5)*A(2)**3
TEN(5,5)=1.
TEN(5,8)=2.*A(1)
TEN(5,9)=2.*A(2)
TEN(5,12)=3.*A(1)**2
TEN(5,13)=4.*A(1)*A(2)
TEN(5,14)=3.*A(2)**2
TEN(5,16)=4.*QM(1)*A(1)**3+6.*QM(2)*A(1)*A(2)**2
TEN(5,17)=6.*A(1)**2*A(2)+6.*QM(3)*A(1)*A(2)**2
TEN(5,19)=4.*QM(4)*A(2)**3+6.*QM(5)*A(1)*A(2)**2
TEN(6,6)=2.
TEN(6,9)=2.*A(1)
TEN(6,10)=6.*A(2)
TEN(6,13)=2.*A(1)**2
TEN(6,14)=6.*A(1)*A(2)
TEN(6,15)=12.*A(2)**2
TEN(6,16)=6.*QM(2)*A(1)**2*A(2)
TEN(6,17)=2.*A(1)**3+6.*QM(3)*A(1)**2*A(2)
TEN(6,19)=20.*A(2)**3+12.*QM(4)*A(1)*A(2)**2+6.*QM(5)*A(1)**2*A(2)
CALL TIMES(CBT,TEN,DLEN,6,6,18,1)

```

```

DO 107 I=1,6
DO 107 J=1,18
EN(I,J)=TEN(I,J)

```

```
CONTINUE
```

```

EN(7,19)=A(1)
EN(7,21)=A(2)
EN(7,23)=A(3)
EN(8,21)=A(1)
EN(8,22)=A(2)
EN(8,24)=A(3)
CALL TIMES(FN,P,FNP,24,8,1,2)
RETURN
END

```

```
SUBROUTINE SUBTI
```

```
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,I-Z)
```

```
COMMON/STI/X(3,2),ELMOD(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36)
1,INER(20)

```

```
COMMON/JCN/SM(24,24),S(5,24),D(5,5),P(8),EMP(24,1)
```

```
COMMON/DJPA/DH(5),PK(3)
```

```
COMMON/COCP/CO2(3,3),XD(3),YD(3),COM(3,3)
```

```
DO 208 I=1,5
```

```
DO 208 J=1,5
```

```
B(J,I)=0.
```

```
B(1,1)=ELMCD(1)
```

```
B(1,2)=ELMCD(2)
```

```
B(2,2)=ELMCD(3)
```

```
B(3,3)=ELMCD(4)/2.
```

```
B(4,4)=ELMCD(5)
```

```
B(5,5)=ELMCD(6)
```

```
B(2,1)=D(1,2)
```

```
IF(ELMCD(12).NE.0.)D(2,1)=ELMCD(12)
```

```
DATA ALF/0.3332333D00/,ALF1/0.05971587D00/,BET1/C.47C14206D00/
```

```
DATA ALF2/0.7974269D00/,BET2/.1128651D00/
```

```
DATA WE1/0.225D00/,WF2/0.13239415D00/,WF3/0.12593918D00/
```

```
DIMENSION B(3),G(3),ARCO(2,7),W(7),A(3),DAPI(24,1),LENG(3),
```

```
IT(24,24),SQM(24,24),FRF(24),TIK(5,24),V1(24)
```

```
ARCO(1,1)=ALF
```

```

ARCO(2,1)=ALF
ARCO(1,2)=BFT1
ARCO(2,2)=BFT1
ARCO(1,3)=ALF1
ARCO(2,3)=BFT1
ARCO(1,4)=BFT1
ARCO(2,4)=ALF1
ARCO(1,5)=BFT2
ARCO(2,5)=BFT2
ARCO(1,6)=ALF2
ARCO(2,6)=BFT2
ARCO(1,7)=BFT2
ARCO(2,7)=ALF2
W(1)=WF1
W(2)=WF2
W(3)=WF2
W(4)=WF2
W(5)=WF3
W(6)=WF3
W(7)=WF3
DO 223 I=1,8
23 P(I)=0.
P(1)=X(3,5)
DO 200 I=1,3
K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
B(I)=YD(K)-YD(J)
G(I)=XC(J)-XC(K)
L=NG(I)=DSQRT(R(I)**2+G(I)**2)
00 CONTINUE
CK(1)=P(1)*P(2)+G(1)*G(2)
CK(2)=B(2)*B(3)+G(2)*G(3)
CK(3)=P(3)*B(1)+G(3)*G(1)
DT=B(1)*G(2)-B(2)*G(1)
OM(1)=5.*CK(1)/(CK(1)+CK(2))
OM(2)=5.*(CK(3)*CK(2)+CK(1)*CK(2)-3.*CK(1)*CK(3))/
1*((CK(1)+CK(2))*(2.*CK(3)-3.*CK(2)))
OM(3)=(3.*CK(3)-2.*CK(2))/(2.*CK(3)-3.*CK(2))
OM(4)=5.*CK(1)/(CK(1)+CK(3))
OM(5)=5.*(CK(3)*CK(2)+CK(1)*CK(3)-3.*CK(1)*CK(2))/
1*((CK(1)+CK(3))*(2.*CK(3)-3.*CK(2)))
CALL ANTI(B,G,DT,T)
DO 214 I=1,24
EO 214 J=1,24
SOM(I,J)=0.
14 CONTINUE
DO 314 I=1,24
PA(L(I,1))=''.
14 CONTINUE
DO 213 K=1,7
A(1)=ARCO(1,K)
A(2)=ARCO(2,K)
A(3)=1.-A(1)-A(2)
CALL SHAPE(A,3,G,DT)
IF(K.NE.1)GO TO 510
DO 511 I=1,24
DO 511 J=1,5
11 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)

```

```

1 CONTINUE
DO 313 I=1,24
DACL(I,1)=DACL(I,1)+DT/2.*PH(K)*ENP(I,1)
13 CONTINUE
DO 213 J=1,24
DO 213 I=1,24
SON(I,J)=SON(I,J)+DT/2.*PH(K)*SM(I,J)
13 CONTINUE
CALL TIMES(SON,T,SM,24,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(T,SM,SON,24,24,24,2)
CALL TIMES(TIK,T,S,5,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(T,DACL,FRE,24,24,1,2)
DATA MI/1,2,3,4,5,6,19,20,7,8,9,10,11,12,21,22,
113,14,15,16,17,18,23,24/
DO 224 I=1,24
DO 224 J=1,24
24 T(J,I)=.
DO 222 I=1,24
22 T(MI(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(SON,I,SM,24,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(T,SM,SON,24,24,24,2)
CALL TIMES(S,T,TIK,5,24,24,1)
CALL TIMES(T,FRE,DACL,24,24,1,2)
DO 550 I=1,24
DO 55 J=1,24
50 STUCK(J,I)=SON(J,I)
DO 551 I=1,24
DO 551 J=1,5
51 STICK(J,I)=TIK(J,I)
DO 552 I=1,24
52 FORCE(I)=DACL(I,1)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE ANTI(R,G,DT,T)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,O-Z)
DIMENSION TI(18,18),RCI(18,18),TO(18,18),T(24,24),R(3),C(3)
COMMON/DORA/DI(5),OK(3)
DO 100 I=1,18
DO 1 J=1,18
TI(I,J)=0.
00 CONTINUE
DO 11 I=1,24
DO 110 J=1,24
IF(I.EQ.J.AND.I.GT.18) GO TO 111
T(I,J)=0.
GO TO 110
11 T(I,J)=1.
10 CONTINUE
CM1=1.-CM(3)
IF(DMI.EQ.) GO TO 17
TI(1,13)=1.
TI(2,14)=1.
TI(3,15)=1.
TI(4,16)=0.5
TI(5,17)=1.
TI(6,18)=0.5
TI(7,1)=10.
TI(7,2)=-4.
TI(7,4)=0.5
TI(7,13)=-10.

```

$TI(7,14)=-5.$   
 $TI(7,16)=-1.5$   
 $TI(8,1)=6.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(8,2)=-3.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(8,3)=3.$   
 $TI(8,4)=0.5*CM(1)$   
 $TI(8,5)=-1.$   
 $TI(8,12)=-6.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(8,14)=-3.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(8,15)=-3.$   
 $TI(8,16)=-.5*CM(1)$   
 $TI(8,17)=-2.$   
 $TI(9,7)=6.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(9,8)=3.$   
 $TI(9,9)=-3.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(9,11)=-1.$   
 $TI(9,12)=0.5*CM(4)$   
 $TI(9,13)=-6.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(9,14)=-3.$   
 $TI(9,15)=-3.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(9,17)=-2.$   
 $TI(9,18)=-0.5*CM(4)$   
 $TI(10,7)=10.$   
 $TI(10,9)=-4.$   
 $TI(10,12)=0.5$   
 $TI(10,13)=-10.$   
 $TI(10,15)=-4.$   
 $TI(10,18)=-1.5$   
 $TI(11,1)=-15.$   
 $TI(11,2)=7.$   
 $TI(11,4)=-1.$   
 $TI(11,13)=15.$   
 $TI(11,14)=8.$   
 $TI(11,16)=1.5$   
 $TI(12,1)=-12.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,2)=6.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,3)=-2.$   
 $TI(12,4)=-CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,5)=1.$   
 $TI(12,13)=12.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,14)=6.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,15)=2.$   
 $TI(12,16)=CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,17)=1.$   
 $TI(13,1)=-6.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,2)=3.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,3)=-3./CM1$   
 $TI(13,4)=-((CM(1)+CM(2))/(2.*CM1))$   
 $TI(13,5)=1./CM1$   
 $TI(13,6)=-CM(3)/(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,7)=6.*(CM(3)*CM(4)-CM(5))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,8)=3.*CM(3)/CM1$   
 $TI(13,9)=3.*(CM(5)-CM(3)*CM(4))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,10)=1./(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,11)=-CM(3)/CM1$   
 $TI(13,12)=(CM(3)*CM(4)-CM(5))/(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,13)=6.*(CM(1)+CM(2)+CM(5)-CM(3)*CM(4))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,14)=3.*(CM(1)+CM(2)-CM(3))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,15)=3.*(1.+CM(5)-CM(3)*CM(4))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,16)=(CM(1)+CM(2)-1.)/(2.*CM1)$

```

TI(13,17)=2.
TI(13,18)=(CM(5)+CM(3)-CM(3)*CM(4))/(2.*CM1)
TI(14,7)=-12.*CM(4)
TI(14,8)=-2.
TI(14,9)=6.*CM(4)
TI(14,11)=1.
TI(14,12)=-CM(4)
TI(14,13)=12.*CM(4)
TI(14,14)=2.
TI(14,15)=6.*CM(4)
TI(14,17)=1.
TI(14,18)=CM(4)
TI(15,7)=-15.
TI(15,8)=7.
TI(15,12)=-1.
TI(15,13)=15.
TI(15,15)=8.
TI(15,18)=1.5
TI(16,1)=6.
TI(16,2)=-3.
TI(16,4)=0.5
TI(16,13)=-6.
TI(16,14)=-3.
TI(16,16)=-0.5
TI(17,1)=6.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,2)=-3.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,3)=3./CM1
TI(17,4)=(CM(1)+CM(2))/(2.*CM1)
TI(17,5)=-1./CM1
TI(17,6)=1./(2.*CM1)
TI(17,7)=6.*(CM(5)-CM(4))/CM1
TI(17,8)=-3./CM1
TI(17,9)=3.*(CM(4)-CM(5))/CM1
TI(17,10)=-1./(2.*CM1)
TI(17,11)=1./CM1
TI(17,12)=(CM(5)-CM(4))/(2.*CM1)
TI(17,13)=6.*(CM(4)-CM(5)-CM(1)-CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,14)=3.*(1.-CM(1)-CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,15)=3.*(CM(4)-CM(5)-1.)/CM1
TI(17,16)=(1.-CM(1)-CM(2))/(2.*CM1)
TI(17,18)=(CM(4)-CM(5)-1.)/(2.*CM1)
TI(18,7)=6.
TI(18,8)=-3.
TI(18,12)=.5
TI(18,13)=-6.
TI(18,15)=-3.
TI(18,18)=-0.5
DO 105 J=1,13
DO 1 5 I=1,18
BCI(I,J)=0.
05 CONTINUE
BCI(1,1)=1.
BCI(2,2)=G(2)
BCI(2,3)=-B(2)
BCI(3,2)=-G(1)
BCI(3,3)=B(1)
BCI(4,4)=G(2)**2
BCI(4,5)=-2.*G(2)*B(2)
BCI(4,6)=B(2)**2
BCI(5,4)=-G(1)*G(2)

```

```

PCI(5,5)=G(?)+B(1)+C(1)*R(2)
PCI(5,6)=-B(1)*R(2)
PCI(6,4)=G(1)**2
PCI(6,5)=-2.*G(1)*R(1)
PCI(6,6)=R(1)**2
DO 106 K=6,12,6
DO 106 J=1,6
DO 106 I=1,6
PCI(K+J,K+I)=PCI(J,I)
06 CONTINUE
(CALL TIMES(T1,BC1,TC,18,18,18,1)
DO 107 I=1,18
DO 107 J=1,18
T(I,J)=T0(I,J)
09 CONTINUE
GO TO 10
07 WRITE(6,600)
08 CONTINUE
FORMAT(18***** ERROR ANTISTREETS *****1)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,P,N,M,L,KPK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),P(1),P(1)
KPK=1 A(N,M), B(M,L), P(M,1) REGULAR A*B
KPK=2 A(M,N), B(N,L), P(N,L) TRANSPOSE A*B
IP=1
DO 100 K=1,L
DO 100 J=1,N
P(IP)=0.
GO TO(101,102),KPK
1 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,M
IA=N*(I-1)+J
IB=M*(K-1)+I
03 P(IP)=P(IP)+A(IA)*B(IB)
GO TO 100
02 CONTINUE
DO 104 I=1,M
IA=M*(J-1)+I
IB=M*(K-1)+I
04 P(IP)=P(IP)+A(IA)*B(IB)
IP=IP+1
RETURN
END

```

6. Reference symbol PDS30

P=100 PRDUTE=DUPH COPILS=4  
= UNIVERSITY, PATCH  
WAS: 11:48:4  
SIGNED ON AT 11:48:46 ON MON SEP 22/75  
PRINT\*

\*\*\*\* PLATE DISPL. ELEMENT 3 DO. OF FREEDOM AT 4 NODES \*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\* QUINTIC VARIATION OF  $\epsilon$  (CUBIC VAR. OF  $\epsilon$  ALONG THE SIDES) \*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\* CUBIC VARIATION OF SHEAR ANGLE  $\epsilon_{xy}, \epsilon_{yx}$  \*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\* STRESSES  $\sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{yy}, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{yx}$  AT CENTROID \*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\* MODULI OF ELASTICITY THROUGH YOUNG (12) \*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\* TRANSFORMATION TO  $\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}, \bar{u}_s, \bar{v}_s, \bar{w}_s, \bar{e}_n, \bar{e}_s$  \*\*\*\*

SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,Z,K,TPL)  
IMPLICIT REAL\*8 (A-H,O-Z)  
DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),Z(16,2),TPL(7,7),X2(2),Y2(2)  
DO 515 J=1,2  
Y2(J)=X(K,J)  
15 Y2(J)=Y(K,J)  
G2=X2(2)-X2(1)  
R2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)  
GLEM2=DSQRT(C2\*\*2+R2\*\*2)  
IF (GLEM2.LT.0.1D-12.AND.GLEM2.GT.-0.1D-12) WRITE(4,700)

L2=R2/GLEM2  
G2=G2/GLEM2  
DO 516 I=1,7  
DO 516 J=1,7  
16 TPL(I,I)=0.  
TPL(1,1)=R2  
TPL(1,2)=G2  
TPL(1,6)=R2  
TPL(2,1)=-G2  
TPL(2,2)=R2  
TPL(2,6)=-G2  
TPL(3,3)=R2\*\*2  
TPL(3,4)=2.\*R2\*G2  
TPL(3,5)=G2\*\*2  
TPL(4,3)=-R2\*G2  
TPL(4,4)=R2\*\*2-G2\*\*2  
TPL(4,5)=R2\*G2  
TPL(5,3)=G2\*\*2  
TPL(5,4)=-2.\*R2\*G2  
TPL(5,5)=R2\*\*2  
TPL(6,6)=R2  
TPL(6,7)=G2  
TPL(7,6)=-G2  
TPL(7,7)=R2

700 FORMAT(' \*\*\*\*\* FPROP TRANI \*\*\*\*\* ' )  
RETURN  
END

SUBROUTINE CONDNS(K)  
IMPLICIT REAL\*8 (A-H,O-Z)  
COMMON/CON/ST(32,32),S(5,32),FCR(32)  
DIMENSION B(32)  
A=1./ST(K,K)  
DO 40 I=1,32  
40 B(I)=ST(K,I)  
DO 41 J=1,32  
DO 41 I=1,32  
41 ST(J,I)=ST(J,I)-B(I)\*B(J)\*A  
DO 42 I=1,5  
D=S(I,K)

```

DO 42 J=1,32
42 S(I,J)=S(I,J)-P(J)*A*B
   F=FOR(K)
DO 43 I=1,32
43 FOR(I)=FOR(I)-P(I)*D*A
   RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE STIFF
  IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-Z)
  COMMON/STIX(X(3,2),YFUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(9,36),FORCE(36),
1  INFQ(20)
  COMMON/MAN/BCI(2,36,36),CBI(2,9,36),DCI(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM
  COMMON/COOR/CI2(3,3),XC(3),YC(3),CCY(3,3)
  DIMENSION TRAM(30,30),SMK(30,30),STR(5,30),DAUG(30),
1  XM(16,2),YM(16,2),ZM(16,2),X1(3),Y1(3),Z1(3),CO3(7,7)
2  ,C1(3),B1(3),C1(3),STU(30,30),TIK(5,30),FOR(30)
  DO 100 I=1,3
    XI(I)=X(1,I)
00  YI(I)=X(2,I)
    DO 101 I=1,36
      DO 101 J=1,36
01  STUCK(J,I)=0.
      DO 102 I=1,36
        DO 102 J=1,9
02  STICK(J,I)=0.
      DO 103 I=1,36
        FORCE(I)=.
      DO 607 I=1,30
07  DAUG(I)=0.
      IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517
      DO 516 M=1,NGRAM
        DO 516 I=1,2
          XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)
          ZM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+4)
16  YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)
17  CONTINUE
      CNLD=0.
      DO 432 I=1,3
        DO 432 J=6,8
32  IF(X(I,J).NE.0.) CNLD=1.
          IF(CNLD.NE.1.) GO TO 437
          DO 433 I=6,9
            J=8*(I-6)+1
33  LAUG(J)=X(3,I)
37  CONTINUE
          NLAK=INFQ(1)
          IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 104
          CALL SUBTI
          IF(NLAK.EQ.1) GO TO 105
          NLAK=NLAK-10
          DO 106 I=1,30
            DO 106 J=1,20
06  BQL(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
            DO 113 I=1,3
              DO 113 J=1,5
13  COL(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)
            DO 108 I=1,30
              DO 108 J=1,5
08  FOR(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)
              GO TO 105
04  DO 109 I=1,30

```

```

00 100 J=1,30
09 STUCK(J,I)=800(NLAK,J,I)
   DO 214 I=1,30
   DO 214 J=1,5
14 STICK(J,I)=CCL(NLAK,J,I)
   DO 111 I=1,30
11 FORCE(I)=DCI(NLAK,I)
05 CONTINUE
   DO 438 I=1,30
38 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DACC(I)
   NLIK=INFO(2)
   IF(NLIK.EQ. .OR.NLIK.EQ.00) GO TO 115
   DO 601 I=1,30
   DO 601 J=1,30
01 STU(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
   DO 602 I=1,30
   DO 602 J=1,5
02 TIK(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
   DO 603 I=1,30
03 FOR(I)=FORCE(I)
   DO 116 I=1,NLIK
   K=(I-1)*2+3
   L=INFO(K)
   K1=INFO(K+1)
   DO 583 I=1,3
   DO 584 IJ=1,30
84 TRAN(IJ,II)=0.
83 TRAN(II,II)=1.
   CALL TRAN1(XM,YM,ZM,K1,C03)
   IF(L.CT.3) GO TO 609
   KK=(I-1)*8
   DO 222 IL=1,7
   DO 222 JL=1,7
22 TRAN(KK+IL+1, KK+JL+1)=C03(IL, JL)
   GO TO 609
08 KK=24+(I-4)*2
   DO 610 IL=1,2
   DO 610 JL=1,2
10 TRAN(KK+IL, KK+JL)=C03(IL, JL)
09 CONTINUE
   CALL TIMES(STU,TRAN,SMK,30,30,30,1)
   CALL TIMES(TRAN,SAK,STU,30,30,30,2)
   CALL TIMES(TIK,TPAN,STR,5,30,30,1)
   CALL TIMES(TRAN,FCF,DACC,30,30,1,2)
   DO 232 IL=1,3
   DO 232 JL=1,5
32 TIK(JL,IL)=STR(JL,IL)
   DO 233 IL=1,3
33 FOR(IL)=DACC(IL)
16 CONTINUE
   DO 604 I=1,3
   DO 604 J=1,30
04 STUCK(J,I)=STU(J,I)
   DO 605 I=1,30
   DO 605 J=1,5
05 STICK(J,I)=TIK(J,I)
   DO 606 I=1,30
06 FORCE(I)=FOR(I)
15 CONTINUE
RETURN

```

