Durham E-Theses

Bird diversity in hedgerows

H. S. Ibrahim

How to cite:

Use policy

BIRD DIVERSITY IN HEDGEROWS

By

H.S. Ibrahim

B.Sc. University of Garyounis - Libya

——— —————

Being a Dissertation as part of the requirements
for the examination for the Master of Science Degree
(by advanced course) in Ecology, Departments of
Zoology and Botany, University of Durham.

September 1985.

2 1 SEP 1992

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Review in quantitative studies of hedgerow birds

The study of hedgerows and birds has existed for years but few attempts have been made to analyse statistically the relationships between the two (Osborne, 1984). The majority of studies lack adequate statistical analysis of the relationships between bird numbers and hedgerow quantity and quality over a wide spectrum of farm types (O'Connor, 1984). Statistical definitions of the relationships between bird numbers and habitats is important, particularly in applied ecology because it allows predictions to be made about the effects of habitat change on birds (Osborne, 1982,1984).

Farina (1983) gives a good account of the historical development of quantitative methods of habitat description in ornithological studies and a description of the main features of the methods used in different habitats, including hedgerow birds' habitats. A close correlation between bird species diversity and plant species diversity has been demonstrated by many workers studying woodland birds (e.g. MacArthur and MacArthur 1961, MacArthur 1964, 1965, MacArthur et al. 1962, 1966, Recher 1969, Tramer 1969, Wilson 1974) but no attempt has been made to study the relationships between bird species diversity and hedgerow characteristics such as length, width, height, volume and hedgerow plan area and hedgerow shrub species diversity.

1.2 The aim of the study

The aim of this work is to relate these hedgerow characteristics to bird species diversity, bird numbers and the numbers of bird species. It is also intended to make a comparison between bird species,

bird relative abundance, bird distribution and density of bird species at the two study areas.

1.3 The importance of hedges to birds

In addition to the ecological and economical importance of hedgerows, they serve birds in several ways, for example as feeding, nesting, roosting sites, cover from predators and as avian highways for movement and dispersal. For further information of the importance of hedges and its effects on birds, see Parslow (1969), Pollard et al. (1974) and the recent quantitative studies of Osborne (1982, 1983, 1984), Arnold (1983) and O'Connor (1984).

2. METHODS

2.1 Study area

The data were collected between early May and late June 1985 on 130 hedges (25 km of hedge) at two sites A and B chosen randomly at different locations in County Durham, North East England. Fig. (1). Site A consisted of a hundred hedges which were examined near Durham City and Site B consisted of thirty hedges studied in Weardale.

2.2 Procedures

Each hedge was visited once, either in the morning or in the evening and the following basic information was recorded :

> 1) Bird census and
>
> 2) Hedge characteristics

2.2.1 Bird Census

To avoid disturbing the birds, the bird census was carried out by watching and counting the number of species and the number of individuals in each hedge before recording the basic data on the hedge. Between 30-40 minutes were spent collecting the basic hedge data and on the bird census, the actual length of time varied according to hedge length and weather conditions. The census was made between 09.00-12.00 and 14.00-18.00 B.S.T. For watching and identification of the bird I used zoom binoculars 7-15 x 35 and the field guide book by Heinzel, Fitter and Parslow (1974). Thirteen common hedgerow bird species were found (Table 1).

Figure 1 : The geographical locations of the two study areas.
Site A consisted of 100 hedges examined near Durham City,
and site B consisted of 30 hedges studied in Weardale.
The solid circles show the sampling locations at both
sites A and B.

Littletown

Sherburn Haswell

Shincliffe

Running Waters

DURHAM

A

Bishop Middleham

Spennymoor

Framwellgate Moor

Ushaw Moor

Meadowfield

Brandon

River Wear

Wolsingham

Frosterley

Stanhope

B

Eastgate

Westgate

WEAR DALE

N

0   3   6 Miles

0   5 Kms

Table 1   List of bird species at sites A and B

Blackbird	*Turdus merula*
Yellowhammer	*Emberiza citrinella*
*Greenfinch	*Carduelis chloris*
*Linnet	*Acanthis cannabina*
Chaffinch	*Fringilla coelebs*
Whitethroat	*Sylvia communis*
Robin	*Erithacus rebecula*
Wren	*Troglodytes troglodytes*
Great tit	*Parus major*
Blue tit	*Parus caeruleus*
Songthrush	*Turdus philomelos*
Willow warbler	*Phylloscopus trochilus*
Dunnock	*Prunella modularis*

* Absent from site B.