```

END
SUBROUTINE SHAPE(A,B,G,DT)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/JON/SM(32,32),S(5,32),D(5,5),P(3),EMP(32,1)
DIMENSION BE(5,32),RET(3,18),CET(3,3),A(3),B(3),G(3)
1,BFD(3,18),CRT(6,6),TEN(6,18),DEN(6,18),EM(9,32)
2,SE(7),SEX(7),SEY(7)
COMMON/DORA/OM(5),OK(3)
CET(1,1)=(B(1)/DT)**2
CET(1,2)=2.*B(1)*B(2)/DT**2
CET(1,3)=(B(2)/DT)**2
CET(2,1)=(G(1)/DT)**2
CET(2,2)=2.*G(1)*G(2)/DT**2
CET(2,3)=(G(2)/DT)**2
CET(3,1)=2.*G(1)*B(1)/DT**2
CET(3,2)=2.*(G(1)*P(2)+G(2)*B(1))/DT**2
CET(3,3)=2.*G(2)*P(2)/DT**2
DO 100 I=1,3
DO 100 J=1,18
RET(I,J)=.
00 CONTINUE
DO 101 I=1,5
DO 1 1 J=1,32
BE(I,J)=.
01 CONTINUE
BE(1,4)=2.
BE(1,7)=6.*A(1)
BE(1,8)=2.*A(2)
BE(1,11)=12.*A(1)**2
BE(1,12)=6.*A(1)*A(2)
BE(1,13)=2.*A(2)**2
BE(1,16)=20.*A(1)**3+12.*OM(1)*A(1)**2*A(2)+2.*OM(2)*A(2)**3
BE(1,17)=6.*A(1)*A(2)**2+2.*OM(3)*A(2)**3
BE(1,18)=2.*A(2)**3*OM(5)
BE(2,5)=1.
BE(2,8)=2.*A(1)
BE(2,9)=2.*A(2)
BE(2,12)=3.*A(1)**2
BE(2,13)=4.*A(1)*A(2)
BE(2,14)=3.*A(2)**2
BE(2,16)=4.*OM(1)*A(1)**3+6.*OM(2)*A(1)*A(2)**2
BE(2,17)=6.*A(1)**2*A(2)+6.*OM(3)*A(1)*A(2)**2
BE(2,18)=6.*A(1)*A(2)**2*OM(5)+4.*OM(4)*A(2)**3
BE(3,6)=2.
BE(3,9)=2.*A(1)
BE(3,10)=6.*A(2)
BE(3,13)=2.*A(1)**2
BE(3,14)=6.*A(1)*A(2)
BE(3,15)=12.*A(2)**2
BE(3,16)=6.*OM(2)*A(1)**2*A(2)
BE(3,17)=2.*A(1)**3+6.*OM(3)*A(1)**2*A(2)
BE(3,18)=2.*A(2)**3+12.*OM(4)*A(1)*A(2)**2+6.*OM(5)*A(1)**2*A(2)
CALL TIMES(CET,BET,BFD,3,3,18,1)
DO 102 I=1,3
DO 1 2 J=1,18
BE(I,J)=-3*BFD(I,J)
02 CONTINUE
DIM1=A(1)*A(2)*A(3)
DIM2=(B(1)*A(2)*A(3)+B(2)*A(1)*A(3)+B(3)*A(1)*A(2))/DT
DIM3=(G(1)*A(2)*A(3)+G(2)*A(1)*A(3)+G(3)*A(1)*A(2))/DT

```

```

DO 103 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
SF(I)=(2.*A(I)-1.)*A(I)+3.*DIM1
SF(I+2)=4.*A(I)*A(J)-12.*DIM1
SFX(I)=B(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT+3.*DIM2
SFX(I+3)=(B(K)*4.*A(J)+B(J)*4.*A(K))/DT-12.*DIM2
SFY(I)=G(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT+3.*DIM3
P SFY(I+2)=(G(K)*4.*A(J)+G(J)*4.*A(K))/DT-12.*DIM3
SF(7)=27.*DIM1
SFX(7)=27.*DIM2
SFY(7)=27.*DIM3
DO 103 J=15,31,2
K=(J-15)/2+1
FE(1,J)=SFX(K)
FE(2,J+1)=SFY(K)
FE(3,J)=SFY(K)
FE(3,J+1)=SFX(K)
P FE(4,J)=SF(K)
03 FE(5,J+1)=SF(K)
CALL TIMES(I,62,S,5,6,32,1)
CALL TIMES(I,5,S,5,5,32,2)
DO 104 I=1,3
DO 104 J=1,6
04 CBI(I,J)=.
CONTINUE
DO 105 I=1,6
DO 105 J=1,18
TFN(I,J)=0.
05 CONTINUE
DO 106 I=1,8
DO 106 J=1,32
06 CBI(I,J)=.
CBI(1,1)=1.
CBI(2,2)=B(1)/DT
CBI(2,3)=B(2)/DT
CBI(3,2)=G(1)/DT
CBI(3,3)=G(2)/DT
CBI(4,4)=(B(1)/DT)**2
CBI(4,5)=2.*B(1)*B(2)/DT**2
CBI(4,6)=(B(2)/DT)**2
CBI(5,4)=G(1)*B(1)/DT**2
CBI(5,5)=(G(2)*B(1)+G(1)*B(2))/DT**2
CBI(5,6)=G(2)*B(2)/DT**2
CBI(7,4)=(G(1)/DT)**2
CBI(6,5)=2.*G(1)*G(2)/DT**2
CBI(6,6)=(G(2)/DT)**2
TFN(1,1)=1.
TFN(1,2)=A(1)
TFN(1,3)=A(2)
TFN(1,4)=A(1)**2
TFN(1,5)=A(1)*A(2)
TFN(1,6)=A(2)**2
TFN(1,7)=A(1)**3
TFN(1,8)=A(1)**2*A(2)
TFN(1,9)=A(1)*A(2)**2
TFN(1,10)=A(2)**3

```

TFN(1,11)=A(1)\*\*4  
 TFN(1,12)=A(1)\*\*3\*A(2)  
 TFN(1,13)=A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(1,14)=A(1)\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(1,15)=A(2)\*\*4  
 TFN(1,16)=A(1)\*\*5+CM(1)\*A(1)\*\*4\*A(2)+CM(2)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(1,17)=A(1)\*\*3\*A(2)\*\*2+CM(3)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(1,18)=A(2)\*\*5+CM(4)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*4+CM(5)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(2,2)=1.  
 TFN(2,4)=2.\*A(1)  
 TFN(2,5)=A(2)  
 TFN(2,7)=3.\*A(1)\*\*2  
 TFN(2,8)=2.\*A(1)\*A(2)  
 TFN(2,9)=A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(2,11)=4.\*A(1)\*\*3  
 TFN(2,12)=3.\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)  
 TFN(2,13)=2.\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(2,14)=A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(2,16)=5.\*A(1)\*\*4+4.\*CM(1)\*A(1)\*\*3\*A(2)+2.\*CM(2)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(2,17)=3.\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*2+2.\*CM(3)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(2,18)=CM(4)\*A(2)\*\*4+2.\*CM(5)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(3,3)=1.  
 TFN(3,5)=A(1)  
 TFN(3,6)=2.\*A(2)  
 TFN(3,8)=A(1)\*\*2  
 TFN(3,9)=2.\*A(1)\*A(2)  
 TFN(3,10)=3.\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(3,12)=A(1)\*\*3  
 TFN(3,13)=2.\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)  
 TFN(3,14)=3.\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(3,15)=4.\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(3,16)=CM(1)\*A(1)\*\*4+3.\*CM(2)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(3,17)=2.\*A(1)\*\*3\*A(2)+3.\*CM(3)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(3,18)=5.\*A(2)\*\*4+4.\*CM(4)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*3+3.\*CM(5)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)\*\*2

12

TFN(4,4)=2.  
 TFN(4,7)=6.\*A(1)  
 TFN(4,8)=2.\*A(2)  
 TFN(4,11)=12.\*A(1)\*\*2  
 TFN(4,12)=6.\*A(1)\*A(2)  
 TFN(4,13)=2.\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(4,16)=2.\*A(1)\*\*3+12.\*CM(1)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)+2.\*CM(2)\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(4,17)=6.\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*2+2.\*CM(3)\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(4,18)=2.\*CM(5)\*A(2)\*\*3  
 TFN(5,5)=1.  
 TFN(5,8)=2.\*A(1)  
 TFN(5,9)=2.\*A(2)  
 TFN(5,12)=3.\*A(1)\*\*2  
 TFN(5,13)=4.\*A(1)\*A(2)  
 TFN(5,14)=3.\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(5,16)=4.\*CM(1)\*A(1)\*\*3+6.\*CM(2)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(5,17)=6.\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)+6.\*CM(3)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(5,18)=4.\*CM(4)\*A(2)\*\*3+6.\*CM(5)\*A(1)\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(6,6)=2.  
 TFN(6,9)=2.\*A(1)  
 TFN(6,10)=6.\*A(2)  
 TFN(6,13)=2.\*A(1)\*\*2  
 TFN(6,14)=6.\*A(1)\*A(2)  
 TFN(6,15)=12.\*A(2)\*\*2  
 TFN(6,16)=6.\*CM(2)\*A(1)\*\*2\*A(2)

```

TEN(7,17)=2.*A(1)**3+6.*B(2)*A(1)+32*A(2)
TEN(6,18)=2)*A(2)**3+12.*B(4)*A(1)*A(2)**2+6.*B(5)*A(1)**2*A(2)
CALL TIMES(CRT,TEN,DEIN,6,6,13,1)
DO 107 I=1,6
DO 107 J=1,10
FM(I,J)=DEIN(I,J)
07 CONTINUE
DO 109 J=10,31,2
K=(J-10)/2+1
FM(7,J)=SF(K)
9 FM(8,J+1)=SF(K)
CALL TIMES(FM,P,FMP,32,8,1,2)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SUBRT
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/ST1/X(3,20),FMOD(12),STICK(36,36),STICK(8,36),SDFCF(32)
1,INFC(20)
COMMON/JCN/SM(32,32),S(5,42),D(5,5),P(3),FMP(32,1)
COMMON/CPA/PA(5),DK(3)
COMMON/CP2/CO2(3,3),XC(3),YC(3),CO(3,3)
COMMON/CON/CON(32,32),TIK(5,32),DACL(32)
DO 208 I=1,5
DO 208 J=1,5
09 C(I,J)=0.
L(1,1)=FLMOD(1)
C(1,2)=FLMOD(2)
C(2,2)=FLMOD(3)
C(3,3)=FLMOD(4)/2.
D(4,4)=FLMOD(5)
D(5,5)=FLMOD(6)
D(2,1)=D(1,2)
IF (FLMOD(12).NE.0.)D(2,1)=FLMOD(12)
DATA ALF1/.333333300/,ALF2/.05971587000/,BET1/.4701420600/
DATA ALF2/.797426900/,BET2/.112965100/
DATA WE1/.2250000/,WE2/.13239415000/,WE3/.1259291000/
DIMENSION B(3),G(3),ARCO(2,7),W(7),A(3),LENG(3),
1T(32,32),FRC(32),SI(32)
ARCO(1,1)=ALF
ARCO(2,1)=ALF
ARCO(1,2)=BET1
ARCO(2,2)=BET1
ARCO(1,3)=ALF1
ARCO(2,3)=BET1
ARCO(1,4)=BET1
ARCO(2,4)=ALF1
ARCO(1,5)=BET2
ARCO(2,5)=BET2
ARCO(1,6)=ALF2
ARCO(2,6)=BET2
ARCO(1,7)=BET2
ARCO(2,7)=ALF2
W(1)=WE1
W(2)=WE2
W(3)=WE2
W(4)=WF2
W(5)=WE3
W(6)=WE3
W(7)=WF3
DO 223 I=1,8

```

```

23 P(I)=0.
P(I)=X(Z,C)
DO 200 I=1,3
K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
P(I)=YD(K)-YD(J)
C(I)=XC(J)-XC(K)
LENG(I)=DSORT(P(I)**2+G(I)**2)
00 CONTINUE
CK(1)=P(1)*B(2)+G(1)*C(2)
CK(2)=B(2)*B(3)+G(2)*G(3)
CK(3)=P(3)*P(1)+G(3)*G(1)
DT=B(1)*G(2)-B(2)*G(1)
CM(1)=5.*CK(1)/(CK(1)+CK(2))
CM(2)=5.*(CK(3)*CK(2)+CK(1)*CK(2)-3.*CK(1)*CK(3))/
1((CK(1)+CK(2))*(2.*CK(3)-3.*CK(2)))
CM(3)=(3.*CK(3)-2.*CK(2))/(2.*CK(3)-3.*CK(2))
CM(4)=5.*CK(1)/(CK(1)+CK(3))
CM(5)=5.*(CK(3)*CK(2)+CK(1)*CK(3)-3.*CK(1)*CK(2))/
1((CK(1)+CK(3))*(2.*CK(3)-3.*CK(2)))
CALL ANTI(B,G,DT,T)
DO 214 I=1,32
DO 214 J=1,32
SCM(I,J)=0.
14 CONTINUE
DO 314 I=1,32
DACL(I)=.
14 CONTINUE
DO 213 K=1,7
A(1)=ARCO(1,K)
A(2)=ARCO(2,K)
A(3)=1.-A(1)-A(2)
CALL SHAPFF(A,B,G,DT)
IF(K.NE.1)GO TO 510
DO 511 I=1,32
DO 511 J=1,5
11 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
1 CONTINUE
DO 313 I=1,32
DACL(I)=DACL(I)+DT/2.*H(K)*FNP(I,I)
13 CONTINUE
DO 213 J=1,32
DO 213 I=1,32
SCM(I,J)=SCM(I,J)+DT/2.*H(K)*SM(I,J)
13 CONTINUE
CALL TIMES(SCM,T,SM,32,32,32,1)
CALL TIMES(T,SM,SCM,32,32,32,2)
CALL TIMES(TIK,T,S,5,32,32,1)
CALL TIMES(T,DACL,FRE,32,32,1,2)
DATA M1/1,2,3,4,5,6,19,2,7,8,9,10,11,12,21,22,
113,14,15,16,17,18,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32/
DO 224 I=1,32
DO 224 J=1,32
24 T(J,I)=0.
DO 222 I=1,32
22 T(M1(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(SCM,T,SM,32,32,32,1)
CALL TIMES(T,SM,SCM,32,32,32,2)

```

```

CALL TIMES(S,T,TIK,5,32,32,1)
CALL TIMES(T,FRF,FACT,32,32,1,2)
CALL CMDS(32)
CALL CMDS(31)
DO 55 I=1,3
DO 550 J=1,30
50 STUCK(J,I)=SCM(J,I)
DO 551 I=1,3
DO 551 J=1,5
51 STICK(J,I)=TIK(J,I)
DO 552 I=1,3
52 FORCI(I)=DACL(I)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE AMTI(B,G,DT,T)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,C-Z)
DIMENSION TI(18,18),BCI(18,18),TO(18,18),T(12,32),B(3),G(3)
COMMON/DORA/CM(5),OK(3)
DO 1 I=1,18
DO 100 J=1,18
TI(I,J)=0.
CONTINUE
DO 110 I=1,32
DO 110 J=1,32
IF(I.EQ.J.AND.I.GT.18) GO TO 111
T(I,J)=0.
GO TO 110
11 T(I,J)=1.
10 CONTINUE
CM1=1.-CM(3)
IF(CM1.EQ.0)GO TO 107
TI(1,13)=1.
TI(2,14)=1.
TI(3,15)=1.
TI(4,16)=0.5
TI(5,17)=1.
TI(6,18)=0.5
TI(7,1)=10.
TI(7,2)=-4.
TI(7,4)=0.5
TI(7,13)=-1.
TI(7,14)=-6.
TI(7,16)=-1.5
TI(8,1)=6.*CM(1)
TI(8,2)=-3.*CM(1)
TI(8,3)=3.
TI(8,4)=0.5*CM(1)
TI(8,5)=-1.
TI(8,13)=-4.*CM(1)
TI(8,14)=-3.*CM(1)
TI(8,15)=-3.
TI(8,16)=-.5*CM(1)
TI(8,17)=-2.
TI(9,7)=6.*CM(4)
TI(9,8)=3.
TI(9,9)=-3.*CM(4)
TI(9,11)=-1.
TI(9,12)=0.5*CM(4)
TI(9,13)=-6.*CM(4)
TI(9,14)=-3.

```

$TI(9,15) = -3.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(9,17) = -2.$   
 $TI(9,18) = -0.5*CM(4)$   
 $TI(10,7) = 10.$   
 $TI(10,9) = -4.$   
 $TI(10,12) = 0.5$   
 $TI(10,13) = -10.$   
 $TI(10,15) = -6.$   
 $TI(10,18) = -1.5$   
 $TI(11,1) = -15.$   
 $TI(11,2) = 7.$   
 $TI(11,4) = -1.$   
 $TI(11,13) = 15.$   
 $TI(11,14) = 3.$   
 $TI(11,16) = 1.5$   
 $TI(12,1) = -12.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,2) = 6.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,3) = -2.$   
 $TI(12,4) = -CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,5) = 1.$   
 $TI(12,13) = 12.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,14) = 3.*CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,15) = 2.$   
 $TI(12,16) = CM(1)$   
 $TI(12,17) = 1.$   
 $TI(13,1) = -6.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,2) = 3.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,3) = -3./CM1$   
 $TI(13,4) = -(CM(1)+CM(2))/(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,5) = 1./CM1$   
 $TI(13,6) = -CM(3)/(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,7) = 6.*(CM(3)*CM(4)-CM(5))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,8) = 3.*CM(3)/CM1$   
 $TI(13,9) = 3.*(CM(5)-CM(3)*CM(4))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,10) = 1./(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,11) = -CM(3)/CM1$   
 $TI(13,12) = (CM(3)*CM(4)-CM(5))/(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,13) = 6.*(CM(1)+CM(2)+CM(5)-CM(3)*CM(4))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,14) = 3.*(CM(1)+CM(2)-CM(3))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,15) = 3.*(1.+CM(5)-CM(3)*CM(4))/CM1$   
 $TI(13,16) = (CM(1)+CM(2)-1.)/(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(13,17) = 2.$   
 $TI(13,18) = (CM(5)+CM(3)-CM(3)*CM(4))/(2.*CM1)$   
 $TI(14,7) = -12.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(14,8) = -2.$   
 $TI(14,9) = 6.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(14,11) = 1.$   
 $TI(14,12) = -CM(4)$   
 $TI(14,13) = 12.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(14,14) = 2.$   
 $TI(14,15) = 6.*CM(4)$   
 $TI(14,17) = 1.$   
 $TI(14,18) = CM(4)$   
 $TI(15,7) = -15.$   
 $TI(15,9) = 7.$   
 $TI(15,12) = -1.$   
 $TI(15,13) = 15.$   
 $TI(15,15) = 8.$   
 $TI(15,18) = 1.5$   
 $TI(16,1) = 6.$

```

TI(16,2)=-3.
TI(16,7)=7.5
TI(16,13)=-6.
TI(16,14)=-3.
TI(16,16)=-7.5
TI(17,1)=4.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,2)=-3.*(CM(1)+CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,3)=3./CM1
TI(17,4)=(CM(1)+CM(2))/(2.*CM1)
TI(17,5)=-1./CM1
TI(17,6)=1./(2.*CM1)
TI(17,7)=6.*(CM(5)-CM(4))/CM1
TI(17,8)=-3./CM1
TI(17,9)=3.*(CM(4)-CM(5))/CM1
TI(17,10)=-1./(2.*CM1)
TI(17,11)=1./CM1
TI(17,12)=(CM(5)-CM(4))/(2.*CM1)
TI(17,13)=6.*(CM(4)-CM(5)-CM(1)-CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,14)=3.*(1.-CM(1)-CM(2))/CM1
TI(17,15)=3.*(CM(4)-CM(5)-1.)/CM1
TI(17,16)=(1.-CM(1)-CM(2))/(2.*CM1)
TI(17,18)=(CM(4)-CM(5)-1.)/(2.*CM1)
TI(18,7)=6.
TI(18,9)=-3.
TI(18,12)=0.5
TI(18,13)=-6.
TI(18,15)=-3.
TI(18,18)=-7.5
DO 105 J=1,18
DO 105 I=1,18
BCI(I,J)=0.
5 CONTINUE
BCI(1,1)=1.
BCI(2,2)=G(2)
BCI(2,3)=-B(2)
BCI(3,2)=-G(1)
BCI(3,3)=B(1)
BCI(4,4)=G(2)**2
BCI(4,5)=-2.*G(2)*B(2)
BCI(4,6)=B(2)**2
BCI(5,4)=-G(1)*G(2)
BCI(5,5)=G(2)*P(1)+G(1)*B(2)
BCI(5,6)=-P(1)*B(2)
BCI(6,4)=G(1)**2
BCI(6,5)=-2.*G(1)*P(1)
BCI(6,6)=P(1)**2
DO 106 K=6,12,6
DO 106 J=1,6
DO 106 I=1,6
BCI(K+J,K+I)=BCI(J,I)
06 CONTINUE
CALL TIMES(TI,BCI,TC,18,18,18,1)
DO 109 I=1,18
DO 109 J=1,18
T(I,J)=TD(I,J)
9 CONTINUE
GO TO 108
07 WRITE(6,600)
08 CONTINUE
00 FORMAT(1*2*3*4*5*      FPRDF      ANTISTROEIS      *6*7*8*9)

```

```

RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,C,N,M,L,KOK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),B(1),P(1)
KOK=1  A(N,M) , B(M,L) , C(N,L)  REGULAR  A*B
KOK=2  A(M,M) , B(M,L) , C(N,L)  TRANSPOSE A*B
IR=1
DO 100 K=1,L
DO 100 J=1,M
P(IR)=0.
GO TO(1,1,1,2),KOK
01 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,M
IA=M*(I-1)+J
IB=M*(K-1)+I
03 P(IR)=P(IR)+A(IA)*B(IB)
GO TO 10
02 CONTINUE
DO 104 I=1,M
IA=M*(J-1)+I
IB=M*(K-1)+I
04 R(IR)=R(IR)+C(IA)*P(IB)
GO 10  IR=IR+1
RETURN
END

```

7. Reference symbol PRO18

P=100 PRUTF=DIRF COPIES=4  
= UNIVERSITY, PATCH  
WAS: 11:48:46  
SIGNED ON AT 11:48:53 ON MON SEP 22/75  
PRINT\*