2.2.2 Hedge characteristics and its measurements

After completing the bird census, I measured the hedge length by pacing along its side and at each five paces (5m. intervals) the hedge shrubs species were recorded. The width and height of the hedge were measured, when I found differences in the height or width of the hedge I have taken the average. The dominant shrubs species, distance from hedge edge to a crop or road (both sides of the hedge), number of occurrences for each hedge shrubs species were recorded. Also other related information were recorded; date, time, place, nature of the fields on each side (grassland, crop or ploughed field) and general comments, e.g. whether the hedge was trimmed or not, were recorded (Table 2).

From these data I have derived:

1. Total number of species and individuals of birds in each hedge. (Appendix 2 for site A and appendix 3 for site B, with the format of the data described in appendix 1)

2. Total number of species and occurrences of hedge shrubs in each hedge (Appendix 2 and 3) and (Table 3) for list of plant species at both sites A and B.

3. The volume and plan area of each hedge.

4. The diversity index of shrubs and birds for each hedge were computed using Margalef's (1951) diversity index ($\alpha = \dfrac{S-1}{Log_e N}$)

   Where α is the index of diversity

          S is the number of species

          N is the number of individuals

The other calculations (Multivariate analysis) were performed using the SPSS-X (Statistical package for the social sciences) programme (Nie, 1983, 1984).

<u>Table 2</u>   A full page of field notebook

Hedge number:

Date:

Time:

Location:

Birds:

	Species	Numbers
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
Total :	_____	_____

Hedge shrubs:

	Species	Occurrences
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
Total :	_____	_____

Details of hedge:

1. Height:

2. Width:

3. Length:

4. Dominant species:

5. Distance of hedge inside:

6. Distance of hedge outside:

Field on one side:

Field on other side:

Other comments:

Table 3   List of shrub species

Hawthorn   Crataegus monogyna

Privet   Ligustrum vulgare

Ivy   Hedera helix

Horse-chestnut   Aesculus hippocastanum

Hazel   Corylus avellana

Elder   Sambucus nigra

Sycamore   Acer psendoplatanus

Holly   Ilex aquifolium

Rose   Rosa villosa

Ash   Fraxinus excelsior

Bramble   Rubus fruticosa agg.

Blackthorn   Prunus spinosa

Beech   Fagus sylvatica

Rowan   Sorbus aucupana

Willow   Salix cinerea-type

Hornbeam   Carpinus betulus

Yew   Taxus baccata

Oak   Quercus rubra

Gorse   Ulex europaeus

White beam   Sorbus aria agg.

Elm   Ulmus procera

Cherry   Prunus sp.

Norway spruce   Picea alba

3. <u>RESULTS</u>

3.1 <u>Difference in the hedges at the two study sites</u>

A comparison between the hedges at the two sites A and B showed that they were similar in most of the hedgerow characteristics; height, width, shrub α-diversity and the commonest shrub species (Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7). The sites differed in the length of their hedges and the percentage occurrences of the dominant and non-dominant hedge shrubs. Most of the length of the hedges at site A ranged between 150-250 m (the mean was 216 ± SD85.5) while the length of hedges at site B were mainly between 50-100 m (the mean was 108 ± SD36.9) (Table 8). The difference in the length at both sites was highly significant (t = 9.9, df = 128, p < 0.001). Hawthorn was the dominant shrub species at both sites but different in percentage occurrences of the dominant. At site A, it was dominant in 85% of the hedges and 57% of the hedges in site B (Table 7).

The percentage occurrences of non-dominant hedge shrub at both sites was different. At site A, the mode was in the range 40-49% (the mean was 41 ± SD19.5) while the percentage of non-dominant hedge shrub at site B had the mode between 60-69% (the mean was 53 ± SD15.3). The difference in the percentage occurrences of non-dominant hedge shrub at both sites was highly significant (t = 3.5, df = 128, p < 0.002)(Table 9).