```
*** PLATE ROTATION ELEMENT WITH 18 DEGREES OF FD. AT 6 NODES M,FX,RY***  
*** CUBIC VARIATION FOR THE DIS. W QUADRATIC FOR THE ROTATIONS *****  
*** 6 STRESSES AT THE CENTROID MXX,MYX,MYX,MYX,MYX,MYX *****  
*** TRANSFORMATION TO RM RS *****  
*** ELASTICITY MODULI VIA YOUNG(12) *****  
SUBROUTINE STIFF  
  IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,G-7)  
  COMMON/ST1/X(3,2),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36)  
  1,INFO(20)  
  COMMON/MAN/RCL(2,36,36),COL(2,8,36),DCL(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM  
  COMMON/COORD/X1(3),Y1(3)  
  DIMENSION TRAN(18,18),SMK(18,18),SMK1(18,18),STR(5,18),TIK(5,18),  
  1,LAG(18),XM(16,2),YM(16,2),COR(2,2)  
  DO 100 I=1,3  
  X1(I)=X(1,I)  
00 Y1(I)=X(2,I)  
  DO 101 I=1,36  
  DO 101 J=1,36  
  1 STUCK(J,I)=.  
  DO 102 I=1,36  
  DO 102 J=1,8  
  2 STICK(J,I)=0.  
  DO 103 I=1,36  
03 FORCE(I)=0.  
  IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517  
  DO 516 M=1,NGRAM  
  DO 516 I=1,2  
  XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)  
16 YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)  
17 CONTINUE  
  NLAK=INFO(1)  
  IF(NLAK.LO.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 104  
  CALL SUBT1  
  IF(NLAK.EQ.) GO TO 105  
  NLAK=NLAK-10  
  DO 106 I=1,18  
  DO 106 J=1,18  
06 RCL(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)  
  DO 113 I=1,18  
  DO 113 J=1,5  
13 CCL(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)  
  DO 108 I=1,18  
08 DCL(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)  
  GO TO 105  
04 DO 109 I=1,18  
  DO 109 J=1,18  
9 STUCK(J,I)=RCL(NLAK,J,I)  
  DO 214 I=1,18  
  DO 214 J=1,5  
14 STICK(J,I)=CCL(NLAK,J,I)  
  DO 111 I=1,18  
11 FORCE(I)=DCL(NLAK,I)  
05 CONTINUE  
  NLIK=INFO(2)  
  IF(NLIK.EQ.0.OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 112
```

```

DO 213 I=1,18
DO 213 J=1,18
13 SMK(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 114 I=1,18
DO 114 J=1,5
14 STR(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 115 I=1,MLIK
K=(I-1)*2+3
L=INFC(K)
K1=INFC(K+1)
DO 583 II=1,18
DO 584 IJ=1,18
84 TRAN(IJ,II)=0.
83 TRAN(II,IJ)=1.
CALL TRANL(XM,YM,K1,CC3)
KK=(I-1)*3
DO 587 IN=1,2
DO 587 IL=1,2
87 TRAN(KK+IL+1,KK+IN+1)=COS(PI,IN)
CALL TIMES(SMK,TRAN,SMK1,18,18,18,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK1,SMK,18,18,18,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TRAN,TIK,5,18,18,1)
DO 116 NI=1,18
DO 116 NJ=1,4
16 STR(NJ,NI)=TIK(NJ,NI)
15 CONTINUE
DO 117 I=1,18
DO 117 J=1,18
17 STUCK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)
DO 118 I=1,18
DO 118 J=1,5
18 STICK(J,I)=STR(J,I)
12 CONTINUE
RPPC=0.
DO 604 J=6,11
DO 604 I=1,3
04 IF(X(I,J).NE. .)RPPC=1.
IF(RPPC.NE.1) GO TO 560
DO 273 I=1,18
73 DANG(I)= .
DANG(1)=X(3,6)
DANG(4)=X(3,7)
DANG(7)=X(3,8)
DANG(10)=X(3,9)
DANG(13)=X(3,10)
DANG(16)=X(3,11)
DO 274 I=1,18
74 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DANG(I)
60 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,K1,TRL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-7)
DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),X2(2),Y2(2),TRL(2,2)
DO 515 J=1,2
X2(J)=X(K1,J)
15 Y2(J)=Y(K1,J)
G2=X2(2)-X2(1)
R2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
GLFM2=DSQRT(G2**2+R2**2)

```

```

IF(CLEN2.LT. .10-12.AND.GLEN2.GT.- .10-12) WRITE(6,70 )
P2=B2/CLEN2
C2=G2/CLEN2
TPL(1,1)=B2
TPL(1,2)=C2
TPI(2,1)=-G2
TII(2,2)=B2
00 FORMAT(' ***** PROGRAM TRANL *****')
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE GIONS(K)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/CDB/ST(19,19),T(5,19)
DIMENSION P(19)
A=1./ST(K,K)
DO 40 I=1,19
40 P(I)=ST(K,I)
DO 41 J=1,19
DO 41 J=1,19
41 ST(J,I)=ST(J,I)-P(I)*P(I)*A
DO 42 I=1,5
D=T(I,K)
DO 42 J=1,19
42 T(I,J)=T(I,J)-D(J)*A*D
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SHAP(A,P,G,DT)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(3),B(3),G(3),BF(5,19),SP(6),SPX(6),SRY(6),
1 SWX(7),SHY(7)
COMMON/JCN/SM(19,19),S(5,19),D(5,5)
DIM1=A(1)*A(2)*A(3)
DIM2=(B(1)*A(2)*A(3)+P(2)*A(1)*A(3)+B(3)*A(1)*A(2))/DT
DIM3=(G(1)*A(2)*A(3)+G(2)*A(1)*A(3)+G(3)*A(1)*A(2))/DT
DO 100 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
SR(I)=(2.*A(I)-1.)*A(I)
SR(I+3)=4.*A(K)*A(J)
SPX(I)=B(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT
SPX(I+3)=(B(K)*4.*A(J)+B(J)*4.*A(K))/DT
SPY(I)=G(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT
SPY(I+3)=(G(K)*4.*A(J)+G(J)*4.*A(K))/DT
SWX(I)=SRX(I)+3.*DIM2
SWX(I+3)=SRX(I+3)-12.*DIM2
SHY(I)=SPY(I)+3.*DIM3
SHY(I+3)=SPY(I+3)-12.*DIM3
CONTINUE
SHX(7)=27.*DIM2
SHY(7)=27.*DIM3
DO 101 I=1,19
DO 101 J=1,5
01 BF(J,I)=0.
DO 102 I=1,11,2
K=(I+1)/2
BF(1,I)=SPX(K)
BF(2,I+1)=SRY(K)
BF(3,I)=SRY(K)

```

```

    RE(3,I+1)=SRX(K)
    RE(4,I)=-SR(K)
    RE(5,I+1)=-SE(K)
02 CONTINUE
    DO 13 I=13,19
    K=I-12
    RE(4,I)=SMX(K)
03 RE(5,I)=SMY(K)
    CALL TIMES(D,RE,S,5,5,19,1)
    CALL TIMES(RE,S,SM,19,5,19,2)
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE SLATI
    IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
    COMMON/STIX(X(3,20),YFUNC(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36)
1,TIME(20)
    COMMON/JCM/SI(19,19),S(5,19),D(5,5)
    COMMON/CCCR/YC(3),YC(2)
    COMMON/CCM/ST(19,19),TIK(5,19)
    DIMENSION A1(7),A2(7),A3(7),H(7),B(3),G(3),A(3),M1(19),T(19,19)
    DATA A1/.33333333D0, .5971527D0, .225471424D0, .79742699D0
1.00,2*.10128651D0, .00/,A3/.33333333D0, .00,2*.47014206D0, .00,0.,.597152
27D0, .00,2*.10128651D0, .00/, .79742699D0, .00/,A/.225471424D0, .00,2*.102394
315D0, .33333333D0 /
    DO 200 I=1,5
    DO 200 J=1,5
    R(J,I)=.
    R(1,1)=YFUNC(1)
    R(1,2)=YFUNC(2)
    R(2,1)=D(1,2)
    R(2,2)=YFUNC(3)
    R(3,3)=YFUNC(4)/2.
    R(4,4)=YFUNC(5)
    R(5,5)=YFUNC(6)
    IF(YFUNC(12).NE.0.) R(2,1)=YFUNC(12)
    DO 210 I=1,19
    DO 210 J=1,19
1 ST(J,I)=.
    DO 211 I=1,3
    K=I+1
    J=I+2
    IF(K.GT.7)K=K-3
    IF(J.GT.7)J=J-3
    R(I)=YC(K)-YC(J)
11 G(I)=XC(J)-XC(K)
    DT=B(1)*G(2)-B(2)*G(1)
    DO 212 K=1,7
    A2(K)=1.-A1(K)-A3(K)
    A(1)=A1(K)
    A(2)=A2(K)
    A(3)=A3(K)
    CALL SHAP(A,B,G,DT)
    IF(K.NE.1) GO TO 213
    DO 214 I=1,19
    DO 214 J=1,5
14 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
13 CONTINUE
    DO 215 I=1,19
    DO 215 J=1,19
15 ST(J,I)=ST(J,I)+R(K)*SM(J,I)*DT/2.

```

```

12 CONTINUE
   DO 217 I=1,19
   DO 217 J=1,19
17 T(J,I)=1.
   DATA M1/13,1,2,14,3,4,15,5,6,16,7,8,17,9,11,10,11,12,12/
   DO 218 I=1,19
18 T(M1(I),I)=1.
   CALL TIMES(ST,T,SM,19,19,19,1)
   CALL TIMES(T,SM,ST,19,19,19,2)
   CALL TIMES(TIK,T,S,5,19,19,1)
   DO 219 I=1,19
   DO 219 J=1,5
19 TI(K,J,I)=S(J,I)
   CALL CNDMS(19)
   DO 220 I=1,18
   DO 22 J=1,19
20 STUCK(J,I)=ST(J,I)
   DO 221 I=1,18
   DO 221 J=1,5
21 STICK(J,I)=TI(K,J,I)
   PG=X(3,5)
   FORCE(11)=PG*DT/6.
   FORCE(13)=PG*DT/6.
   FORCE(16)=PG*DT/6.
   RETURN
   END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,R,P,M,N,I,KOK)
  IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
  DIMENSION A(1),R(1),P(1)
  KOK=1 A(N,I) , R(M,L) , P(N,L) REGULAR A*R=R
  KOK=2 A(I,N) , B(M,L) , R(N,L) TRANSPOSE A*B=P
  IP=1
  DO 100 K=1,L
  DO 100 J=1,N
  P(IR)=.
  GO TO(101,102),KOK
01 CONTINUE
  DO 103 I=1,M
  IA=M*(J-1)+J
  IR=M*(K-1)+I
  P(IR)=P(IR)+A(IA)*P(IR)
  GO TO 100
02 CONTINUE
  DO 104 I=1,M
  IA=M*(J-1)+I
  IB=M*(K-1)+I
  P(IR)=P(IR)+A(IA)*B(IB)
00 IR=IR+1
  RETURN
  END

```

SANDWICH DOME MODELS

COMPUTER LISTING

	Reference symbol
1.	DDS21
2.	DDS33
3.	DMX36
4.	DRO30

1. Reference symbol DDS21

P=100 PRFLTH=DRPH COEFIES=4  
= UNIVERSITY, PATCH  
WAS: 15:52:34  
SIGNED ON AT 15:52:40 ON 40N SEP 22/75  
PRINT\*

```
**** SOME ELEMENT 21 DO. OF FREEDOM AT 3 CORNER NODES ****  
**** CUBIC VARIATION OF W (W,BX,WY) AND LINEAR OF U,V,FX,FY ****  
**** TRANSFORMATION AT GLOBAL SET OF U,V,W AND TOTAL ROTATIONS ****  
**** STRESSES AT CENTROID MXX,MYX,MXY OX,OY,NXX,NYX,NXY ****  
SUBROUTINE STIFF  
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)  
COMMON/ST1/X(3,20),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),  
LINEQ(2)  
COMMON/MAN/BOI(2,36,36),COL(2,8,36),POL(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM  
COMMON/PAT/CO2(3,3),X0(3),Y0(3)  
DIMENSION X1(3),Y1(3),Z1(3),G1(3),B1(3),C1(3),CO1(3,3),CO3(3,3)  
DIMENSION XM(16,2),YM(16,2),ZM(16,2),TRAN(21,21),POL(3)  
DIMENSION CO4(3,3),SOX(21,21),SIK(8,21),DABL(21),FAGE(21)  
COMMON/COND/SOX1(21,21),SIK1(8,21),SEK1(21)  
DATA MCL/3,10,17/  
DO 599 I=1,21  
599 FORCE(I)=0.  
X1 , Y1 , Z1 GLOBAL COORDINATES OF THE VERTICES (1,2,3)  
DO 415 I=1,3  
X1(I)=X(1,I)  
Y1(I)=X(2,I)  
415 Z1(I)=X(3,I)  
XM , YM , ZM (M,2) GLOBAL COORDIN. OF THE JOINT LINE (DIRECTION 1,2)  
M= THE CODE NUMBER OF THE JOINT LINE (MAX=16)  
IF (NCFAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517  
DO 516 M=1,NGRAM  
DO 516 I=1,2  
XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)  
YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)  
516 ZM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+4)  
517 CONTINUE  
DO 414 I=1,3  
K=I+1  
J=I+2  
IF (K.GT.3) K=K-3  
IF (J.GT.3) J=J-3  
G1(I)=X1(J)-X1(K)  
B1(I)=Y1(J)-Y1(K)  
400 C1(I)=Z1(J)-Z1(K)  
CO2(3,3) TRANSF. MATRIX FROM GLOBAL TO LOCAL D' = < CO2 > D  
D' = LOCAL D = GLOBAL  
CALL TRANS(G1,B1,C1)  
X0(3) , Y0(3) THE LOCAL COORDIN. OF THE VERTICES (1,2,3)  
DO 592 I=1,3  
DO 592 J=1,3  
592 CO4(I,J)=X(I,J)  
CALL TIMES(CO2,CO4,CO1,3,3,3,1)  
DO 414 J=1,3  
X0(J)=CO1(1,J)  
514 Y0(J)=CO1(2,J)  
NLAK=IMFD(1)  
METRO=C  
IF (NLAK.EQ.-1.OR.NLAK.EQ.-2) GO TO 840  
IF (NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 575  
CALL SUBT1
```

```

IF (NLAK.EQ. ) GO TO 52
NLAK=NLAK-10
DO 500 I=1,21
9  DDL(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)
DO 576 J=1,21
DO 576 I=1,21
576 BDL(NLAK,I,J)=STUCK(I,J)
DO 577 J=1,21
DO 577 I=1,8
577 CDD(NLAK,I,J)=STICK(I,J)
GO TO 580
840 NLAK=-NLAK
METRO=-1
575 DO 578 J=1,21
DO 578 I=1,21
578 STUCK(I,J)=BDL(NLAK,I,J)
DO 579 J=1,21
DO 579 I=1,8
579 STICK(I,J)=CDD(NLAK,I,J)
DO 901 I=1,21
9.1 FORCE(I)=DDL(NLAK,I)
IF (METRO.NE.-1) GO TO 580
DO 861 I=1,21
DO 861 J=1,21
E1=0.
IF (I.NE.J) GO TO 862
F1=-1.
DO 863 II=1,3
IF (I.EQ.VCL(II)) E1=1.
863 CONTINUE
862 TRAN(J,I)=F1
861 CONTINUE
DO 1 I=1,21
DO 1 J=1,21
1 SOK1(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 2 I=1,21
DO 2 J=1,8
2 SIK(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 3 I=1,21
3 DAGL(I)=FORCE(I)
CALL TIMES(SOK1,TRAN,SOK,21,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SCK,SOK1,21,21,21,2)
CALL TIMES(SIK,TRAN,SIK1,8,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,DAGL,SEK1,21,21,1,2)
DO 4 I=1,21
DO 4 J=1,21
4 STUCK(J,I)=SOK1(J,I)
DO 5 I=1,21
DO 5 J=1,8
5 STICK(J,I)=SIK1(J,I)
DO 6 I=1,21
6 FORCE(I)=SEK1(I)
580 CONTINUE
INFO(1)=0 FINDS LOCAL STIFF. AND STRESS MATR. WITHOUT STOPPING
INFO(1)=1 TAKES LOCAL STIFF. AND STRESS MATR. OF THE 1ST. STORAGE
INFO(1)=2 TAKES LOCAL STIFF AND STRESS MATR. OF THE 2ND. STORAGE
INFO(1)=11 FINDS LOCAL STIFF. AND STRESS MATR. AND STORES IN THE 1ST.
INFO(1)=12 FINDS LOCAL STIFF. AND STRESS MATR. AND STORES IN THE 2ND.
INFO(2)=0 KEEPS LOCAL WITHOUT TRANSFORMATION
INFO(2)=X X NO NODES IN THE ELEMENT NEED TRANSFORMATION (MAX=3)

```

```

IF (INFO(2).EQ. .OR.INFO(2).EQ.99) GO TO 581
DO 801 I=1,21
DO 801 J=1,21
801 SOK1(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 802 I=1,21
DO 802 J=1,9
802 SIK1(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 804 I=1,21
E 6 SOK1(I)=FORCE(I)
NLIK=INFO(2)
DO 582 I=1,NLIK
INFO(3) AXXON ARITHMOS TIS MODF
INFO(4) CODE NUMBER OF JOINT--JOINT LINE (MAX=16) (IF.EQ.0 ALL GLOBAL,
IF.EQ.-1 ONLY U,V,W TRANSF)
INFO(5),INFO(7) THE SAME AS INFO(3)
INFO(6),INFO(8) THE SAME AS INFO(4)
K=(I-1)*2+3
L=INFO(K)
K1=INFO(K+1)
DO 583 II=1,21
DO 584 IS=1,21
584 TRAN(IS,II)=0.
583 TRAN(II,II)=1.
KK=(L-1)*7
DO 585 IN=1,3
DO 585 II=1,3
585 TRAN(KK+IN,KK+II)=CO2(IN,II)
IF(K1.EQ.-1) GO TO 582
IF(K1.EQ.0) GO TO 586
INTERCONNECTION OF TWO PLATES
CALL TRANL(XM,Y4,ZM,K1,C03)
X AXE OF THE NEW SYSTEM THE JOINT LINE
BY SHEAR ANGLE CONDENSED OUT
DO 587 IM=1,2
DO 587 IL=1,2
TRAN(KK+IM+3,KK+IL+3)=CO3(IN,II)
587 TRAN(KK+IM+5,KK+IL+5)=CO3(IN,IL)
CALL TIMES(SOK1,TRAN,SOK,21,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SOK,SOK1,21,21,21,2)
DO 902 IM=1,21
902 DAC1(IM)=SEK1(IM)
DO 593 JM=1,21
DO 593 IM=1,9
593 SIK(IM,JM)=SIK1(IM,JM)
CALL TIMES(SIK,TRAN,SIK1,8,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,DACL,SEK1,21,21,1,2)
KUK=KK+7
CALL CONDNS(KUK)
SOK1(KUK,KUK)=1.
GO TO 582
INTERCONNECTION OF MORE THAN TWO PLATES
586 CALL CONDNS(KK+6)
CALL CONDNS(KK+7)
SOK1(KK+7,KK+7)=1.
DO 588 IM=1,3
DO 588 IL=1,3
588 TRAN(KK+IM+3,KK+IL+3)=CO2(IN,II)
CALL TIMES(SOK1,TRAN,SOK,21,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SOK,SOK1,21,21,21,2)
DO 903 IM=1,21

```

```

503 DAQL(IM)=SEK1(IM)
    DO 594 JM=1,21
    DO 594 IM=1,8
594 SIK(IM,JM)=SIK1(IM,JM)
    CALL TIMES(SIK,TRAN,SIK1,8,21,21,1)
    CALL TIMES(TRAN,DAQL,SEK1,21,21,1,2)
582 CONTINUE
    DO 803 I=1,21
    DO 803 J=1,21
803 STUCK(J,I)=SOK1(J,I)
    DO 804 I=1,21
    DO 804 J=1,8
804 STICK(J,I)=SIK1(J,I)
    DO 805 I=1,21
805 FORCE(I)=SEK1(I)
581 CONTINUE
    DO 600 I=1,21
600 DAQL(I)=0.
    BRPO=0.
    DO 604 J=6,8
    DO 604 I=1,3
604 IF(X(I,J).NE.0.)BRPO=1.
    IF(BRPO.NE.1.) GO TO 56
    DO 273 I=1,21
273 DAQG(I)=0.
    KN=0
    DO 274 I=1,15,7
    KN=KN+1
    DAQG(I)=X(1,5+KN)
    DAQG(I+1)=X(2,5+KN)
274 DAQG(I+2)=X(3,5+KN)
    DO 595 I=1,21
    DO 596 J=1,21
596 TRAN(J,I)=0.
595 TRAN(I,I)=1.
    DO 812 KM=1,15,7
    L=KM-1
    KN=L/7+1
    GL=1.
    IF(MLIK.EQ.0)GO TO 810
    DO 811 IN=1,MLIK
    K=(IN-1)*2+3
811 IF(KN.EQ.INFO(K))GL=1.
    IF(GL.EQ.1.)GO TO 812
810 CONTINUE
    DO 597 I=1,3
    DO 597 J=1,3
597 TRAN(I+L,J+L)=CO2(I,J)
812 CONTINUE
    CALL TIMES(TRAN,DAQG,DAQL,21,21,1,1)
560 CONTINUE
    DO 602 I=1,21
602 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DAQL(I)
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE TRAML(X,Y,7,K,TRL)
    IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
    COMMON/PAT/TRG(3,3),YG(3),YQ(3)
    DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),Z(16,2),TRL(3,3),TR(3,3)
    DIMENSION X2(3),Y2(3),Z2(3)

```

```

DO 515 J=1,2
X2(J)=X(K,J)
Y2(J)=Y(K,J)
515 Z2(J)=Z(K,J)
G2=X2(2)-X2(1)
B2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
C2=Z2(2)-Z2(1)
GLEM2=DSQRT(C2**2+B2**2+G2**2)
IF(GLEM2.EQ.0.)WRITE(6,700)
TR(1,1)=G2/GLEM2
TR(2,1)=B2/GLEM2
TR(3,1)=C2/GLEM2
TR(1,2)=TRG(3,2)*TR(3,1)-TRG(3,3)*TR(2,1)
TR(2,2)=TRG(3,3)*TR(1,1)-TRG(3,1)*TR(3,1)
TR(3,2)=TRG(3,1)*TR(2,1)-TRG(3,2)*TR(1,1)
TR(1,3)=TRG(3,1)
TR(2,3)=TRG(3,2)
TR(3,3)=TRG(3,3)
CALL TIMES(TRG,TR,TRI,3,3,3,1)
700 FORMAT(10X,'      **** ERROR ****  GLEM2',/)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE CONDMS(K)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-Z)
CONDENSES OUT THE K TH. DEGREE OF FREEDOM
COMMON/COND/SIN(21,21),TIM(8,21),VIM(21)
DIMENSION B(21)
A=1./SIN(K,K)
DO 40 I=1,21
4 B(I)=SIN(K,I)
DO 41 J=1,21
DO 41 I=1,21
41 SIN(I,J)=SIN(I,J)-B(I)*B(J)*A
DO 42 I=1,3
D=TIM(I,K)
DO 42 J=1,21
42 TIM(I,J)=TIM(I,J)-B(J)*A*D
D=VIM(K)
DO 43 I=1,21
43 VIM(I)=VIM(I)-B(I)*D*A
RETURN
END
*****
THE STIFFNESS MATRIX SM
THE STRESS MATRIX S
THE LOAD MATRIX FMP
INPUT FOR EACH POINT A THE AREA COORDINATES,P THE VALUES OF LOAD
INPUT FOR EACH ELEMENT THE COEFFICIENTS B(3),C(3)
INPUT FOR EACH ELEMENT THE ELASTICITY MATRIX C(8)
SUBROUTINE SHAPE(A,B,G,DT,NCK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-Z)
COMMON/JON/SM(21,21),S(8,21),D(8,8),FMP(21,1),P(7)
DIMENSION A(3),R(3),C(3),RE(8,21),FM(7,21)
DIMENSION SW(3),SX(3),SY(3),SWX(3),SXX(3),SYX(3),SWY(3),SXY(3),SYY
1(3),SWXX(3),SXXX(3),SYXX(3),SWYY(3),SXY(3),SYYY(3),SWXY(3),SXXY(3
2),SYXY(3),SU(3),SV(3),SFX(3),SFY(3),SUX(3),SUY(3),SVX(3),SVY(3)
DO 201 I=1,3
K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2

```