Table 4 : The frequency distribution of height in 100 hedges examined near Durham (Site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (Site B)

Frequency of distribution of hedge height (m)	0.1-0.5	0.6-1.0	1.1-1.5	1.6-2.0	2.1-2.5	2.6-3.0	3.1-3.5	3.6-4.0	4.1-4.5	4.6-5.0	Over 5	Mean	SD	SE	t	p
No. of hedges Site (A)	0	11	27	29	4	12	3	6	0	5	3	2.23	1.33	.133	0.62	NS *
No. of hedges Site (B)	0	1	6	14	2	3	3	1	0	0	0	2.11	.78	.142		

df = 128

Table 5 : The frequency distribution of width (m) in 100 hedges examined near Durham (Site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (Site B)

Frequency of distribution of hedge width	0.1-0.5	0.6-1.0	1.1-1.5	1.6-2.0	2.1-2.5	2.6-3.0	3.1-3.5	3.6-4.0	over 4	Mean	SD	SE	t	p
No. of hedges Site (A)	0	34	28	16	5	6	4	5	2	1.69	.99	.10	0.065	NS
No. of hedges Site (B)	1	5	8	9	3	4	0	0	0	1.7	.72	.13		

df = 128

* NS = not significant

Table 6 :   The frequency distribution of shrubs α-diversity in 100 hedges examined near

Durham (site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (site B)

Frequency of distrib- ution of shrubs α-diversity	0.1-0.3	0.4-0.6	0.7-0.9	1.0-1.2	1.3-1.5	1.6-1.8	1.9-2.0	Mean	S.D	S.E	t	p
No. of hedges Site (A)	9	19	25	23	16	2	1	.92	.44	.04	1.49	N.S
No. of hedges Site (B)	0	6	8	7	5	4	0	1.04	.38	.07		

df = 128

Table 7 :   The frequency distribution of dominant shrubs in 100
            hedges examined near Durham (site A) and 30 hedges at
            Weardale (site B)

Shrub species	No. of hedges Site (A)	% of total (Site (A)	No. of hedges Site (B)	% of total (Site (B)
Hawthorn	85	85	17	56.7
Blackthorn	2	2		
Gorse	2	2		
Elder	2	2	3	10.0
Hazel	2	2	2	6.7
Privet	1	1		
Yew	1	1		
Rowan	1	1	1	3.3
Whitebeam	1	1		
Norway spruce	1	1		
Oak	1	1		
Rose	1	1	7	23.3
Total	100	100	30	100

Table 8: The frequency distribution length in 100 hedges examined near Durham (Site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (Site B)

Frequency of distribution of hedge length (m)	0-50	51-100	101-150	151-200	201-250	251-300	301-350	351-400	401-450	451-500	501-550	Mean	SD	SE	t	p<
No. of hedges Site (A)	0	5	16	33	17	14	10	2	1	1	1	216	85.49	8.55	9.9	0.001
No. of hedges Site (B)	0	18	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	36.85	6.73		

df = 128

Table 9: The frequency distribution of percentage of non-dominant shrubs in 100 hedges examined near Durham (Site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (Site B)

% of non-dominant shrubs	0-9	10-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	Mean	SD	SE	t	p<
No. of hedges Site (A)	7	11	10	17	22	13	14	6	41	19.45	1.95	3.5	0.002
No. of hedges Site (B)	0	1	2	3	3	8	11	2	53	15.26	2.79		

df = 128

3.2 The relationship between hedgerow characteristics at sites A and B

Table 10 shows the simple correlations between shrub α-diversity and hedge physical characteristics at sites A and B. At site A, shrub α-diversity was significantly correlated with width, height, and shrub species but is not correlated with length and shrub occurrences (number of shrub recorded every 5 m of hedge). At site B, the shrub diversity was significantly correlated with length, number of shrub species and shrub occurrences but is not with width or height. The number of shrub species (Table 11) was significantly correlated with width, height and shrub occurrences at site A. At site B, shrub species was significantly correlated with length and shrub occurrences but is not correlated with width or height. Table 12 gives the simple correlation between height and length and width of hedgerow at sites A and B. The height and width were highly correlated at both sites and height and length were correlated at site B but they were not significant at site A.

Table 13 shows the simple correlations between length and width of hedgerow at sites A and B were not significantly correlated at both sites. The correlation between shrub occurrences and width were not significantly correlated at either sites, also the shrub occurrences were not significantly correlated with height at Site A but were significantly correlated with height at site B (Table 14). Table 15 gives the multiple regression coefficients between shrub α-diversity and height, length and width of hedges at sites A and B. It should be noted that at both sites r = .48 and so .23 or 23% (r^2) of the variation in shrub diversity is explained by the three physical characteristics of the hedge.