```

IF (J.GT.3) J=J-3
SW(I)=A(I)+A(I)**2*A(K)+A(I)**2*A(J)-A(I)*A(K)**2-A(I)*A(J)**2
SY(I)=B(K)*(A(I)**2*A(J)+0.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-B(J)*(A(K)*A(I)**2+
1*.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))
SX(I)=C(J)*(A(I)**2*A(K)+0.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-G(K)*(A(J)*A(I)**2+
10.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))
SHX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*
1A(K)*(P(I)-P(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(B(I)-B(J)))
SYX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*A(I)*(R(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+.5*(B(J)-B(K))
1*(B(I)*A(K)*A(J)+B(K)*A(I)*A(J)+B(J)*A(I)*A(K)))*(-1.0)
SXX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*B(I)*A(I)*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(R(K)*
1G(J)-B(J)*G(K))+.5*(G(J)-G(K))*(B(I)*A(K)*A(J)+B(K)*A(I)*A(J)+
2P(J)*A(I)*A(K)))
SWY(I)=(+1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*
1A(K)*(G(I)-G(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(G(I)-G(J)))
SYY(I)=(-1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(R(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(G(K)*
1B(J)-G(J)*B(K))+.5*(B(J)-B(K))*(G(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+
2G(J)*A(I)*A(K)))
SXY(I)=(+1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+G.5*(G(J)-G(K)
1)*(G(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+G(J)*A(I)*A(K)))
SI(I)=A(I)
SV(I)=A(I)
SFY(I)=A(I)
SFX(I)=A(I)
SWXX(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(-2.*B(I)*(A(I)*B(I)+A(K)*P(K)+A(J)*B(J))+
12.*(B(I)-B(K))*(A(K)*P(I)+A(I)*B(K))+2.*(B(I)-B(J))*(A(I)*B(J)+
2P(J)*B(I)))
SYXX(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*B(I)**2*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+(B(J)-B(K)
1)*(B(I)*P(K)*A(J)+B(I)*B(J)*A(K)+B(K)*B(J)*A(I)))
SXXX(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(2.*B(I)**2*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*B(I)
1*(B(K)*G(J)-B(J)*G(K))+(G(J)-G(K))*(B(I)*B(K)*A(J)+P(I)*B(J)*A(K)+
2P(K)*P(J)*A(I)))
SIYY(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(-2.*G(I)*(A(I)*G(I)+A(K)*G(K)+A(J)*G(J))+2.*
1(G(I)-G(K))*(A(K)*G(I)+A(I)*G(K))+2.*(G(I)-G(J))*(A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*
2G(I)))
SYYY(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)**2*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*G(I)
1*(G(K)*B(J)-G(J)*B(K))+(B(J)-B(K))*(G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+
2G(K)*G(J)*A(I)))
SXYY(I)=(+1./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)**2*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+(G(J)-G(K)
1)*(G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+G(K)*G(J)*A(I)))
SMXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(-2.*B(I)*(C(I)*A(I)+G(K)*A(K)+G(J)*A(J))+2.*
1(B(I)-P(K))*(A(K)*C(I)+A(I)*G(K))+2.*(B(I)-B(J))*(A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*
2G(I)))
SYXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(2.*B(I)*G(I)*(B(J)*A(K)-B(K)*A(J))+2.*B(I)*
1A(I)*(C(K)*P(J)-G(J)*P(K))+0.5*(B(J)-B(K))*(A(I)*(G(J)*B(K)+G(K)*
2B(J))+A(K)*(C(I)*B(J)+G(J)*B(I))+A(J)*(C(I)*B(K)+G(K)*B(I))))
3*(-1.0)
SXXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(2.*P(I)*G(I)*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+2.*G(I)*
1A(I)*(B(K)*G(J)-B(J)*G(K))+0.5*(G(J)-G(K))*(A(I)*(G(J)*B(K)+G(K)*
2P(J))+A(K)*(G(I)*P(J)+G(J)*P(I))+A(J)*(C(I)*B(K)+G(K)*B(I))))
SUX(I)=(1./DT)*B(I)
SVX(I)=(1./DT)*B(I)
SUY(I)=(1./DT)*G(I)
SVY(I)=(1./DT)*G(I)

```

201 CONTINUE

FORM MATRIX R

GO 301 J=1,21

DO 301 I=1,8

BE(I,J)=.

301 CONTINUE

```

DO 202 K=1,3
J=3*K-2
RF(1,J)=SWXX(K)
RF(2,J)=SWYY(K)
RF(3,J)=SWXY(K)
RF(1,J+1)=SYXX(K)
RF(2,J+1)=SYYY(K)
RF(3,J+1)=SYXY(K)
RF(1,J+2)=SXXX(K)
RF(2,J+2)=SXYX(K)
RF(3,J+2)=SXXY(K)
202 CONTINUE
DO 203 K=1,3
J=2*K+8
RF(1,J)=-SUX(K)
RF(3,J)=-SUY(K)
RF(4,J)=SFX(K)
RF(2,J+1)=-SVY(K)
RF(3,J+1)=-SVX(K)
RF(5,J+1)=SFY(K)
203 CONTINUE
DO 204 K=1,3
J=2*K+14
RF(6,J)=SUX(K)
RF(7,J)=SUY(K)
RF(7,J+1)=SVY(K)
RF(8,J+1)=SVX(K)
204 CONTINUE
      STIFFNESS MATRIX          BY MULTIPLICATION B *D*B
      STIFFNESS MATRIX SM
      STRESS MATRIX S BY MULTIPLICATION C*B
CALL TIMES(D,RE,S,8,8,21,1)
CALL TIMES(RF,S,SM,21,3,21,2)
PROGRAM FOR THE SHAPE FUNCTION MATRIX N
DO 300 J=1,21
DO 300 I=1,7
FM(I,J)=0.
300 CONTINUE
DO 213 K=1,3
J=3*K-2
FM(1,J)=SW(K)
FM(2,J)=SWY(K)
FM(3,J)=SWX(K)
FM(1,J+1)=SY(K)
FM(2,J+1)=SYX(K)
FM(3,J+1)=SYX(K)
FM(1,J+2)=SX(K)
FM(2,J+2)=SXY(K)
FM(3,J+2)=SXX(K)
213 CONTINUE
DO 214 K=1,3
J=2*K+8
FM(4,J)=SFX(K)
FM(5,J+1)=SFY(K)
214 CONTINUE
DO 215 K=1,3
J=2*K+14
FM(6,J)=SU(K)
FM(7,J+1)=SV(K)
215 CONTINUE

```

```

FORM THE MATRIX FNP BY MULTIPLICATION FN*P
FN SHAPE FUNCTION MATRIX
P LOAD MATRIX WITH SEVEN COMPONENTS AT EACH POINT
CALL TIMES(FN,P,FNP,21,7,1,2)
RETURN
END
FORM MATRIX D PROPERTIES OF MATERIAL
SANDWICH PLATES
ISOTROPIC MATERIAL FACES
ORTHOTROPIC MATERIAL CORE
EF ELASTICITY MODULUS OF THE FACES
ENF POISSON RATIO OF THE FACES
GF MODULUS OF RIGITY OF THE FACES
GCY MODULUS OF RIGITY OF THE CORE IN THE YZ LEVEL
GCX MODULUS OF RIGITY OF CORE IN THE XZ LEVEL
THE THICKNESS OF THE FACE
THC THICKNESS OF THE CORE
AZM MOMENT OF AREA OF THE SANDWICH SECTION OF THE FACES
SUBROUTINE SUBTT
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/SYI/X(3,20),ELMCD(12),SMK(36,36),TIK(8,36),PIIK(36)
1,INER(21)
COMMON/JON/SM(21,21),S(8,21),D(8,8),FNP(21,1),P(7)
COMMON/PAT/VAV(3,3),XI(3),Y(3)
DIMENSION A(3),B(3),G(3),GLE(3),W(7),W1(4),W2(4)
DIMENSION PG(7),PI(7),VAV1(7,7),TOT(21,21),SMK1(21,21)
DO 800 I=1,8
DO 800 J=1,8
800 D(J,I)=0.
D(1,1)=ELMCD(1)
D(1,2)=ELMCD(2)
D(2,2)=ELMCD(3)
D(3,3)=ELMCD(4)/2.
D(4,4)=ELMCD(5)
D(5,5)=ELMCD(6)
D(6,6)=ELMCD(7)
L(6,7)=ELMCD(8)
D(7,7)=ELMCD(11)
D(8,8)=ELMCD(11)
FORM THE WHOLE SYMMETRIC MATRIX D
DO 210 J=1,8
DO 210 I=1,8
D(I,J)=D(J,I)
210 CONTINUE
D(7,6)=ELMCD(9)
IF(ELMCD(12).NE.0) D(2,1)=ELMCD(12)
PG(7) THE GLOBAL DISTRIBUTED LOAD
DO 224 I=1,7
224 PG(I)=0.
PG(1)=X(1,5)
PG(2)=X(2,5)
PG(3)=X(3,5)
DO 430 I=1,7
DO 43 J=1,7
430 VAV1(I,J)=0.
DO 431 I=1,3
DO 431 J=1,3
431 VAV1(I,J)=VAV(I,J)
DO 432 K=3,5,2
DO 432 I=1,2

```

```

432 DO 432 J=1,2
VAV1(Y+K, J+K)=VAV(1, J)
CALL TIMES(VAV1, PG, PL, 7, 7, 1, 1)
DO 435 I=1,5
435 P(I)=PL(I+2)
P(6)=PL(1)
P(7)=PL(2)
COORDINATES OF VERTICES OF TRIANGLE X(I,7) I=1,6
DIMENSION ENK(21), ARCO(2,7), ARCO2(4), SL(8,21)
DO 200 I=1,3
K=I+1
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
J=I+2
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
B(I)=Y(K)-Y(J)
C(I)=XI(J)-XI(K)
CIE(I)=DSQRT(B(I)**2+C(I)**2)
200 CONTINUE
DT=P(1)*G(2)-P(2)*G(1)
QUINTIC INTEGRATION FOR STIFFNESS MATRIX STUCK
AREA COORDINATES L1 L2 L3 ARCO A(I)
WEIGHTS OF INTEGRATION W(I)
DATA ALF1/.33333333D0/,ALF2/.15971587D00/,BET1/.47014206D00/
DATA ALF2/.75742699D00/,BET2/.10128651D00/
DATA WF1/.225D00/,WF2/.13239415D0/,WF3/.12593918D0/
DATA ALFA1/.5D0/,ALFA2/.D0/,WF/D.3333333D00/
ARCO(1,1)=ALF1
ARCO(2,1)=ALF1
ARCO(1,2)=ALF1
ARCO(2,2)=BET1
ARCO(1,3)=BET1
ARCO(2,3)=ALF1
ARCO(1,4)=BET1
ARCO(2,4)=BET1
ARCO(1,5)=ALF2
ARCO(2,5)=BET2
ARCO(1,6)=BET2
ARCO(2,6)=ALF2
ARCO(1,7)=BET2
ARCO(2,7)=BET2
W(1)=WF1
W(2)=WF2
W(3)=WF2
W(4)=WF2
W(5)=WF3
W(6)=WF3
W(7)=WF3
DO 222 I=1,21
222 ENK(I)=J.
DO 211 J=1,21
DO 211 I=1,21
SMKI(I,J)=0.
211 CONTINUE
DO 212 K=1,7
A(1)=ARCO(1,K)
A(2)=ARCO(2,K)
A(3)=1.-A(1)-A(2)
CALL SHAPEF(A,B,G,DT,1)
IF(K.NE.1) GO TO 801
DO 802 I=1,21

```

```

DO 8 2 J=1,8
302 S1(J,I)=S(J,I)
P01 CONTINUE
DO 221 I=1,21
221 ENK(I)=ENK(I)+DT/2.*W(K)*ENP(I,1)
DO 212 J=1,21
DO 212 I=1,21
SMK1(I,J)=SMK1(I,J)+DT/2.*W(K)*SM(I,J)
212 CONTINUE
AREA COORDINATES L1 L2 L3 APOC1 A(I)
WEIGHTS OF INTEGRATION W(I)
P(1,7) REPRESENTS DISTRIBUTED LOADS CONSTANT IN ELEMENT AREA DT/42
ENK(21) REPRESENTS THE SAME LOADS IN THE THREE MODES 1,2,3 AS STUCK
RECLASSIFICATION OF THE DEGREES OF FREEDOM
DO 425 J=1,21
DO 425 I=1,21
425 TOT(I,J)=0.
DO 45 K1=1,7,3
K2=7*(K1+2)/3-4
TOT(K1,K2)=1.
DO 450 K3=1,3,2
TOT(K1+1,K2+K3+1)=1.
450 TOT(K1+2,K2+K3)=1.
DO 451 K1=6,20,7
K2=2*(K1+1)/7+8
DO 451 K3=1,6,5
K4=6*(K3-1)/5
TOT(K2+K4,K1-K3+1)=1.
451 TOT(K2+K4+1,K1-K3+2)=1.
CALL TIMES(SMK1,TOT,SM,21,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,SM,SMK1,21,21,21,2)
CALL TIMES(S1,TOT,S,8,21,21,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,ENK,ENP,21,21,1,2)
DO 5 1 I=1,21
DO 501 J=1,21
501 SMK(J,I)=SMK1(J,I)
DO 5 2 I=1,21
DO 502 J=1,8
502 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
DO 803 I=1,21
803 PINK(I)=ENP(I,1)
PFTUPM
END
SUBROUTINE TRANS(G1,B1,C1)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/PAT/TRMA(3,3),X0(3),Y0(3)
DIMENSION B1(3),G1(3),C1(3)
FORM THE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX LOCAL=(TRMA)*GLOBAL
DO 10 I=1,3
DO 10 J=1,3
10 TRMA(I,J)=0.
AA=B1(2)*C1(3)-B1(3)*C1(2)
AB=C1(3)*C1(2)-G1(2)*C1(3)
AC=B1(3)*G1(2)-G1(3)*B1(2)
IF((AA.GT.-.1D-12.AND.AA.LT.0.1D-12).AND.(AB.GT.-.1D-12.AND.AB.
1 LT.0.1D-12)) GO TO 20
AD=DSQRT(AA**2+AB**2+AC**2)
AE=DSQRT(AA**2+AB**2)
TRMA(3,1)=AA/AD
TRMA(3,2)=AB/AC

```

```

TENA(3,3)=AC/AD
TENA(1,1)=-AB/AE
TENA(1,2)=AC/AE
TENA(2,1)=-AA*AC/(AE*AD)
TENA(2,2)=-AB*AC/(AE*AD)
TENA(2,3)=(AA**2+AP**2)/(AE*AD)
RETURN

```

```

2) DO 3) I=1,3
30 TENA(I,J)=1.
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,P,Q,M,N,L,KKK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),P(1),Q(1)
KKK=1 A(M,N),P(M,1),Q(N,1) REGULAR APP
KKK=2 A(N,N),P(N,1),Q(N,1) TRANSPOSE APP
IP=1
DO 100 K=1,L
DO 100 J=1,N
P(IP)=0.
CC TO(101,102),KKK
101 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,M
IA=M*(I-1)+J
IP=M*(K-1)+I
103 F(IP)=F(IP)+A(IA)*P(IP)
CC TO 102
1 2 CONTINUE
DO 104 I=1,M
IA=M*(J-1)+I
IP=M*(K-1)+I
104 F(IP)=F(IP)+A(IA)*P(IP)
100 IS=IS+1
RETURN
END

```

2. Reference symbol DDS33

0 P=100 PCOUTE=00PH COPIES=4  
= UNIVERSITY, BATCH  
WAS: 15:52:40  
SIGNED ON AT 15:52:46 ON MON SEP 22/75  
PRINT

```
**** BONE ELEMENT 23 DO. OF FREEDOM AT 6 NODES ****  
**** CUBIC VARIATION OF W(U,WX,WY) AND QUADRATIC OF U,V,FX,FY ****  
**** TRANSFORMATION AT GLOBAL SET OF U,V,W AND TOTAL ROTATIONS ****  
**** STRESSES AT CENTROID MXX,MYY,MXY QX,OY,AXX,AYY,AXY ****  
SUBROUTINE STIFF  
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)  
COMMON/STI/X(3,20),YOUNG(12),STICK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(26),  
LINEQ(20)  
COMMON/MAN/BOI(2,36,36),COL(2,8,36),DCL(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM  
COMMON/PAT/CO2(3,3),X0(3),Y0(3)  
DIMENSION X1(3),Y1(3),Z1(3),G1(3),B1(3),C1(3),C01(3,3),C03(3,3),  
IX1(16,2),YM(16,2),ZM(16,2),TRAN(36,36),CO4(3,3),SCK(36,36),STK(8,3  
20),S11(36),DACL(36),MOI(3)  
DATA NCL/3,1,17/  
X1,Y1,Z1 GLOBAL COORDINATES OF THE VERTICES (1,2,3)  
DO 100 I=1,3  
X1(I)=X(1,I)  
Y1(I)=X(2,I)  
100 Z1(I)=X(3,I)  
X1,Y1,Z1 (M,2) GLOBAL COORD. OF THE JOINT LINE (DIRECTION 1,2)  
M= THE CODE NUMBER OF THE JOINT (MAX=16)  
IF(NCFAM.EQ.1)GO TO 11  
DO 102 I=1,2  
DO 102 M=1,NGRAM  
X0(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)  
Y0(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)  
102 Z0(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+4)  
101 CONTINUE  
DO 103 I=1,3  
K=I+1  
J=I+2  
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3  
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3  
C1(I)=X1(J)-X1(K)  
B1(I)=Y1(J)-Y1(K)  
103 C1(I)=Z1(J)-Z1(K)  
CO2(3,3) TRANSF. MATRIX FROM GLOBAL TO LOCAL D' = < CO2 > D  
D' = LOCAL D = GLOBAL  
X0(3),Y0(3) THE LOCAL COORDINATES OF THE VERTICES (1,2,3)  
CALL TRANS(G1,B1,C1)  
DO 104 J=1,3  
DO 104 I=1,3  
104 CO4(I,J)=X(I,J)  
CALL TIMES(CO2,CO4,C01,3,3,3,1)  
DO 105 J=1,3  
X0(J)=C01(1,J)  
105 Y0(J)=C01(2,J)  
DO 106 I=1,36  
106 FORCE(I)=0.  
INFO(1)=-1 TAKES LOCAL STIFF. STR. FROM 1ST STORE AND REVERSES  
THE APPROPRIATE D.O.F  
INFO(1)=-2 THE SAME AS BEFORE FROM THE 2ND STORA  
INFO(1)=0 FINDS LOCAL STIFF. STR. AND D.LOAD WITHOUT STOPPING  
INFO(1)=1 TAKES LOCAL STIFF. STR. AND D.LOAD FROM THE 1ST STORAGE  
INFO(1)=2 TAKES LOCAL STIFF. STR. AND D.LOAD FROM THE 2ND STORAGE
```

```

INFO(1)=11 FINDS LOCAL STIFF. STR. AND D.ILOAD AND STORES AT 1ST STORAGE
INFO(1)=12 FINDS LOCAL STIFF. STR. AND D.ILOAD AND STORES AT 2ND STORAGE
NLAK=INFO(1)
METRC=0
IF(NLAK.EQ.-1.OR.NLAK.EQ.-2) GO TO 340
IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2)GO TO 107
CALL SUBTI
IF(NLAK.EQ.0)GO TO 108
NLAK=NLAK-10
DO 10 I=1,33
09 DO 140 (NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)
DO 140 I=1,33
DO 140 J=1,8
40 COL(NLAK,I,I)=STICK(I,I)
DO 141 I=1,33
DO 141 J=1,33
41 BCL(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(I,I)
GO TO 108
040 NLAK=-NLAK
METRC=-1
007 DO 111 I=1,33
11 FORCE(I)=COL(NLAK,I)
DO 142 I=1,33
DO 142 J=1,8
42 STICK(J,I)=COL(NLAK,I,I)
DO 143 I=1,33
DO 143 J=1,33
43 STUCK(J,I)=BCL(NLAK,J,I)
IF(METRC.NE.-1) GO TO 108
DO 861 I=1,36
DO 861 J=1,36
E1= .
IF(I.NE.J) GO TO 862
E1=-1.
DO 862 II=1,3
IF(I.EQ.MDL(II)) E1=1.
003 CONTINUE
002 TRAN(J,I)=E1
001 CONTINUE
CALL TIMES(STUCK,TRAN,SCK,36,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SCK,STUCK,36,36,36,2)
CALL TIMES(STICK,TRAN,SIK,3,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,FORCE,DAFL,36,36,1,2)
DO 5 I=1,33
DO 5 J=1,8
5 STICK(J,I)=SIK(J,I)
DO 6 I=1,33
6 FORCE(I)=DAFL(I)
008 CONTINUE
INFO(2)=0 KEEPS LOCAL WITHOUT TRANSFORMATION
INFO(2)=X X NO ACES OF THE ELEMENT NEED TRANSFORMATION (MAX=5)
NLIK=INFO(2)
IF(NLIK.EQ.).OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 120
DO 120 I=1,NLIK
INFO(3) NUMBER OF THE NODE (1-6)
INFO(4) CODE NO OF NODE--JOINT LINE (MAX=16) (IF.EQ.0 ALL GLOBAL,
IF.EQ.-1 ONLY U, V, W TRANSF)
INFO(5), INFO(7), INFO(9), INFO(11) THE SAME AS INFO(3)
INFO(6), INFO(8), INFO(10), INFO(12) THE SAME AS INFO(4)
K=(I-1)*2+3

```