Table 10 :   The simple correlation between shrub α-diversity and
hedge physical characteristics at sites A and B

Hedge characteristics		Length	Width	Height	Shrub species	Shrub occurrences
Site A	r	-0.099	0.489	0.388	0.934	-0.053
	p	NS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	NS
Site B	r	0.376	0.235	0.086	0.955	0.379
	p	< 0.05	NS	NS	< 0.001	< 0.05

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B

Tables 11 :   The simple correlation between shrub species and
the other hedgerow characteristics at sites A and B

Hedge characteristics		Length	Width	Height	Shrub occurrences
Site A	r	0.074	0.507	0.404	0.722
	p	NS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Site B	r	0.613	0.293	0.233	0.625
	p	< 0.001	NS	NS	< 0.001

Table 12 :   The simple correlation between height and length
              and width of hedgerows at site A and B

		Length	Width
Site A	r	0.074	0.779
	p	NS	< 0.001
Site B	r	0.393	0.774
	p	< 0.05	< 0.001

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B

Table 13 :   The simple correlation between length and width
              of hedgerows at site A and B

	Site A	Site B
r	-0.037	0.243
p	NS	NS

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B.

Table 14 :   The simple correlation between shrub occurrences
              and width and height of sites A and B

		Width	Height
Site A	r	0.058	0.118
	p	NS	NS
Site B	r	0.256	0.383
	p	NS	< 0.05

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B.

Table 15 : The stepwise multiple regression analysis between shrub α-diversity as dependent variable and height, length and width of hedgerow at sites A and B

Independent variables	Site A					Site B					Sig. of difference between slope
	Multiple r	Slope	SE of Slope	t	p	Multiple r	Slope	SE of Slope	t	p	
Height	0.484	0.022	0.047	0.47	NS	0.489	-0.226	0.139	-1.62	NS	NS
Length		-0.0005	0.0005	-0.98	NS		0.005	0.002	2.35	<0.027	<0.02*
Width		0.182	0.062	2.92	<0.004		0.256	0.143	1.79	NS	NS

Constant   0.663 ± 0.126   0.595 ± 0.233

df = 96 for site A and 26 for site B

* t = 2.67

3.3 Bird distribution and abundance at site A and B

The mode of the distribution of the number of birds per hedge was between 4 and 6 birds at site A while at site B it was between 1 - 3 birds. The mean numbers of birds per hedge was 8.3 ± SD5.6 at site A and 5.3 ± SD3.5 at site B (Table 16) and this difference is significant (t = 3.5, df = 128, p < 0.002).

The frequency distribution of numbers of bird species per hedge was 2 - 3 birds at both sites. The mean of the numbers of bird species per hedge was 3.3 ± SD1.64 at site A and 2.5 ± SD1.4 at site B. This difference in the mean is significant (t = 2.9, df = 128, p < 0.01) Table 17). Table 18 gives the frequency distribution of bird α-diversity index in each hedge at both sites but the difference in the means is not significant (t = 1.02, df = 128).

The relative abundance of each bird species was different at the two sites. For example, at site A, the yellowhammer formed 20.3% of the total bird number (821 birds) and at site B it was 9.7% of the total bird numbers (154 birds). This difference is significant ($\chi^2_1 = 8.91$, p < 0.01). The blackbird was 22.1% of total bird numbers at site B and 12.3% of the total bird numbers at site A, again a significant difference ($\chi^2_1 = 9.59$, p < 0.01). Table 19).

Table 20 shows the difference in the relative abundance of bird species at both sites. The yellowhammer was the commonest bird at site A and the blackbird was the commonest bird at site B.

The relative abundance of greenfinch, chaffinch, whitethroat, wren and dunnock were not significantly different at the two sites (Tables 19 and 20). The total density of bird species per 1000 m of hedge at site B was greater than at site A (47.5 and 38.1 individuals respectively) (Table 21). Again, the yellowhammer had the highest population density at site A (7.7 individuals per 1000 m) and the blackbird had the highest population density at site B (10.5 individuals per 1000 m). The dunnock had the lowest population density (0.3 individual per 1000 m) at both sites. The linnet and greenfinch were present at site A (1.5 and 1.2 individual per 1000 m respectively) while they were absent from site B. The ratio of density of song thrush at sites B and A (3.78) showed that this species was 3.8 times more abundant at site B while the dunnock was over 4 times more abundant at site B than at site A (Table 21).