```

L=INT(K)
K1=INT(K+1)
DO 117 IS=1,36
DO 116 IT=1,36
116 TRAN(IT,IS)=C.
117 TRAN(IS,IS)=1.
IF(L.GT.3)GO TO 118
KK=(L-1)*7
DO 119 IL=1,3
DO 119 IN=1,3
119 TRAN(KK+IN,KK+IL)=CO2(IN,IL)
IF(K1.EQ.-1)GO TO 120
IF(K1.EQ.0) GO TO 121
CALL TRANL(XM,YM,ZM,K1,C03)
X AXE OF THE NEW SYSTEM THE JOINT LINE
FX SHEAR ANGLE IS CONDENSED OUT INTERCONNECTION OF TWO PLATES
DO 122 IL=1,2
DO 122 IN=1,2
TRAN(KK+IN+3,KK+IL+3)=CO3(IN,IL)
122 TRAN(KK+IN+5,KK+IL+5)=CO3(IN,IL)
CALL TIMES(STUCK,TRAN,SOB,36,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SOB,STUCK,36,36,36,2)
DO 123 JM=1,36
123 SIL(JM)=FORCE(JM)
DO 144 JM=1,36
DO 144 IM=1,8
144 SIK(IM,JM)=STICK(IM,JM)
CALL TIMES(STK,TRAN,STICK,8,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SIL,FORCE,36,36,1,2)
KUK=KK+7
CALL CMDNS(KUK)
STUCK(KUK,KUK)=1.
GO TO 120
INTERCONNECTION OF MORE THAN TWO PLATES
121 CALL CMDNS(KK+6)
CALL CMDNS(KK+7)
STUCK(KK+7,KK+7)=1.
DO 124 II=1,3
DO 124 IN=1,3
124 TRAN(KK+IN+3,KK+II+3)=CO2(IN,II)
CALL TIMES(STUCK,TRAN,SOB,36,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SOB,STUCK,36,36,36,2)
DO 125 JM=1,36
125 SIL(JM)=FORCE(JM)
DO 145 JM=1,36
DO 145 IM=1,8
145 SIK(IM,JM)=STICK(IM,JM)
CALL TIMES(STK,TRAN,STICK,8,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SIL,FORCE,36,36,1,2)
GO TO 12
MIDSIDE MODES TRANSFORMATION AT INTERCONNECTION OF TWO PLATES
X AXE OF THE NEW SYSTEM THE JOINT-LINE SHEAR ANGLE BY IS CONDENSED OUT
18 KK=(L-4)*4+21
CALL TRANL(XM,YM,ZM,K1,C03)
DO 126 II=1,2
DO 126 IN=1,2
126 TRAN(KK+IN,KK+II)=CO2(IN,II)
CALL TIMES(STUCK,TRAN,SOB,36,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SOB,STUCK,36,36,36,2)
DO 127 JM=1,36

```

```

127 SII(JM)=FORCE(JM)
    DO 146 JM=1,36
    DO 146 IM=1,8
146 SIK(IM,JM)=STICK(IM,JM)
    CALL TIMES(STK,TRAN,STICK,8,36,36,1)
    CALL TIMES(TRAN,SII,FORCE,36,36,1,2)
    KUK=K+2
    CALL CONDNS(KUK)
    STICK(KUK,KUK)=1.
20 CONTINUE
    CNSI = .
    DO 121 I=1,36
21 PAUL(I)=0.
    DO 129 J=6,8
    DO 129 I=1,3
29 IF(X(I,J).NE.0.)CNSI=1.
    IF(CNSI.NE.1.)GO TO 128
    DO 130 I=1,36
30 SII(I)=0.
    KO=0
    DO 132 I=1,15,7
    KO=KO+1
    SII(I)=X(1,5+KO)
    SII(I+1)=X(2,5+KO)
22 SII(I+2)=X(3,5+KO)
    DO 134 I=1,36
    DO 133 J=1,36
33 TRAN(J,I)=.
34 TRAN(I,I)=1.
    DO 135 KM=1,15,7
    L=KM-1
    KN=L/7+1
    GL=0.
    IF(MLIK.EQ.0)GO TO 137
    DO 136 IM=1,MLIK
    K=(IM-1)*2+3
36 IF(KM.EQ.INFO(K))GL=1.
    IF(GL.EQ.1)GO TO 135
37 CONTINUE
    DO 138 J=1,3
    DO 138 I=1,3
38 TRAN(I+L,J+L)=CO2(I,J)
35 CONTINUE
    CALL TIMES(TRAN,SII,PAUL,36,36,1,1)
28 DO 139 I=1,36
39 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+PAUL(I)
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE SHAP(A,P,G,DT,M)
    IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
    DIMENSION SW(3),SWX(3),SWY(3),SWXX(3),SWYY(3),SWXY(3),SX(3),SXX(3),
1) ,SXY(3),SXXX(3),SXXY(3),SXXY(3),SY(3),SYX(3),SYY(3),SYXX(3),SYYY(
23) ,SYXY(3),SU(7),SUX(7),SUY(7),SF(6),SFX(6),SFY(6),A(3),P(3),G(3),
3BE(8,35),EN(7,35)
    COMMON/JCN/SM(35,35),S(8,35),D(8,8),P(7),ENP(35,1)
    D1=A(1)*A(2)*A(3)
    D2=P(1)*A(2)*A(3)+P(2)*A(1)*A(3)+P(3)*A(1)*A(2)
    D3=G(1)*A(2)*A(3)+G(2)*A(1)*A(3)+G(3)*A(1)*A(2)
    DO 100 I=1,3
    K=I+1

```

```

J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
SW(I)=A(I)+A(I)**2*A(K)+A(I)**2*A(J)-A(I)*A(K)**2-A(I)*A(J)**2
SY(I)=P(K)*(A(I)**2*A(J)+.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-P(J)*(A(K)*A(I)**2+
1.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))
SX(I)=C(J)*(A(I)**2*A(K)+0.5*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))-C(K)*(A(J)*A(I)**2+0.
15*A(I)*A(K)*A(J))
SWX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*R(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*A(
1K)*(R(I)-R(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(R(I)-P(J)))
SYX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*R(I)*A(I)*(P(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+0.5*(R(J)-R(K))
1*(P(I)*A(K)*A(J)+P(K)*A(I)*A(J)+R(J)*A(I)*A(K)))*(-1.)
SXX(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*R(I)*A(I)*(C(I)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(R(K)*C
1(J)-P(J)*G(K))+0.5*(G(J)-C(K))*(P(I)*A(K)*A(J)+R(K)*A(I)*A(I)+P(I)
2*A(I)*A(K)))
SWY(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*(A(I)*A(K)+A(I)*A(J)+A(K)*A(J))+2.*A(I)*A(
1K)*(G(I)-G(K))+2.*A(I)*A(J)*(G(I)-C(I)))
SYI(I)=(-1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(R(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+A(I)**2*(G(K)*
1R(J)-C(J)*R(K))+0.5*(R(J)-P(K))*(C(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+C(J)
2)*A(I)*A(K)))
SXY(I)=(1./DT)*(2.*G(I)*A(I)*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+0.5*(G(J)-G(K))
1*(G(I)*A(K)*A(J)+G(K)*A(I)*A(J)+C(J)*A(I)*A(K)))
SWXX(I)=(1./DT**2)*(-2.*R(I)*(A(I)*R(I)+A(K)*R(K)+A(J)*R(J))+2.*(R
1(I)-R(K))*(A(K)*R(I)+A(I)*P(K))+2.*(R(I)-R(J))*(A(I)*R(J)+A(J)*P(I
2)))
SYXX(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)**2*(R(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+(R(J)-R(K))*
1(P(I)*P(K)*A(J)+P(I)*P(J)*A(K)+R(K)*R(J)*A(I)))
SXXI(I)=(1./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)**2*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*R(I)*
1(R(K)*G(J)-R(J)*G(K))+(C(J)-G(K))*(R(I)*R(K)*A(J)+R(I)*R(J)*A(K)+R
2(K)*R(J)*A(I)))
SWYI(I)=(1./DT**2)*(-2.*G(I)*(A(I)*G(I)+A(K)*G(K)+A(J)*G(J))+2.*(C
1(I)-G(K))*(A(K)*G(I)+A(I)*G(K))+2.*(G(I)-G(J))*(A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*G(I
2)))
SYII(I)=(-1./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)**2*(R(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+4.*A(I)*G(I)
1*(C(K)*R(J)-C(J)*P(K))+(R(J)-R(K))*(G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+
2G(K)*C(J)*A(I)))
SXYI(I)=(1./DT**2)*(2.*G(I)**2*(C(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+(G(J)-G(K))*(
1G(I)*G(K)*A(J)+G(I)*G(J)*A(K)+C(K)*G(J)*A(I)))
SWXY(I)=(2./DT**2)*(-2.*R(I)*(G(I)*A(I)+G(K)*A(K)+G(J)*A(J))+2.*(R
1(I)-R(K))*(A(K)*G(I)+A(I)*G(K))+2.*(R(I)-R(J))*(A(I)*G(J)+A(J)*G(I
2)))
SYXI(I)=(-2./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)*G(I)*(R(J)*A(K)-R(K)*A(J))+2.*R(I)*A(
1I)*(C(K)*R(J)-G(J)*R(K))+.5*(R(J)-P(K))*(A(I)*(G(J)*R(K)+G(K)*R(J)
2))+A(K)*(G(I)*R(J)+G(J)*R(I))+A(J)*(G(I)*R(K)+G(K)*R(I)))
SXXI(I)=(2./DT**2)*(2.*R(I)*G(I)*(G(J)*A(K)-G(K)*A(J))+2.*G(I)*A(I)
1*(R(K)*G(J)-R(J)*G(K))+.5*(G(J)-G(K))*(A(I)*(G(J)*R(K)+G(K)*R(J)
2))+A(K)*(G(I)*R(J)+C(J)*R(I))+A(J)*(G(I)*R(K)+G(K)*R(I)))
SU(I)=A(I)-9.*D1
SU(I+3)=-0.5*A(K)*A(J)+1.5*D1
SUX(I)=(1./DT)*(R(I)-9.*D2)
SUX(I+2)=(1./DT)*(-.5*(P(K)*A(J)+R(J)*A(K))+1.5*D2)
SUY(I)=(1./DT)*(G(I)-9.*D3)
SUY(I+3)=(1./DT)*(-0.5*(G(K)*A(J)+G(J)*A(K))+1.5*D3)
SF(I)=(2.*A(I)-1.)*A(I)
SF(I+3)=4.*A(K)*A(J)
SFY(I)=(1./DT)*(R(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.))
SFY(I+3)=(1./DT)*(4.*R(K)*A(J)+4.*R(J)*A(K))
SFY(I)=(1./DT)*(G(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.))
SFY(I+3)=(1./DT)*(4.*G(K)*A(J)+4.*C(J)*A(K))
SU(7)=27.*D1

```

```

SUX(7)=27.*D2
SUY(7)=27.*D3
FORM MATRIX BE(8,35)
DO 103 I=1,35
DO 103 J=1,3
03 BE(J,I)=.
DO 104 I=1,3
J=2*I-2
BE(1,J)=SXX(I)
BE(2,J)=SYY(I)
BE(3,J)=SXY(I)
BE(1,J+1)=SXX(I)
BE(2,J+1)=SYY(I)
BE(3,J+1)=SXY(I)
BE(1,J+2)=SXX(I)
BE(2,J+2)=SYY(I)
04 BE(3,J+2)=SXY(I)
DO 105 I=1,6
K1=8
IF(I.GT.3)K1=14
J=K1+2*I
BE(1,J)=-SF(I)
BE(3,J)=-SF(I)
BE(4,J)=SF(I)
BE(2,J+1)=-SF(I)
BE(3,J+1)=-SF(I)
105 BE(5,J+1)=SF(I)
DO 106 I=1,7
K1=14
IF(I.GT.3)K1=20
J=K1+2*I
BE(6,J)=SUX(I)
BE(8,J)=SUY(I)
BE(7,J+1)=SUY(I)
106 BE(9,J+1)=SUX(I)
CALL TIMES(D,BE,S,8,8,35,1)
CALL TIMES(BE,S,SM,35,8,35,2)
FORM MATRIX EN SHAPE FUNCTIONS
DO 108 J=1,35
DO 108 I=1,7
108 EN(I,J)=.
DO 109 I=1,3
J=3*I-2
EN(1,J)=SW(I)
EN(2,J)=SMX(I)
EN(3,J)=SMY(I)
EN(1,J+1)=SX(I)
EN(2,J+1)=SXX(I)
EN(3,J+1)=SXY(I)
EN(1,J+2)=SY(I)
EN(2,J+2)=SYX(I)
109 EN(3,J+2)=SYY(I)
DO 110 I=1,6
K1=8
IF(I.GT.3)K1=14
J=K1+2*I
EN(4,J)=SF(I)
110 EN(5,J+1)=SF(I)
DO 111 I=1,7
K1=14

```

```

IF(I.GT.3)K1=20
J=K1+2*I
EM(6,J)=SH(I)
111 FM(7,J+1)=SH(I)
CALL TIMES(FM,P,FMP,25,7,1,2)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SUBTI
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/STI/X(3,2),ELMOD(12),SMK(36,36),TIK(3,26),PINK(36),
1IMFD(20)
COMMON/JCN/SM(35,35),S(8,35),D(8,8),P(7),ENP(25,1)
COMMON/PAT/VAV(3,3),XL(3),YL(3)
DIMENSION A(3),B(3),C(3),J(7),APCO(2,7),SMK1(35,35),FNK(35)
DO 100 J=1,8
DO 100 I=1,8
00 D(I,J)=0.
D(1,1)=ELMOD(1)
D(1,2)=ELMOD(2)
D(2,2)=ELMOD(3)
D(3,3)=ELMOD(4)/2.
D(4,4)=ELMOD(5)
D(5,5)=ELMOD(6)
D(6,6)=ELMOD(7)
D(6,7)=ELMOD(8)
D(7,7)=ELMOD(11)
D(8,8)=ELMOD(11)
DO 101 J=1,8
DO 1 1 1 I=1,8
01 D(I,J)=D(J,I)
D(7,6)=ELMOD(9)
IF(ELMOD(12).NE.0.) D(2,1)=ELMOD(12)
DIMENSION PG(7),PI(7),VAV1(7,7),TOT(35,35),S1(8,35)
PG(7) THE GLOBAL DISTRIBUTED LOAD
DO 111 I=1,7
11 PG(I)=0.
PG(1)=X(1,5)
PG(2)=X(2,5)
PG(3)=X(3,5)
DO 112 J=1,7
DO 112 I=1,7
12 VAV1(I,J)=0.
DO 113 J=1,3
DO 113 I=1,3
13 VAV1(I,J)=VAV(I,J)
DO 114 K=3,5,2
DO 114 I=1,2
DO 114 J=1,2
14 VAV1(I+K,J+K)=VAV(I,J)
CALL TIMES(VAV1,PG,PI,7,7,1,1)
DO 115 I=1,5
15 P(I)=PI(I+2)
P(6)=PI(1)
P(7)=PI(2)
DATA ALF/0.3333333300/,ALF1/0.0597158700/,BET1/0.4701420600/,
1ALF2/ .797426990 /,BET2/ .1 1286510 /,WF1/ .2250 /,WF2/ .13230
2415000/,WF3/0.12593918000/,ALFA1/0.5000/
APCO(1,1)=ALF
APCO(2,1)=ALF
APCO(1,2)=ALF1

```

```

APCO(2,2)=RFT1
APCO(1,3)=RFT1
APCO(2,3)=ALF1
APCO(1,4)=RFT1
APCO(2,4)=RFT1
APCO(1,5)=ALF2
APCO(2,5)=RFT2
APCO(1,6)=RFT2
APCO(2,6)=ALF2
APCO(1,7)=RFT2
APCO(2,7)=RFT2
W(1)=WF1
W(2)=WF2
W(3)=WF2
W(4)=WF2
W(5)=WF3
W(6)=WF3
W(7)=WF3
DO 102 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
P(I)=YI(K)-YI(J)
L02 C(I)=XI(J)-XI(K)
DT=B(1)*G(2)-B(2)*C(1)
DO 103 I=1,35
L03 ENK(I)=J.
DO 121 I=1,35
DO 121 J=1,35
L121 SMK1(J,I)=.
DO 122 K=1,7
A(1)=APCO(1,K)
A(2)=APCO(2,K)
A(3)=1.-A(1)-A(2)
CALL SHAP(A,B,G,DT,2)
IF(K.NE.1) GO TO 502
DO 501 I=1,35
DO 501 J=1,8
5 1 S1(J,I)=S(J,I)
502 CONTINUE
DO 1 4 I=1,35
L04 ENK(I)=ENK(I)+DT/2.*W(K)*ENP(I,1)
DO 122 I=1,35
DO 122 J=1,35
L22 SMK1(J,I)=SMK1(J,I)+DT/2.*W(K)*SM(J,I)
LOCAL RECLASSIFICATION OF THE DECREES OF FREEDOM
DO 1 5 J=1,35
DO 105 I=1,35
L05 TOT(I,J)=0.
DO 106 K1=1,7,2
K2=7*(K1+2)/3-4
TOT(K1,K2)=1.
DO 106 K3=1,2,2
TOT(K1+1,K2+K3)=1.
L06 TOT(K1+2,K2+K3+1)=1.
DO 107 K1=6,20,7
K2=2*(K1+1)/7+8
DO 1 7 K3=1,6,5
K4=6*(K3-1)/5

```

```

TOT(K2+K4,K1-K3+1)=1.
07 TOT(K2+K4+1,K1-K3+2)=1.
DO 108 K1=22,30,4
K2=K1/2+11
DO 108 K3=1,3,2
K4=6*(K3-1)/2
TOT(K2+K4,K1+K3-1)=1.
08 TOT(K2+K4+1,K1+K3)=1.
TOT(34,34)=1.
TOT(35,35)=1.
CALL TIMES(SMK1,TOT,SM,35,35,35,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,SM,SMK1,35,35,35,2)
CALL TIMES(SL,TOT,S,3,35,35,1)
CALL TIMES(TOT,FNK,FNP,35,35,1,2)
DO 109 I=1,35
09 PINK(I)=ENP(I,1)
DO 110 I=1,35
DO 110 J=1,9
10 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
DO 124 I=1,35
DO 124 J=1,35
24 SMK(J,I)=SMK1(J,I)
CALL CONDNS(35)
CALL CONDNS(34)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANS(G1,R1,C1)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/PAT/TPMA(3,3),XG(3),YG(3)
DIMENSION B1(3),G1(3),C1(3)
FORM THE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX LOCAL=(TRMA)*GLOBAL
DO 10 J=1,3
DO 10 I=1,3
10 TPMA(I,J)=.
AA=R1(2)*C1(3)-B1(3)*C1(2)
AB=G1(3)*C1(2)-G1(2)*C1(3)
AC=R1(3)*G1(2)-G1(3)*R1(2)
IF((AA.GT.-.1D-12.AND.AA.LT.0.1D-12).AND.(AB.GT.-.1D-12.AND.AB.LT.
1 .1D-12))GO TO 2
AD=DSQRT(AA**2+AB**2+AC**2)
AE=DSQRT(AA**2+AB**2)
TPMA(3,1)=AA/AD
TPMA(3,2)=AB/AD
TPMA(3,3)=AC/AD
TPMA(1,1)=-AB/AE
TPMA(1,2)=AA/AE
TPMA(2,1)=-AA*AC/(AE*AD)
TPMA(2,2)=-AB*AC/(AE*AD)
TPMA(2,3)=(AA**2+AB**2)/(AE*AD)
RETURN
20 DO 30 I=1,3
30 TPMA(I,I)=1.
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,Z,K,TRL)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/PAT/TRG(3,3),XG(3),YG(3)
DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),Z(16,2),TPL(3,3),TR(3,3),X2(3),Y2(3),Z2(
13)
DO 100 J=1,2

```

```

X2(J)=X(K,J)
Y2(J)=Y(K,J)
Z2(J)=Z(K,J)
C2=Y2(2)-X2(1)
P2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
C2=Z2(2)-Z2(1)
GLEFN2=DSQRT(C2**2+P2**2+C2**2)
IF(GLEFN2.LT. .1D-12)WRITE(6,7)
TR(1,1)=C2/GLEFN2
TR(2,1)=P2/GLEFN2
TR(3,1)=C2/GLEFN2
TR(1,2)=TRG(3,2)*TR(3,1)-TRG(3,3)*TR(2,1)
TR(2,2)=TRG(3,3)*TR(1,1)-TRG(3,1)*TR(3,1)
TR(3,2)=TRG(3,1)*TR(2,1)-TRG(3,2)*TR(1,1)
TR(1,3)=TRG(3,1)
TR(2,3)=TRG(3,2)
TR(3,3)=TRG(3,3)
CALL TIMES(TRG,TR,TR,3,3,3,1)
FORMAT(1 X,' **** TRFR GLEFN2 ****',/)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE CONDENS(K)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
CONDENSES OUT THE K TH. DEGREE OF FREEDOM
DIMENSION STI/Y(3,2),YOUNG(12),SIV(36,36),TIM(8,36),VIM(36),INFO(20)
DIMENSION B(36)
A=1./SIV(K,K)
DO 40 I=1,35
40 F(I)=SIV(K,I)
DO 41 J=1,35
DO 41 I=1,35
41 SIM(I,J)=SIV(I,J)-B(I)*B(J)*A
DO 42 I=1,8
D=TIM(I,K)
DO 42 J=1,35
42 TIF(I,J)=TIM(I,J)-B(J)*A*D
D=VIM(K)
DO 43 I=1,35
43 VIM(I)=VIM(I)-B(I)*D*A
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,R,M,M,I,KOK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),B(1),R(1)
KOK=1 A(N,M),P(M,L),R(N,L) REGULAR A*B
KOK=2 A(M,M),R(M,I),R(M,I) TRANSPOSE A'*B
IR=1
DO 100 K=1,I
DO 100 J=1,M
P(IR)=0.
GO TO(101,102),KOK
1 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,M
IA=N*(I-1)+1
IR=M*(K-1)+1
103 R(IR)=F(IR)+A(IA)*B(IR)
GO TO 100
102 CONTINUE
DO 104 J=1,M
IA=M*(J-1)+1

```

```
104  IB=M*(K-1)+I  
1    R(IP)=P(IR)+A(A)*B(IR)  
    IR=IR+1  
    RETURN  
END
```

3. Reference symbol DMX36

10 P=100 PRDUTE=700# COPIES=4  
E = UNIVERSITY, PATCH  
M BAS: 13:45:12  
SIGNED ON AT 15:51:57 ON MON SEP 22/75  
\*PRINT\*

\*\*\*\*\* DONE MIXED ELEMENT 36 DO. OF FREEDOM AT 6 NODES \*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\* QUADRATIC VARIATION OF U,V,W,MXX,MYX,MYX \*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\* TRANSFORMATION TO GLOBAL SET OF U,V,W, AND MNW,MSS,MNS\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\* STRESSES AT 1ST VERTIX QX1,QY1, 2ND VERTIX QX2,QY2 \*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\* STRESSES AT CENTROID MXX,MYX,MYX \*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\* MODULI OF ELASTISITY THROUGH YOUNG(12) \*\*\*\*\*

SUBROUTINE TRANS(C1,R1,C1)

IMPLICIT REAL\*8 (A-H,O-Z)

COMMON/COORD/TRDF(3,3),XC(2),YC(3),TRM(3,3)

DIMENSION B1(3),G1(3),C1(3),CM(3,3)

TRDF TRANSFORMATION MATRIX FOR THE DEFLECTION LOCAL=TRDF\*GLOBAL

TRM TRANSFORMATION MATRIX FOR THE MOMENTS LOCAL=TRM\*GLOBAL

DO 10 I=1,3

DO 10 J=1,3

TRM(J,I)=0.

10 TRDF(J,I)=0.

AA=B1(2)\*C1(3)-B1(3)\*C1(2)

AB=C1(3)\*C1(2)-G1(2)\*C1(3)

AC=B1(3)\*C1(2)-G1(3)\*B1(2)

IF((AA.GT.-.10-12.AND.AA.LT.0.10-12).AND.(AB.GT.-.10-12.AND.AB.LT.

10.10-12)) GO TO 20

AD=DSQRT(AA\*\*2+AB\*\*2+AC\*\*2)

AE=DSQRT(AA\*\*2+AB\*\*2)

TRDF(3,1)=AA/AD

TRDF(3,2)=AB/AD

TRDF(3,3)=AC/AD

TRDF(1,1)=-AB/AE

TRDF(1,2)=AA/AE

TRDF(2,1)=-AA\*AC/(AE\*AD)

TRDF(2,2)=-AB\*AC/(AE\*AD)

TRDF(2,3)=(AA\*\*2+AB\*\*2)/(AE\*AD)

GO TO 40

20 DO 30 I=1,3

30 TRDF(I,I)=1.

40 A2=TRDF(1,1)

A1=DSIN(DACOS(A2))

A3=TRDF(3,3)

TRM(1,1)=A1\*\*2

TRM(1,2)=A2\*\*2\*A3

TRM(1,3)=2.\*A1\*A2\*A3

TRM(2,1)=A2\*\*2

TRM(2,2)=A1\*\*2\*A3

TRM(2,3)=-2.\*A1\*A2\*A3

TRM(3,1)=-A1\*A2

TRM(3,2)=A1\*A2\*A3

TRM(3,3)=(A1\*\*2-A2\*\*2)\*A3

RETURN

END

SUBROUTINE TRANI(X,Y,Z,K,TRM)

IMPLICIT REAL\*8 (A-H,O-Z)

COMMON/COORD/TRG(3,3),XG(3),YG(3),CGM(3,3)

DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),Z(16,2),TRL(3,3),TR(3,3),TRM(3,3)

1,X2(3),Y2(3),Z2(3)

DO 100 J=1,2

X2(J)=X(K,J)

```

Y2(J)=V(K,J)
100 Z2(J)=7(K,J)
C2=X2(2)-X2(1)
F2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
G2=Z2(2)-Z2(1)
GLEN2=DSQRT(G2**2+B2**2+C2**2)
IF(GLEN2.LT.7.1E-12) WRITE(6,700)
TR(1,1)=G2/GLEN2
TR(2,1)=B2/GLEN2
TR(3,1)=C2/GLEN2
TR(1,2)=TRG(3,2)*TR(3,1)-TRG(3,3)*TR(2,1)
TR(2,2)=TRG(3,3)*TR(1,1)-TRG(3,1)*TR(3,1)
TR(3,2)=TRG(3,1)*TR(2,1)-TRG(3,2)*TR(1,1)
TR(1,3)=TRG(3,1)
TR(2,3)=TRG(3,2)
TR(3,3)=TRG(3,3)
CALL TIMES(TRG,TR,TRL,3,3,3,1)
700 FCFMAT(11Y,'      ***  FREE  TRANI  ***      ',/)
A2=TRL(1,1)
A1=DSIN(DAFCOS(A2))
A3=TRL(3,3)
TRM(1,1)=A1**2
TRM(1,2)=A2**2*A3
TRM(1,3)=2.*A1*A2*A3
TRM(2,1)=A2**2
TRM(2,2)=A1**2*A3
TRM(2,3)=-2.*A1*A2*A3
TRM(3,1)=-A1*A2
TRM(3,2)=A1*A2*A3
TRM(3,3)=(A1**2-A2**2)*A3
RETURN
END
*** MIXED MODEL 24 DEGREES OF FREEDOM W, MX, MY, MXY, 6 NODES**
***** STRESSES QX, QY AT FIRST AND SECOND NODES*****
***** TRANSFORMATION TO W, MXX, MYY, MXY*****
** QUADRATIC VARIATION OF ALL THE FUNCTIONS W, MXX, MYY, MXY **
SUBROUTINE STIFF
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/STI/X(3,2J),YOUNG(L2),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),
1IMFO(2)
COMMON/MAN/BOI(2,36,36),COI(2,8,36),DOI(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM
COMMON/COOR/CO2(3,3),XO(3),YO(3),COI(3,3)
DIMENSION TRAN(36,36),SMK(36,36),STR(8,36),DAGL(36),MOI(12),
1DANG(36),XM(16,2),YM(16,2),ZM(16,2),X1(3),Y1(3),Z1(3),CO3(3,3)
2,G1(3),P1(3),C1(3),CO1(3,3),CO4(3,3)
DATA MDL/1,2,7,8,13,14,19,21,25,26,31,32/
DO 100 I=1,3
X1(I)=X(1,I)
Z1(I)=X(3,I)
100 Y1(I)=X(2,I)
DO 101 I=1,36
DO 101 J=1,36
101 STUCK(J,I)=0.
DO 102 I=1,36
DO 102 J=1,8
102 STICK(J,I)=0.
DO 103 I=1,36
CACC(I)=0.
103 FORCE(I)=0.
IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517

```

```

DO 516 M=1,NGRAM
DO 516 I=1,2
XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)
ZM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+4)
516 YN(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)
517 CONTINUE
DO 203 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
G1(I)=X1(J)-X1(K)
P1(I)=Y1(J)-Y1(K)
203 C1(I)=Z1(J)-Z1(K)
CALL TRANS(G1,P1,C1)
DO 204 I=1,3
DO 204 J=1,3
204 C04(J,I)=X(J,I)
CALL TIMES(C02,C04,C01,3,3,3,1)
DO 205 J=1,3
X0(J)=C01(1,J)
205 Y0(J)=C01(2,J)
CMLD=0.
DO 432 I=1,3
DO 432 J=6,11
432 IF(X(I,J).NE.0.) CMLD=1.
IF(CMLD.NE.1.)GO TO 437
DO 433 I=6,11
J=6*(I-6)+1
DACC(J)=X(1,I)
DACC(J+1)=X(2,I)
433 DACC(J+2)=X(3,I)
DO 434 I=1,36
DO 435 J=1,36
435 TRAN(J,I)=0.
434 TRAN(1,I)=1.
DO 436 K=1,36,6
DO 436 I=1,3
DO 436 J=1,3
436 TRAN(K+J-1,K+I-1)=C02(J,I)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,DACC,DACL,36,36,1,1)
437 CONTINUE
NLAK=INFC(1)
METRO=
IF(NLAK.EQ.-1.OR.NLAK.EQ.-2) GO TO 840
IF(NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2)GO TO 104
CALL SUBT1
IF(NLAK.EQ.0)GO TO 105
NLAK=NLAK-1
DO 106 I=1,36
DO 106 J=1,36
106 B01(NLAK,J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 113 I=1,36
DO 113 J=1,0
113 C01(NLAK,J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 108 I=1,36
108 D01(NLAK,I)=FORCE(I)
GO TO 105
840 NLAK=-NLAK
METRO=-1

```

```

104 DO 109 I=1,36
      DO 109 J=1,36
109 STICK(J,I)=BCI(NLAK,J,I)
      DO 214 I=1,36
      DO 214 J=1,8
214 STICK(J,I)=CCI(NLAK,J,I)
      DO 111 I=1,36
111 FORCE(I)=DOL(NLAK,I)
      IF(METFO.NE.-1) GO TO 105
      DO 841 I=1,36
      DO 841 J=1,8
      E1=1.
      DO 842 II=1,12
842 IF(U.EC.MOI(II)) E1=-1.
841 STICK(J,I)=STICK(J,I)*E1
115 CONTINUE
      DO 438 I=1,36
438 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+FACI(I)
      NLIK=INFC(2)
      IF(NLIK.EQ.0.OR.NLIK.EQ.99) GO TO 115
      DO 115 I=1,NLIK
      K=(I-1)*2+2
      I=INFC(K)
      K1=INFC(K+1)
      DO 583 II=1,36
      DO 583 JJ=1,36
584 TRAN(IJ,II)=0.
583 TRAN(II,II)=1.
      KK=(I-1)*6
      DO 219 IL=1,3
      DO 219 JL=1,3
219 TRAN(KK+IL,KK+JL)=CC2(II,JJ)
      IF(K1.EQ.-1) GO TO 212
      IF(K1.EQ.0) GO TO 215
      CALL TRANL(XM,YM,ZM,K1,C13)
      DO 222 IL=1,3
      DO 222 JL=1,3
222 TRAN(KK+IL+3,KK+JL+3)=CC3(II,JJ)
      GO TO 212
215 DO 216 IL=1,3
      DO 216 JL=1,3
216 TRAN(KK+IL+3,KK+JL+3)=CCM(IL,JJ)
212 CONTINUE
      CALL TIMES(STICK,TRAN,SMK,36,36,36,1)
      CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK,STICK,36,36,36,2)
      CALL TIMES(STICK,TRAN,STR,8,36,36,1)
      CALL TIMES(TRAN,FORCE,CAOG,36,36,1,2)
      DO 232 II=1,36
      DO 232 JL=1,8
232 STICK(JL,II)=STR(JL,II)
      DO 233 IL=1,36
233 FORCE(IL)=CAOG(IL)
115 CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE SUBTI
      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
      COMMON/STI/X(3,20),Y0(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36),
1 INFC(2)
      COMMON/TREA/R(3),G(3),EI(3),DT

```

```

COEFON/COLOP/EXM(18,18),FMO(18,18),STMM(18,6),STP(8,36)
1,STUV(12,12)
COEFON/COCP/CO2(3,2),XL(3),YL(3),COM(3,3)
DIMENSION STM(18,18),TT(36,36),M(36),SIM(36,36),CF(36,36),PG(3),
1FI(3)
DO 100 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
E(I)=YL(K)-YL(J)
G(I)=XL(J)-XL(K)
100 EL(I)=E(I)**2+G(I)**2
DT=F(1)*G(2)-F(2)*G(1)
DO 111 I=1,36
DO 111 J=1,8
111 STR(J,I)=.
CALL INTSU
DO 101 I=1,18
DO 1 1 J=1,18
101 STM(J,I)=FMM(J,I)+FMO(J,I)
DO 102 I=1,36
DO 102 J=1,36
TT(J,I)=0.
1 2 SIM(J,I)=.
DO 103 I=1,6
DO 103 J=1,18
1 3 SIM(J+6,I)=STM(J,I)
DO 104 I=1,18
DO 104 J=1,6
104 SIM(J,I+6)=STM(I,J)
DO 105 I=1,18
DO 1 5 J=1,18
105 SIM(J+6,I+6)=-STM(J,I)
DO 200 I=1,12
DO 200 J=1,12
200 SIM(J+24,I+24)=STUV(J,I)
DATA *1/25,26,1,7,8,9,27,28,2,10,11,12,29,30,3,13,14,15,31,32,4
1,16,17,18,33,34,5,19,20,21,35,36,6,22,23,24/
DO 107 I=1,36
1 7 TT(M1(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(SIM,TT,CF,36,36,36,1)
CALL TIMES(TT,CF,STUCK,36,36,36,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TT,STICK,3,36,36,1)
PG(1)=X(1,5)
PG(2)=X(2,5)
PG(3)=X(3,5)
CALL TIMES(CO2,PG,PL,3,3,1,1)
FORCF(19)=PL(1)*DT/6.
FORCF(20)=PL(2)*DT/6.
FORCF(21)=PL(3)*DT/6.
FORCF(25)=PL(1)*DT/6.
FORCF(26)=PL(2)*DT/6.
FORCF(27)=PL(3)*DT/6.
FORCF(31)=PL(1)*DT/6.
FORCF(32)=PL(2)*DT/6.
FORCF(33)=PL(2)*DT/6.
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE INTSU

```

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
D21=YOUNG(12)
COMMON/TRGA/R(3),G(3),FL(3),DT
COMMON/CLCFP/FM(18,18),FMQ(18,18),EMW(18,6),STR(8,36),STUM(12,12)
COMMON/STI/X(3,2),YOUNG(12),ST(36,36),SF(8,36),FCP(36),INFD(20)
DIMENSION A1(7),A2(7),A3(7),W(7),FEB(2,2),FES(2,2),A(3),
1EN(6),FE(6),FF(6),FMQ(2,18),FMW2(2,6),FMM1(2,18)
2,EMW1(18,6),FMP1(3,18),FMW2(18,18),FMQ1(2,18),FMQ2(18,18)
3,SUV(3,12),BUV(3,12),D(3,3),FUV(12,12)
DATA A1/0.333333333D 00,0.05971587D 00,2*0.4714226D 00,3.79742795D
1,2*.1128651D 00,AS/.33333333D 00,2*.4714226D 00,3.597158
27D 00,2*0.10128651D 00,0.79742795D 00/,B/0.22500000D 00,3*0.132424
315D 00,3*.12593918D 00/
D11=YOUNG(1)
D12=YOUNG(2)
D22=YOUNG(3)
L33=YOUNG(4)/2.
D44=YOUNG(5)
D55=YOUNG(6)
D21=D12
DODC=YOUNG(12)
IF(DODC.NE.0)D21=DODC
D66=YOUNG(7)
D67=YOUNG(8)
D76=YOUNG(9)
D77=YOUNG(10)
D88=YOUNG(11)
DO 100 I=1,3
DO 100 J=1,3
1. FFB(J,I)=0.
DO 101 I=1,2
DO 101 J=1,2
1 1 FFS(J,I)=0.
DO 200 I=1,3
DO 2 J=1,3
200 C(J,I)=0.
DCT=D11*D22-D12*D21
IF(DCT.EQ.0)WRITE(6,7)
700 FORMAT(/,' ***** ERROR ***** ELASTICITY MATRIX ',/)
FFP(1,1)=D22/DCT
FFP(1,2)=-D12/DCT
FFP(2,1)=-D21/DCT
FFP(2,2)=D11/DCT
FFP(3,3)=1./D33
FFS(1,1)=1./D44
FFS(2,2)=1./D55
C(1,1)=D66
C(1,2)=D67
C(2,1)=D76
C(2,2)=D77
D(3,3)=D88
DO 107 I=1,18
DO 107 J=1,18
EMM(J,I)=0.
107 FMQ(J,I)=0.
DO 108 I=1,6
DO 108 J=1,18
108 EMW(J,I)=0.
DO 208 I=1,12
DO 208 J=1,12

```

208 STUV(J,I)=0.

INTEGRATION

DO 102 K=1,9

IF(K.EQ.2) A(1)=1.

IF(K.EQ.8) A(2)=0.

IF(K.EQ.3) A(3)=0.

IF(K.EQ.7) A(1)=.

IF(K.EQ.6) A(2)=1.

IF(K.EQ.9) A(3)=0.

IF(K.GT.7)GO TO 112

A2(K)=1.-A1(K)-A3(K)

A(1)=A1(K)

A(2)=A2(K)

A(3)=A3(K)

112 CONTINUE

EM(1)=(2.\*A(1)-1.)\*A(1)

EM(2)=(2.\*A(2)-1.)\*A(2)

EM(3)=(2.\*A(3)-1.)\*A(3)

EM(4)=4.\*A(2)\*A(3)

EM(5)=4.\*A(1)\*A(2)

EM(6)=4.\*A(1)\*A(2)

EF(1)=EM(1)\*(4.\*A(1)-1.)/DT

EF(2)=EM(2)\*(4.\*A(2)-1.)/DT

EF(3)=EM(3)\*(4.\*A(3)-1.)/DT

EF(4)=4.\*(EM(2)\*A(3)+EM(3)\*A(2))/DT

EF(5)=4.\*(EM(1)\*A(3)+EM(3)\*A(1))/DT

EF(6)=4.\*(EM(1)\*A(2)+EM(2)\*A(1))/DT

EF(1)=G(1)\*(4.\*A(1)-1.)/DT

EF(2)=G(2)\*(4.\*A(2)-1.)/DT

EF(3)=G(3)\*(4.\*A(3)-1.)/DT

EF(4)=4.\*(G(2)\*A(3)+G(3)\*A(2))/DT

EF(5)=4.\*(G(1)\*A(3)+G(3)\*A(1))/DT

EF(6)=4.\*(G(1)\*A(2)+G(2)\*A(1))/DT

DO 103 I=1,18

DO 103 J=1,5

1 3 ENM1(J,I)=.

DO 303 I=1,18

DO 303 J=1,2

303 ENM1(J,I)=0.

DO 203 I=1,12

DO 2 3 J=1,3

203 BUV(J,I)=0.

DO 205 J=1,12,2

M=(J+1)/2

BUV(1,J)=EF(M)

BUV(2,J+1)=EF(M)

BUV(3,J)=EF(M)

205 BUV(3,J+1)=EF(M)

CALL TIMES(D,BUV,SUV,3,3,12,1)

CALL TIMES(BUV,SUV,EUV,12,3,12,2)

DO 104 J=1,6

DO 1 4 I=1,3

M=3\*J+I-3

104 ENM1(1,M)=EM(J)

DO 105 J=1,13,3

M=(J+2)/3

ENM1(1,J)=EF(M)

ENM1(1,J+2)=EF(M)

ENM1(2,J+1)=EF(M)

1 5 ENM1(2,J+2)=EF(M)

```

IF(K.GT.7)GO TO 115
DO 106 J=1,6
ENL2(1,J)=EM2(J)
106 ENL2(2,J)=EM2(J)
CALL TIMES(ENM1,ENL2,EMW1,18,2,6,2)
CALL TIMES(ENM2,ENM3,EMW1,3,3,18,1)
CALL TIMES(ENM4,EMM1,EMW2,18,3,18,2)
CALL TIMES(ENM5,ENM1,EMW1,2,2,18,1)
CALL TIMES(ENM1,EMW1,EMW2,18,2,18,2)
DO 109 I=1,18
DO 109 J=1,13
EMW(J,I)=EMW(J,I)+E(K)*ENM2(I,I)*DT/2.
109 EMW(J,I)=EMW(J,I)+E(K)*ENM2(J,I)*DT/2.
DO 110 I=1,6
DO 11 J=1,18
110 EMW(J,I)=EMW(J,I)+E(K)*EMW1(J,I)*DT/2.
DO 210 I=1,12
DO 21 J=1,12
210 STUV(J,I)=STUV(J,I)+E(K)*EMW(J,I)*DT/2.
113 CONTINUE
IF(K.NF.1)GO TO 224
DO 225 I=1,12
DO 225 J=1,3
225 STR(J+4,I+24)=SUV(J,I)
224 CONTINUE
IF(K.IT.8) GO TO 112
L=0
IF(K.FC.9) L=2
DO 114 I=1,18
DO 114 J=1,2
114 STR(L+J,I+6)=EMW1(J,I)
102 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,K,M,N,L,KOK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),B(1),R(1)
KOK=1 A(N,M), B(M,L), R(N,L) REGULAR A*B
KOK=2 A(M,N), B(M,L), R(N,L) TRANSPOSE A*B
IF=1
DO 100 K=1,I
DO 100 J=1,N
F(IR)=0.
GO TO(101,102),KOK
101 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=1,M
IA=M*(I-1)+J
IB=M*(K-1)+I
103 F(IR)=R(IR)+A(IA)*B(IB)
GO TO 1
102 CONTINUE
DO 104 I=1,M
IA=M*(J-1)+I
IB=M*(K-1)+I
104 F(IR)=R(IR)+A(IA)*B(IB)
100 IR=IR+1
RETURN
END

```

4. Reference symbol DR030

1) P=100 PRORATE=DUPE COPIES=4  
 E = UNIVERSITY, MATCH  
 V WAS: 15:51:57  
 SIGNED ON AT 15:52:26 ON MON SEP 22/75  
 \*PRINT\*

\*\*\* DEFINE ROTATION ELEMENT WITH 30 DEG. OF FR. AT 6 NODES U,V,W,PX,RY\*\*\*  
 \*\*\* CUBIC VARIATION FOR THE DIS. B QUADRATIC FOR THE ROTATIONS \*\*\*  
 \*\*\* 5 STRESSES AT THE CENTROID MXX,MYY,MXY,OX,CY \*\*\*  
 \*\*\* TRANSFORMATION TO EN DS \*\*\*  
 \*\*\* ELASTICITY MODULI VIA YOUNG(12) \*\*\*

```

SUBROUTINE STIFF
  IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-F)
  COMMON/STI/X(3,20),YOUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(8,36),FORCE(36)
  1,INFC(20)
  COMMON/MAN/RO(2,36,36),COL(2,8,36),COL(2,36),GRAM(16,16),NGRAM
  COMMON/COORD/CO2(3,3),X0(3),Y0(3)
  DIMENSION TRAN(30,30),SMK(3,30),SMK1(30,30),STR(8,30),TIM(8,30),
  1,ANG(30),X1(16,2),Y1(16,2),CO3(2,2),DACL(30),MCL(6),FOR(30)
  2,Z1(16,2),C1(3),R1(3),C1(3),X1(3),Y1(3),Z1(3),CO4(3,3),CO1(3,3)
  DATA MCL/3,3,13,18,23,28/
  DO 100 I=1,3
    X1(I)=X(1,I)
    Z1(I)=X(3,I)
  100 Y1(I)=X(2,I)
  DO 101 I=1,36
    DO 1 1 J=1,36
  101 STUCK(J,I)=0.
  DO 102 I=1,36
    DO 102 J=1,8
  102 STICK(J,I)=0.
  DO 1 3 I=1,36
  103 FORCE(I)=0.
  DO 460 I=1,30
    DACL(I)=0.
  460 ANG(I)=0.
  IF(NGRAM.EQ.0) GO TO 517
  DO 516 M=1,NGRAM
    DO 516 I=1,2
    XM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I)
    ZM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+4)
  516 YM(M,I)=GRAM(M,I+2)
  517 CONTINUE
  DO 400 I=1,3
    K=I+1
    J=I+2
    IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
    IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
    G1(I)=X1(J)-X1(K)
    R1(I)=Y1(J)-Y1(K)
  4 C1(I)=Z1(J)-Z1(K)
    CALL TRANS(C1,R1,C1)
    DO 401 I=1,3
      DO 4 1 J=1,3
  401 CO4(I,J)=X(I,J)
    CALL TIMES(CO2,CO4,CO1,3,3,3,1)
    DO 4 2 J=1,3
    X0(J)=CO1(1,J)
  402 Y0(J)=CO1(2,J)
    CNLD=0.
    DO 432 I=1,3
  
```

```

DO 432 J=4,8
432 IF (X(1, J).NE.0.) CNLD=1.
   IF (CNLD.NE.1.) GO TO 437
   DO 433 II=1,30
   DO 434 IJ=1,30
684 TRAN(IJ,II)=0.
683 TRAN(II,IJ)=1.
   DO 433 I=6,8
   J=5*(I-6)+1
   DAQG(J)=X(1, I)
   [AQG(J+1)=X(2, I)
   DAQG(J+2)=X(3, I)
433 CONTINUE
   DO 435 K=1,30,5
   DO 436 I=1,3
   DO 436 J=1,2
436 TRAN(K+J-1,K+I-1)=CF2(J, I)
   CALL TIMES(TRAN, DAQG, DAQG, 30, 30, 1, 1)
437 CONTINUE
   NLAK=INFG(1)
   METRO=0
   IF (NLAK.EQ.-1.OR.NLAK.EQ.-2) GO TO 840
   IF (NLAK.EQ.1.OR.NLAK.EQ.2) GO TO 104
   CALL SUBTI
   IF (NLAK.EQ.0) GO TO 105
   NLAK=NLAK-10
   DO 106 I=1,30
   DO 106 J=1,3
106 BQL(NLAK, J, I)=STUCK(J, I)
   DO 113 I=1,30
   DO 113 J=1,2
113 CQL(NLAK, J, I)=STICK(J, I)
   DO 108 I=1,30
108 DQL(NLAK, I)=FORCE(I)
   GO TO 105
840 NLAK=-NLAK
   METRO=-1
104 DO 109 I=1,30
   DO 109 J=1,30
109 STUCK(J, I)=BQL(NLAK, J, I)
   DO 214 I=1,30
   DO 214 J=1,8
214 STICK(J, I)=CQL(NLAK, J, I)
   DO 111 I=1,30
111 FORCE(I)=DQL(NLAK, I)
   IF (METRO.NE.-1) GO TO 105
   DO 861 I=1,30
   DO 861 J=1,30
   F1=0.
   IF (I.NE.J) GO TO 862
   E1=-1.
   DO 863 II=1,6
   IF (I.EQ.MCL(II)) F1=1.
863 CONTINUE
862 TRAN(J, I)=E1
861 CONTINUE
   DO 1 I=1,30
   DO 1 J=1,30
1 SAK1(J, I)=STUCK(J, I)
   DO 2 I=1,30

```

```

DO 2 J=1,8
2 TIK(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 3 I=1,30
3 DACC(I)=FORCE(I)
CALL TIMES(SMK1,TRAN,SMK,30,30,30,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK,SMK1,3,3,3,2)
CALL TIMES(TIK,TRAN,STR,8,30,30,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,DACL,DACC,30,30,1,2)
DO 4 I=1,3
DO 4 J=1,30
4 STUCK(J,I)=SMK1(J,I)
DO 5 I=1,3
DO 5 J=1,8
5 STICK(J,I)=STR(J,I)
DO 6 I=1,30
6 FORCE(I)=FOR(I)
105 CONTINUE
DO 438 I=1,30
438 FORCE(I)=FORCE(I)+DACL(I)
MLIK=INFC(2)
IF(MLIK.EQ.0.OR.MLIK.EQ.09) GO TO 112
DO 213 I=1,30
DO 213 J=1,3
213 SMK(J,I)=STUCK(J,I)
DO 114 I=1,30
DO 114 J=1,8
114 STR(J,I)=STICK(J,I)
DO 439 I=1,3
439 DACL(I)=FORCE(I)
DO 115 I=1,MLIK
K=(I-1)*2+3
L=INFC(K)
K1=INFC(K+1)
DO 583 II=1,30
DO 584 IJ=1,30
584 TRAN(IJ,II)=.
583 TRAN(II,IJ)=1.
KK=(L-1)*5
DO 588 IN=1,3
DO 588 JN=1,3
588 TRAN(KK+IN,KK+JN)=C02(IN,JN)
IF(K1.LT.0) GO TO 589
IF(K1.EQ. ) GO TO 59
CALL TRANI(XM,YM,K1,C03)
DO 587 IN=1,2
DO 587 II=1,2
587 TRAN(KK+IL+3,KK+IN+2)=C03(IL,IN)
GO TO 589
DO 591 IN=1,2
DO 591 JN=1,2
591 TRAN(KK+JN+3,KK+IN+3)=C02(JN,IN)
589 CONTINUE
CALL TIMES(SMK,TRAN,SMK1,30,30,30,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,SMK1,SMK,3,3,3,2)
CALL TIMES(STR,TRAN,TIK,8,30,30,1)
CALL TIMES(TRAN,DACL,DACC,30,30,1,2)
DO 116 NI=1,30
DO 116 NJ=1,8
116 STR(NJ,NI)=TIK(NJ,NI)
DO 440 II=1,30

```

```

440 FACL(I)=FACC(I)
115 CONTINUE
    DO 117 I=1,30
    DO 117 J=1,3)
117 STUCK(J,I)=SMK(J,I)
    DO 118 I=1,30
    DO 118 J=1,8
118 STICK(J,I)=STP(J,I)
    DO 441 I=1,30
441 FOPCC(I)=FACL(I)
112 CONTINUE
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE TRANL(X,Y,K1,TP1)
    IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
    DIMENSION X(16,2),Y(16,2),7(16,2),Z2(2),X2(2),Y2(2),TPL(2,2)
1,TR(3,3),TP1(3,3)
    COMMON/CCCP/TRG(3,3),X0(3),Y0(3)
    DO 515 J=1,2
    X2(J)=X(K1,J)
    Z2(J)=7(K1,J)
515 Y2(J)=Y(K1,J)
    G2=X2(2)-X2(1)
    R2=Y2(2)-Y2(1)
    C2=Z2(2)-Z2(1)
    GLEN2=DSQRT(G2**2+R2**2+C2**2)
    IF(GLEN2.LT. .1D-12.AND.GLEN2.GT.- .1D-12) MPITF(6,700)
    R2=R2/GLEN2
    C2=G2/GLEN2
    C2=C2/GLEN2
    TR(1,1)=G2
    TR(2,1)=R2
    TR(3,1)=C2
    TR(1,2)=TRG(3,2)*TR(3,1)-TRG(3,3)*TR(2,1)
    TR(2,2)=TRG(3,3)*TR(1,1)-TRG(3,1)*TR(3,1)
    TR(3,2)=TRG(3,1)*TR(2,1)-TRG(3,2)*TR(1,1)
    TR(1,3)=TRG(3,1)
    TR(2,3)=TRG(3,2)
    TR(3,3)=TRG(3,3)
    CALL TIMFS(TRG,TR,TR1,3,3,3,1)
    DO 10 I=1,2
    DO 10 J=1,2
10 TR1(J,I)=TR1(J,I)
700 FORMAT(' ***** [PRTR TRANL *****')
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE CMONS(K)
    IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
    COMMON/COM/ST(33,33),T(8,33)
    DIMENSION B(33)
    A=1./ST(K,K)
    DO 40 I=1,33
40 E(I)=ST(K,I)
    DO 41 J=1,33
    DO 41 I=1,33
41 ST(J,I)=ST(J,I)-B(I)*B(J)*A
    DO 42 I=1,8
    C=T(I,K)
    DO 42 J=1,33
42 T(I,J)=T(I,J)-B(J)*A*C

```

```

RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SHAP(A,P,G,DT)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(3),B(3),G(3),BE(8,33),SR(6),SPX(6),SPY(6),
1 SWX(7),SWY(7)
COMMON/JCN/SM(33,33),S(8,23),D(8,8)
DIM1=A(1)*A(2)*A(3)
DIM2=(F(1)*A(2)*A(3)+H(2)*A(1)*A(3)+R(3)*A(1)*A(2))/DT
DIM3=(C(1)*A(2)*A(3)+G(2)*A(1)*A(2)+G(3)*A(1)*A(2))/DT
DO 100 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
SR(I)=(2.*A(I)-1.)*A(I)
SR(I+3)=4.*A(K)*A(J)
SPX(I)=R(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT
SPX(I+3)=(R(K)*4.*A(J)+R(J)*4.*A(K))/DT
SPY(I)=G(I)*(4.*A(I)-1.)/DT
SPY(I+3)=(C(K)*4.*A(J)+C(J)*4.*A(K))/DT
SWX(I)=SRX(I)+3.*DIM2
SWX(I+3)=SPX(I+3)-12.*DIM2
SWY(I)=SPY(I)+3.*DIM3
SWY(I+3)=SPY(I+3)-12.*DIM3
100 CONTINUE
SWX(7)=27.*DIM2
SWY(7)=27.*DIM3
DO 101 I=1,33
DO 101 J=1,8
101 BE(J,I)=0.
DO 102 I=1,11,2
K=(I+1)/2
PF(1,I)=SRX(K)
BE(2,I+1)=SPY(K)
BE(3,I)=SPY(K)
BE(3,I+1)=SRX(K)
BE(4,I)=-SR(K)
BE(5,I+1)=-SR(K)
102 CONTINUE
DO 103 I=13,19
K=I-12
PF(4,I)=SWX(K)
103 BE(5,I)=SWY(K)
DO 104 I=20,33,2
K=(I-1P)/2
BE(6,I)=SWX(K)
BE(7,I+1)=SWY(K)
BE(8,I)=SWY(K)
104 BE(8,I+1)=SWX(K)
CALL TIMES(D,BE,S,8,8,33,1)
CALL TIMES(BE,S,SM,33,8,33,2)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SUBTI
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/STI/X(3,2),YCUNG(12),STUCK(36,36),STICK(P,36),FORCE(36)
1,INFO(20)
COMMON/JCN/SM(33,33),S(8,23),D(8,8)
COMMON/CDGR/CDZ(3,3),XD(3),YD(3)

```

```

COMMON/COM/ST(33,33),TIK(8,33)
DIMENSION A1(7),A2(7),A3(7),W(7),R(3),G(3),A(3),M1(33),T(33,33)
1,PG(3),PL(3)
DATA A1/0.333333330 00,0.059715870 00,2*0.470142060 00,0.797426990
1  ,2* .1 1286510  /,A3/ .333333330 0,2*0.470142060 00,0.0597158
270 00,2*0.101286510 00,0.797426990 00/,W/0.225000000 00,3*0.132384
3150 00,3*0.125939180 00/
DO 2 I=1,8
DO 200 J=1,8
200 C(J,I)=0.
C(1,1)=YOUNG(1)
C(1,2)=YOUNG(2)
C(2,1)=D(1,2)
C(2,2)=YOUNG(3)
C(3,3)=YOUNG(4)/2.
D(4,4)=YOUNG(5)
C(5,5)=YOUNG(6)
I(6,6)=YOUNG(7)
D(6,7)=YOUNG(3)
C(7,6)=YOUNG(9)
C(7,7)=YOUNG(10)
C(8,8)=YOUNG(11)
IF(YOUNG(12).NE.0.) C(2,1)=YOUNG(12)
DO 21 I=1,33
DO 210 J=1,33
210 ST(J,I)=0.
DO 211 I=1,3
K=I+1
J=I+2
IF(K.GT.3)K=K-3
IF(J.GT.3)J=J-3
P(I)=YC(K)-YC(J)
211 G(I)=XC(J)-XC(K)
GT=P(1)*G(2)-P(2)*G(1)
DO 212 K=1,7
A2(K)=1.-A1(K)-A3(K)
A(1)=A1(K)
A(2)=A2(K)
A(3)=A3(K)
CALL SHAP(A,B,C,DT)
IF(K.NE.1) GO TO 213
DO 214 I=1,33
DO 214 J=1,8
214 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
213 CONTINUE
DO 215 I=1,33
DO 215 J=1,33
215 ST(J,I)=ST(J,I)+W(K)*SM(J,I)*DT/2.
212 CONTINUE
DO 217 I=1,33
DO 217 J=1,33
217 T(J,I)=0.
DATA M1/20,21,13,1,2,22,23,14,3,4,24,25,15,5,6,26,27,16,7,8,
128,29,17,9,1 3 ,31,19,11,12,32,33,19/
DO 218 I=1,33
218 T(M1(I),I)=1.
CALL TIMES(ST,T,SM,33,33,33,1)
CALL TIMES(T,SM,ST,33,33,33,2)
CALL TIMES(TIK,T,S,8,33,33,1)
DO 219 I=1,33

```

```

DC 219 J=1,8
219 TIK(J,I)=S(J,I)
CALL CDMS(23)
CALL CDMS(32)
CALL CDMS(21)
DC 220 I=1,4)
DO 220 J=1,30)
220 STUCK(J,I)=ST(J,I)
DC 221 I=1,30)
DO 221 J=1,P)
221 STICK(J,I)=TIK(J,I)
PG(1)=X(1,5)
PG(2)=X(2,5)
PG(3)=X(3,5)
CALL TIMES(CO2,PG,PL,3,3,1,1)
FORCE(16)=PL(1)*DT/6.
FORCE(17)=PL(2)*DT/6.
FORCE(18)=PL(3)*DT/6.
FORCE(21)=PL(1)*DT/6.
FORCE(22)=PL(2)*DT/6.
FORCE(23)=PL(3)*DT/6.
FORCE(26)=PL(1)*DT/6.
FORCE(27)=PL(2)*DT/6.
FORCE(28)=PL(3)*DT/6.
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIMES(A,B,R,N,M,L,KOK)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION A(1),B(1),P(1)
KOK=1 A(N,M) , B(M,L) , R(N,L) REGULAR A*B=P
KOK=2 A(M,N) , P(M,L) , R(N,L) TRANSPOSE A*B=P
IP=1
DC 100 K=1,I
DO 100 J=1,N
R(IP)=0.
GO TO(101,102),KOK
1 1 CONTINUE
DC 103 I=1,M
IA=N*(I-1)+J
IB=M*(K-1)+I
103 R(IR)=R(IR)+A(IA)*R(IB)
GO TO 100
102 CONTINUE
DC 104 I=1,M
IA=M*(J-1)+I
IB=M*(K-1)+I
104 R(IR)=R(IR)+A(IA)*P(IB)
1 1 IR=IR+1
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRANS(G1,P1,C1)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/COOR/TR(3,3),X0(3),YC(3)
DIMENSION B1(3),G1(3),C1(3)
DO 10 I=1,3
DO 10 J=1,3
1 TR(J,I)=0.
AA=P1(2)*C1(3)-P1(3)*C1(2)
AB=G1(3)*C1(2)-G1(2)*C1(3)
AC=P1(3)*G1(2)-G1(3)*B1(2)

```

```

IF ((AA.GT.-.1D-12.AND.AA.LT.).IF-12).AND.(AB.GT.-.1D-12.AND.AB.
1IT.2.IF-12)) GO TO 20
AD=DSQRT(AA**2+AB**2+AC**2)
AF=DSQRT(AA**2+AB**2)
TR(3,1)=AA/AD
TR(3,2)=AB/AD
TR(3,3)=AC/AD
TR(1,1)=-AB/AF
TR(1,2)=AA/AF
TR(2,1)=-AA*AC/(AF*AD)
TR(2,2)=-AB*AC/(AF*AD)
TR(2,3)=(AA**2+AB**2)/(AF*AD)
RETURN
20 GO TO 30 I=1,3
30 TR(I,I)=1.
RETURN
END

```

DATA GENERATION ROUTINE

\$SIG ESN6 T=10 P=100 PROUTE=CURF CCPIES=4

CHARGING RATE = UNIVERSITY, BATCH

\*\*LAST SIGNCN WAS: 10:24:00

USER "ESN6" SIGNED ON AT 11:17:00 ON TUE OCT 14/75

\$C GENE(3001) TO \*PRINT\*

```
C ***** DATA GENERATION ROUTINE *****
C ***** FOR 7 PLATE- ELEMENTS AND 5 DCME-ELEMENTS *****
C ***** NEIDOS FROM 1-12 RESPECTIVELY *****
  IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
  CCPMCN/CNE/NEIDCS,ASTIF,YCUNG(10,12),NPCU,NBOUL,XP(16,6),INFO(16),
  1INF(26),KBOU(26),COORD(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),NIN,INF1(26),NDF1,NCF2,
  2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
  READ(5,601) NEIDCS,NELEM,NKIND,ASTIF,NBCUL,NBCUP
C   ALLOWED 30 DIFFERENT COORDINATE SETS
  DO 100 I=1,NKIND
  READ(5,607)((X(L,M),L=1,3),M=1,3)
  DO 100 J=1,3
  DO 100 K=1,3
100 COOR(I,J,K)=X(J,K)
C   ALLOWED 10 DIFFERENT STIFFNESS SETS
  READ(5,606)((YCUNG(J,I),I=1,12),J=1,ASTIF)
C   ALLOWED 26 DIFFERENT BOUNDARY SETS IN A PROBLEM
  NBCU=NBCUL+NBCUP
  READ(5,609)(NPCU(I),I=1,NBCU)
  READ(5,606)((XP(I,J),J=1,6),I=1,NBCUL)
  CALL SFGRM
  DO 101 KK=1,NELEM
  READ(5,602)(N(I),I=1,6)
  DO 511 MCM=1,5
  MCL=MCM+1
  NANA=N(MCM)
  DO 510 MGN=MCL,6
  IF(NANA.EQ.N(MCN)) GO TO 512
510 CCNTINUE
511 CGNTINUE
  DO 102 J=1,6
102 N(J)=N(J)*10+1
  WRITE(6,602)(N(J),J=1,6)
  WRITE(6,608)
101 CCNTINUE
  WRITE(6,608)
  WRITE(6,608)
  DO 103 KK=1,NELEM
  READ(5,601)(INFO(I),I=1,16)
  IF(KK.GT.1) GO TO 209
  CALL BCON
209 CCNTINUE
  DO 109 I=1,26
109 INF(I)=0
  L=3
  DO 108 I=4,NIN,2
108 IF(INFO(I).GT.0)L=L+2
  WRITE(6,603) INFC(1),L
  KIND=INFO(2)
  IF(KIND.EQ.0)GO TO 205
  WRITE(6,606)((COOR(KIND,J,M3),J=1,3),M3=1,3)
  GO TO 206
205 WRITE(6,608)
  WRITE(6,608)
206 CONTINUE
```

```

IF(INFC(16).EQ.0)GC TC 105
CALL LFORM
GO TO 106
105 WRITE(6,608)
WRITE(6,608)
106 CCNTINUE
INF(1)=INFO(3)
INF(2)=L/2-1
L1=1
DO 110 I=4,NIN,2
IF(INFO(I).LT.0.AND.INFO(I+1).LT.0) GO TO 517
IF(INFC(I).LE.0)GC TO 110
L1=L1+2
INF(L1)=INFO(I)
IF(INFC(I+1).LT.0)GC TC 210
INF(L1+1)=INFO(I+1)
GO TC 110
210 INF(L1+1)=0
INFC(I+1)=-INFO(I+1)
110 CCNTINUE
L2=L1+2
DO 112 I=1,26
112 INF1(I)=0
L1=0
DO 114 I=4,NIN,2
IF(INFC(I).EQ.0)GC TO 114
IF(INFO(I).LT.0)INFC(I)=-INFC(I)
L=INFO(I)
K1=INFC(I+1)
IF(L.NE.0.AND.K1.EQ.0) GO TC 515
K=KBOU(K1)
IF(L.GT.3.AND.NDF2.NE.0) GC TC 116
DO 115 J=1,NDF1
NF(J)=M1(J,K)*(J+(L-1)*NDF1)
IF(NF(J).EQ.0) GO TO 115
L1=L1+1
INF1(L1)=NF(J)
115 CONTINUE
GC TO 114
116 DO 117 J=1,NCF2
NF(J)=M2(J,K)*(3*NDF1+J+(L-4)*NDF2)
IF(NF(J).EQ.0) GC TC 117
L1=L1+1
INF1(L1)=NF(J)
117 CCNTINUE
114 CCNTINUE
IF(L1.EQ.0) INF(L2)=0
IF(L1.NE.0) INF(L2)=99
WRITE(6,609)(INF(I),I=1,L2)
IF(L1.EQ.0) GO TO 103
WRITE(6,611) L1,(INF1(I),I=1,L1)
103 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,608)
GO TC 520
512 WRITE(6,612) KK
GC TC 521
515 WRITE(6,614) KK
GO TO 521
517 WRITE(6,618) KK
521 A=1.

```

```

B=0.
C=A/B
GC TO 520
601 FCRMAT(16I5)
602 FORMAT(6I5)
603 FCRMAT(' 999',I5,'      ',I5)
606 FORMAT(6D10.3)
607 FORMAT(6D10.2,/,3D10.3)
608 FORMAT('      ')
609 FORMAT(26I3)
611 FORMAT(I3,/,26I3)
612 FCRMAT(10X,' ****  ERRCR  1 ****  NICKNAMES OF ELEMENT ',I10,/)
614 FORMAT(10X,' ****  ERRCR  2 ****  INFORMATION OF ELEMENT ',I10,/,
1'  INFO(3) NOT 0  INFO(4) = 0 FOR  NODE 1  ',/,
2'  (5)-(6) FOR  NODE 2  (7)-(8) FOR  NODE 3  ETC.  ')
618 FORMAT(10X,' ****  ERROR  3 ****  INFORMATION OF ELEMENT ',I10,/,
1'  INFO(3) INFO(4) BOTH NEGATIVE  FOR  NODE 1',/,
2'  (5)-(6) FOR  NODE 2  (7)-(8) FOR  NODE 3  ETC.  ')
520 STCP
ENC
SUBROUTINE BCCN
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/CNE/NEIDCS,NSTIF,YOUNG(10,12),NBCU,NBQU,XB(16,6),INFO(16),
1INF(26),KBOU(26),CCOR(30,3,2),X(3,3),N(6),NIN,INF1(26),NCF1,NCF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
IF(KK.GT.1) RETURN
DO 16 I=1,8
DO 16 J=1,30
16 M1(I,J)=0
DO 17 I=1,4
DO 17 J=1,30
17 M2(I,J)=0
GC TO (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12),NEIDCS
1 NIN=8
NDF1=5
NCF2=0
CALL CA1
GO TO 15
2 NIN=14
NDF1=5
NDF2=2
CALL CA2
GO TO 15
3 NIN=8
NDF1=4
NDF2=0
CALL CA34
GO TO 15
4 NIN=14
NCF1=4
NDF2=0
CALL CA34
GC TO 15
5 NIN=8
NDF1=8
NCF2=0
CALL CA5
GO TO 15
6 NIN=14
NDF1=8

```

```

NDF2=2
CALL CA6
GO TO 15
7 NIN=14
NDF1=3
NDF2=0
CALL CA7
GO TO 15
8 NIN=8
NDF1=7
NDF2=0
CALL CA8
GO TO 15
9 NIN=14
NDF1=7
NDF2=4
CALL CA8
GO TO 15
10 NIN=8
NDF1=6
NDF2=0
CALL CA10
GO TO 15
11 NIN=14
NDF1=6
NDF2=0
CALL CA10
GO TO 15
12 NIN=14
NDF1=5
NDF2=0
CALL CA8
15 CONTINUE
RETURN
ENC
SUBROUTINE SFCRM
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/CAE/NEIDCS,NSTIF,YOUNG(10,12),NBCU,NBOUL,XE(16,6),INFO(16),
1INF(26),KBOU(26),CGOR(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),NIN,INF1(26),NCF1,NCF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
WRITE(6,701)
WRITE(6,702)
WRITE(6,703)
GO TO(101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110,111,112),NEIDCS
101 WRITE(6,704)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,709)
WRITE(6,710)
WRITE(6,711) NSTIF
GO TO 114
102 WRITE(6,705)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,712)
WRITE(6,710)
WRITE(6,713) NSTIF
GO TO 114

```

```
103 WRITE(6,704)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,717)
WRITE(6,715)
WRITE(6,718) NSTIF
GC TC 114
104 WRITE(6,705)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,714)
WRITE(6,715)
WRITE(6,716) NSTIF
GC TC 114
108 WRITE(6,704)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,723)
WRITE(6,724)
WRITE(6,725) NSTIF
GO TO 114
109 WRITE(6,705)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,726)
WRITE(6,724)
WRITE(6,727) NSTIF
GC TO 114
111 WRITE(6,705)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,728)
WRITE(6,724)
WRITE(6,729) NSTIF
GC TO 114
105 WRITE(6,704)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,731)
WRITE(6,710)
WRITE(6,730) NSTIF
GC TO 114
106 WRITE(6,705)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,733)
WRITE(6,710)
WRITE(6,732) NSTIF
GO TO 114
107 WRITE(6,705)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
```

```

WRITE(6,735)
WRITE(6,710)
WRITE(6,734) NSTIF
GC TO 114
110 WRITE(6,704)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,737)
WRITE(6,724)
WRITE(6,736) NSTIF
GC TO 114
112 WRITE(6,705)
WRITE(6,706)
WRITE(6,707)
WRITE(6,708)
WRITE(6,739)
WRITE(6,724)
WRITE(6,738) NSTIF
114 WRITE(6,720)((YOUNG(J, I), I=1, 12), J=1, NSTIF)
WRITE(6,721) NBCUL
WRITE(6,720)((XB(I, J), J=1, 6), I=1, NBCUL)
WRITE(6,722)
701 FORMAT(1X, '(13I3)')
702 FORMAT(1X, '(6D10.3, /, 6D10.3)')
703 FCFMAT(1X, '(6I5)')
704 FORMAT(1X, '(2I5, /, 12I5)')
705 FORMAT(1X, '(6I5, /, 12I5)')
706 FORMAT(1X, '(15, 5(I5, D10.3))')
707 FORMAT(1X, '(4I5, 4(/, 6D10.3))')
708 FCFMAT(1X, '(25I3)')
709 FORMAT(1X, '(3(1X, 5D10.3, /))')
710 FORMAT(1X, '(/, 1X, 5D10.3)')
711 FCFMAT(' 1 3 8 3', I3, ' 12 5 5 5 5')
712 FORMAT(1X, '(3(1X, 5D10.3, /), 1X, 6D10.3, /)')
713 FCFMAT(' 2 6 8 3', I3, ' 12 5 5 5 5 2 2 2')
714 FORMAT(1X, '(6(1X, 4D10.3, /))')
715 FORMAT(1X, '(/, 1X, 2D10.3)')
716 FORMAT(' 4 6 8 3', I3, ' 12 4 4 4 4 4 4 4')
717 FCFMAT(1X, '(3(1X, 4D10.3, /))')
718 FORMAT(' 3 3 8 3', I3, ' 12 2 4 4 4')
719 FORMAT(' ')
720 FCFMAT(6D10.3)
721 FORMAT(25I3)
722 FCFMAT(' 1 1 0 1 1000 1000')
723 FORMAT(1X, '(3(1X, 7D10.3, /))')
724 FORMAT(1X, '(/, 1X, 8D10.3)')
725 FCFMAT(' 8 3 8 3', I3, ' 12 8 7 7 7')
726 FORMAT(1X, '(2(1X, 7D10.3, /), 3(1X, 4D10.3, /))')
727 FORMAT(' 9 6 8 3', I3, ' 12 8 7 7 7 4 4 4')
728 FCFMAT(1X, '(6(1X, 6D10.3, /))')
729 FORMAT(' 11 6 8 3', I3, ' 12 8 6 6 6 6 6 6')
730 FORMAT(' 5 3 8 3', I3, ' 12 5 8 8 8')
731 FORMAT(1X, '(3(1X, 8D10.3, /))')
732 FORMAT(' 6 6 8 3', I3, ' 12 5 8 8 8 2 2 2')
733 FORMAT(1X, '(3(1X, 8D10.3, /), 1X, 6D10.3, /)')
734 FORMAT(' 7 6 8 3', I3, ' 12 5 3 3 3 3 3 3')
735 FCFMAT(1X, '(6(1X, 3D10.3, /))')
736 FORMAT(' 10 3 8 3', I3, ' 12 8 6 6 6')
737 FORMAT(1X, '(3(1X, 6D10.3, /))')

```



```

GO TO 211
306 DC 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
GO TO 211
307 DC 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
GO TO 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
GO TO 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
GO TO 211
310 DO 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
GO TO 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
GO TO 211
312 DO 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CONTINUE
210 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DA2
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-Z)
COMMON/ONE/NEIDOS,NSTIF,YOUNG(10,12),NBCU,NBOUL,XB(16,6),INFC(16),
1INF(26),KBOU(26),CCCR(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),NIN,INF1(26),NDF1,NDF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
DIMENSION N1(30),N2(30),N3(30),N4(30),N5(30),N6(30),
1N7(30),N8(30),N9(30),N10(30),N11(30),N12(30)
2,MODE(12)
DATA MCDE/9,9,9,9,8,0,0,0,4,4,0,0/
DATA N1/3,4,5,6,9,10,11,15,16,21*0/
DATA N2/5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13,15,21*0/
DATA N3/3,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,15,21*0/
DATA N4/5,6,7,8,11,13,14,15,16,21*0/
DATA N5/2,4,6,8,11,13,14,16,22*0/
DATA N6/30*0/
DATA N7/30*0/
DATA N8/30*0/
DATA N9/5,6,7,8,26*0/
DATA N10/2,4,6,8,26*0/
DATA N11/30*0/
DATA N12/30*0/
DO 210 LL=1,12
KCK=MCDE(LL)
IF(KCK.LT.1) GO TO 211
GO TO (301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312),LL
301 DO 401 I=1,KCK
401 M1(1,N1(I))=1
GO TO 211
302 DC 402 I=1,KCK
402 M1(2,N2(I))=1
GO TO 211
303 DO 403 I=1,KCK
403 M1(3,N3(I))=1
GO TO 211
304 DO 404 I=1,KCK

```

```

404 M1(4,N4(I))=1
GO TO 211
305 DO 405 I=1,KCK
405 M1(5,N5(I))=1
GO TO 211
306 DO 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
GO TO 211
307 DO 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
GO TO 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
GO TO 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
GO TO 211
310 DO 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
GO TO 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
GO TO 211
312 DO 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CONTINUE
210 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DA34
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/ONE/NEIDCS,NSTIF,YOUNG(10,12),NBCU,NBOUL,XE(16,6),INFO(16),
1INF(26),KBOU(26),COORD(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),MIN,INF1(26),NDF1,NCF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
DIMENSION N1(30),N2(30),N3(30),N4(30),N5(30),N6(30),
1N7(30),N8(30),N9(30),N10(30),N11(30),N12(30)
2,MCDE(12)
DATA MODE/9,6,4,7,0,0,C,C,C,C,C,0/
DATA N1/3,4,5,6,9,10,11,15,16,21*0/
DATA N2/1,2,3,4,5,14,24*0/
DATA N3/3,4,5,14,26*0/
DATA N4/1,3,5,7,10,12,15,23*0/
DATA N5/30*0/
DATA N6/30*0/
DATA N7/30*0/
DATA N8/30*0/
DATA N9/30*0/
DATA N10/30*0/
DATA N11/30*0/
DATA N12/30*0/
DO 210 LL=1,12
KCK=MCDE(LL)
IF(KCK.LT.1) GO TO 211
GO TO (301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312),LL
301 DO 401 I=1,KCK
401 M1(1,N1(I))=1
GO TO 211
302 DO 402 I=1,KCK
402 M1(2,N2(I))=1
GO TO 211

```

```

303 DC 403 I=1,KCK
403 M1(3,N3(I))=1
   GC TO 211
304 DO 404 I=1,KCK
404 M1(4,N4(I))=1
   GC TO 211
305 DO 405 I=1,KCK
405 M1(5,N5(I))=1
   GO TO 211
306 DO 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
   GO TO 211
307 DO 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
   GO TO 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
   GO TO 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
   GO TO 211
310 DC 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
   GC TO 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
   GC TO 211
312 DC 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CCNTINUE
210 CONTINUE
   RETURN
   END
SUBROUTINE DAS
  IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
  COMMON/ONE/NEIGDS,NSTIF,YOUNG(10,12),NBCU,NBOUL,XB(16,6),INFO(16),
  1INF(26),KBOU(26),COORD(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),NIN,INF1(26),NCF1,NCF2,
  2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
  DIMENSION N1(30),N2(30),N3(30),N4(30),N5(30),N6(30),
  1N7(30),N8(30),N9(30),N10(30),N11(30),N12(30)
  2,MCDE(12)
  DATA MCDE/9,9,9,3,5,6,5,8,C,C,C,0/
  DATA N1/3,4,5,6,9,10,11,15,16,21*0/
  DATA N2/5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13,15,21*0/
  DATA N3/3,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,15,21*0/
  DATA N4/10,11,15,27*0/
  DATA N5/7,8,12,13,16,25*0/
  DATA N6/3,4,5,6,10,11,24*0/
  DATA N7/11,13,14,15,16,25*0/
  DATA N8/2,4,6,8,11,13,14,16,22*0/
  DATA N9/30*0/
  DATA N10/30*C/
  DATA N11/30*C/
  DATA N12/30*0/
  DO 210 LL=1,12
  KOK=MODE(LL)
  IF(KOK.LT.1) GO TO 211
  GO TO (301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312),LL
301 DO 401 I=1,KCK
401 M1(1,N1(I))=1

```

```

GO TO 211
302 DO 402 I=1,KCK
402 M1(2,N2(I))=1
GO TO 211
303 DO 403 I=1,KCK
403 M1(3,N3(I))=1
GO TO 211
304 DO 404 I=1,KCK
404 M1(4,N4(I))=1
GO TO 211
305 DO 405 I=1,KCK
405 M1(5,N5(I))=1
GO TO 211
306 DO 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
GO TO 211
307 DO 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
GO TO 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
GO TO 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
GO TO 211
310 DO 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
GO TO 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
GO TO 211
312 DO 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CONTINUE
210 CCNTINUE

```

```

RETURN
ENC

```

```

SUBROUTINE DA6

```

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,C-Z)

```

```

COMMON/ONE/NEIDOS,NSTIF,YOLNG(10,12),NBCU,NBOUL,XB(16,6),INFC(16),
1INF(26),KBOU(26),CCOR(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),NIN,INF1(26),NDF1,NDF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK

```

```

DIMENSION N1(30),N2(30),N3(30),N4(30),N5(30),N6(30),
1N7(30),N8(30),N9(30),N10(30),N11(30),N12(30)
2,MODE(12)

```

```

DATA MCDE/9,9,9,3,5,6,9,8,4,4,0,0/
DATA N1/3,4,5,6,9,10,11,15,16,21*0/
DATA N2/5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13,15,21*0/
DATA N3/3,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,15,21*0/
DATA N4/10,11,15,27*0/
DATA N5/7,8,12,13,16,25*0/
DATA N6/3,4,5,6,10,11,24*0/
DATA N7/5,6,7,8,11,13,14,15,16,21*0/
DATA N8/2,4,6,8,11,13,14,16,22*0/
DATA N9/5,6,7,8,26*0/
DATA N10/2,4,6,8,26*0/
DATA N11/30*0/
DATA N12/30*0/
DO 210 LL=1,12
KCK=MCDE(LL)

```

```

      IF(KOK.LT.1) GO TO 211
      GO TO (301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312),LL
301 DO 401 I=1,KCK
401 M1(1,N1(I))=1
      GO TO 211
302 DO 402 I=1,KCK
402 M1(2,N2(I))=1
      GO TO 211
303 DO 403 I=1,KCK
403 M1(3,N3(I))=1
      GO TO 211
304 DO 404 I=1,KCK
404 M1(4,N4(I))=1
      GO TO 211
305 DO 405 I=1,KCK
405 M1(5,N5(I))=1
      GO TO 211
306 DO 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
      GO TO 211
307 DO 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
      GO TO 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
      GO TO 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
      GO TO 211
310 DO 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
      GO TO 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
      GO TO 211
312 DO 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CCNTINUE
210 CCNTINUE
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE DA7
      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,O-Z)
      COMMON/ONE/NEIDCS,NSTIF,YCUNG(10,12),NECU,NBOUL,XB(16,6),INFO(16),
1INF(26),KBOU(26),CCOR(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),NIN,INF1(26),NCF1,NCF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
      DIMENSION N1(30),N2(30),N3(30),N4(30),N5(30),N6(30),
1N7(30),N8(30),N9(30),N10(30),N11(30),N12(30)
2,MCDE(12)
      DATA MCDE/9,11,10,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0/
      DATA N1/3,4,5,6,9,10,11,15,16,21*0/
      DATA N2/5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,19*0/
      DATA N3/2,4,6,8,10,11,12,13,14,16,20*0/
      DATA N4/30*0/
      DATA N5/30*0/
      DATA N6/30*0/
      DATA N7/30*0/
      DATA N8/30*0/
      DATA N9/30*0/
      DATA N10/30*0/

```

```

DATA N11/30*0/
DATA N12/30*0/
DC 210 LL=1,12
KCK=MCDE(LL)
IF(KOK.LT.1) GC TC 211
GO TO (301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312),LL
301 DC 401 I=1,KCK
401 M1(1,N1(I))=1
GC TC 211
302 DO 402 I=1,KCK
402 M1(2,N2(I))=1
GC TC 211
303 DO 403 I=1,KCK
403 M1(3,N3(I))=1
GC TC 211
304 DO 404 I=1,KCK
404 M1(4,N4(I))=1
GC TC 211
305 DO 405 I=1,KCK
405 M1(5,N5(I))=1
GC TC 211
306 DO 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
GC TC 211
307 DO 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
GC TC 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
GC TC 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
GC TC 211
310 DO 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
GC TC 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
GC TC 211
312 DO 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CONTINUE
210 CCNTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DAB
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
CCMCA/CNE/NEICCS,NSTIF,YCUNG(10,12),NBCU,NBQUL,XB(16,6),INFO(16),
1INF(26),KBOU(26),CCOR(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),MIN,INF1(26),ACF1,NCF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
DIMENSION N1(30),N2(30),N3(30),N4(30),N5(30),N6(30),
1N7(30),N8(30),N9(30),N10(30),N11(30),N12(30)
2,MCDE(12)
DATA MCDE/13,13,12,5,7,10,13,0,4,7,6,6/
DATA N1/3,4,9,10,13,14,15,16,17,20,25,26,27,17*0/
DATA N2/5,6,11,12,13,14,15,17,18,20,28,29,30,17*0/
DATA N3/7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,18,19,18*0/
DATA N4/3,4,5,6,17,25*0/
DATA N5/4,6,8,10,12,14,17,23*0/
DATA N6/3,4,5,6,15,16,17,18,19,20,20*0/

```

```

DATA N7/2,4,6,8,10,12,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,17*0/
DATA N8/30*0/
DATA N9/3,4,5,6,26*0/
DATA N10/2,4,6,8,10,12,14,23*0/
DATA N11/3,4,9,10,13,14,24*C/
DATA N12/5,6,11,12,13,14,24*0/
DO 210 LL=1,12
KCK=MCDE(LL)
IF(KCK.LT.1) GC TC 211
GO TO (301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312),LL
301 DO 401 I=1,KCK
401 M1(1,N1(I))=1
GO TO 211
302 DO 402 I=1,KCK
402 M1(2,N2(I))=1
GO TO 211
303 DO 403 I=1,KCK
403 M1(3,N3(I))=1
GO TO 211
304 DO 404 I=1,KCK
404 M1(4,N4(I))=1
GO TO 211
305 DO 405 I=1,KCK
405 M1(5,N5(I))=1
GO TO 211
306 DO 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
GO TO 211
307 DO 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
GO TO 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
GO TO 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
GO TO 211
310 DO 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
GO TO 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
GO TO 211
312 DO 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CCNTINUE
210 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DA10
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-F,O-Z)
CCMCK/CNE/NEIDCS,ASTIF,YOUNG(10,12),NBCU,NBOUL,XB(16,6),INFO(16),
IINF(26),KBOU(26),COGR(30,3,3),X(3,3),N(6),NTN,INF1(26),NCF1,NCF2,
2M1(8,30),M2(4,30),NF(26),KK
DIMENSION N1(30),N2(30),N3(30),N4(30),N5(30),N6(30),
IN7(30),N8(30),N9(30),N10(30),N11(30),N12(30)
2,MCDE(12)
DATA MCDE/13,13,12,24,16,10,0,0,0,0,0,0/
DATA N1/3,4,9,10,13,14,15,16,17,20,25,26,27,17*C/
DATA N2/5,6,11,12,13,14,15,17,18,20,28,29,30,17*0/

```


```

CATA N3/7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,18,19,18*0/
DATA N4/1,2,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,18,19,20,22,23,24,25,26,27,
128,29,30,6*0/
CATA N5/7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,18,19,20,23,26,29,14*0/
DATA N6/1,3,5,7,9,11,13,24,27,30,20*0/
CATA N7/30*0/
CATA N8/30*0/
DATA N9/30*0/
CATA N10/30*0/
CATA N11/30*0/
DATA N12/30*0/
DO 210 LL=1,12
KCK=MCDE(LL)
IF(KCK.LT.1) GC TC 211
GO TO (301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312),LL
301 DO 401 I=1,KCK
401 M1(1,N1(I))=1
GO TO 211
302 DO 402 I=1,KCK
402 M1(2,N2(I))=1
GO TO 211
303 DO 403 I=1,KCK
403 M1(3,N3(I))=1
GO TO 211
304 DO 404 I=1,KCK
404 M1(4,N4(I))=1
GO TO 211
305 DO 405 I=1,KCK
405 M1(5,N5(I))=1
GO TO 211
306 DO 406 I=1,KCK
406 M1(6,N6(I))=1
GO TO 211
307 DO 407 I=1,KCK
407 M1(7,N7(I))=1
GO TO 211
308 DO 408 I=1,KCK
408 M1(8,N8(I))=1
GO TO 211
309 DO 409 I=1,KCK
409 M2(1,N9(I))=1
GO TO 211
310 DO 410 I=1,KCK
410 M2(2,N10(I))=1
GO TO 211
311 DO 411 I=1,KCK
411 M2(3,N11(I))=1
GO TO 211
312 DO 412 I=1,KCK
412 M2(4,N12(I))=1
211 CONTINUE
210 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
$SIG OFF


```

93 APR 1964  
 LIBRARY


DETAILS OF SUPPORTS  
FOR  
24 and 36 FACED DOMES  
SCALE : 1cm = 5cm  
FIG. 9.3.




SECTION D-D.




SECTION B-B






SECTION A - A



SECTION C - C








SCALE: 1cm = 5mm  
 G. MANOS

VIEW C-C  
 SCALE 1cm = 5mm  
 DETAILS OF SUPPORTS  
 FOR  
 24 and 36 FACED DOMES  
 G. MANOS  
 FIG. 9.7.





SECTION B-B
SCALE: 1cm = 5mm
DETAILS OF SUPPORTS FOR 24 and 36 FACED DOMES
G. MANOS
FIG. 9.6.


DETAILS OF PROPOSED SITE FOR  
24+36 FACED DOME ROOF SECTIONS

SCALE: 1cm = 1m.


DATE: 14<sup>th</sup> OCT 71.

DRAWN: RJ.

FIG. 9.2.



PLAN OF PART OF UPPER GROUND FLOOR.



WEST ELEVATION.