Table 16 : The frequency distribution of bird numbers in 100 hedges censused near Durham (site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (site B)

Frequency of bird Numbers	1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	16-18	19-21	22-24	25-27	Mean	S.D	S.E	t	p<
No. of hedges Site A	16	26	24	16	9	2	3	2	2	8.3	5.6	0.56	3.5	0.002
No. of hedges Site B	10	9	7	1	2	1	0	0	0	5.3	3.5	0.64		

df = 128

Table 17 : The frequency distribution of numbers of bird species in 100 hedges censused near Durham (site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (site B)

Frequency of bird species	0-1	2-3	4-5	6-7	Mean	S.D	S.E	t	p<
No. of hedges at site A	12	47	33	8	3.3	1.64	0.164	2.9	0.01
No. of hedges at site B	3	23	4	0	2.5	1.14	0.21		

df = 128

Table 18 : The frequency distribution of bird α-diversity in 100 hedges examined near Durham (site A) and 30 hedges at Weardale (site B)

Frequency distribution of bird α-diversity	0.1-0.3	0.4-0.6	0.7-0.9	1.0-1.2	1.3-1.5	1.6-1.8	1.9-2.1	Mean	S D	S E	t	p
No. of hedges at site A	12	8	20	18	22	16	6	1.09	0.55	0.06		
											1.02	NS
No. of hedges at site B	3	3	11	4	6	1	2	0.98	0.53	0.09		

df = 128

Table 19 :   A comparison of bird species relative abundance
                     at site A and B

Bird species *	Bird Nos. Site A	Bird % Site A	Bird Nos. Site B	Bird % Site B	χ^2 with Yates Corr.	p
Yellowhammer	167	20.3	15	9.7	8.91	<0.01
Chaffinch	134	16.3	27	17.5	0.06	N S
Blue tit	123	15.0	10	6.5	7.23	<0.01
Blackbird	101	12.3	34	22.1	9.59	<0.001
Whitethroat	64	7.8	7	4.6	1.58	N S
Robin	40	4.9	15	9.7	4.89	<0.05
Willow Warbler	33	4.0	13	8.4	4.70	<0.05
Wren	32	3.9	7	4.6	0.02	N S
Linnet	32	3.9	0	0.0	5.04	<0.05
Dunnock	27	3.3	1	0.7	2.36	N S
Great tit	25	3.1	14	9.1	10.92	<0.01
Greenfinch	24	2.9	0	0.0	3.48	N S
Song thrush	19	2.3	11	7.1	8.58	<0.01
Total	821	100	154	100		

* For the scientific name of birds see Table 1.

Table 20 :   The differences in the relative abundance of birds
                            at site A and B

Significantly more common at site A	Significantly more common at site B	No significant difference between A and B
Yellowhammer	Blackbird	Greenfinch
Blue tit	Great tit	Chaffinch
Robin	Willow warbler	Whitethroat
Linnet		Wren
Songthrush		Dunnock

Table 21 :   The density of bird species per 1000 m of hedge at site A
                    (21568 m) and site B (3241 m) and the ratios of bird species
                                    at both sites

Bird species	Site A	Site B	Ratio : $\frac{B}{A}$
Yellowhammer	7.7	4.6	0.59
Chaffinch	6.2	8.3	1.34
Blue tit	5.7	3.1	0.54
Blackbird	4.7	10.5	2.23
Whitethroat	2.9	2.2	0.76
Robin	1.9	4.6	2.42
Willow warbler	1.5	4.0	2.67
Wren	1.5	2.2	1.47
Linnet	1.5	0	0
Dunnock	1.3	0.3	0.23
Great tit	1.2	4.3	3.58
Greenfinch	1.1	0	0
Song thrush	0.9	3.4	3.78
Total bird density	38.1	47.5	1.25

3.4 Birds and hedgerow characteristics at sites A and B

Tables 22, 23 and 24 give the simple correlation between birds and hedgerow characteristics at both sites. These were examined to show which of the independent variables correlated with bird diversity, number of bird species and number of individuals of bird. Table 22 gives the simple correlation coefficients between bird α-diversity index and the hedgerow characteristics at the two study areas. Most of the independent variables at site A were significant. At site B, all of the hedgerow characteristics were significant. The height of hedges at site B was highly correlated with bird diversity ($r = +0.739$, df = 28, p <0.001).

The number of bird species was positively correlated with all independent variables at both sites (Table 23). At site B, the correlation coefficients between number of bird species and independent variables were greater than at site A. The length was more highly correlated with bird species at site B ($r = +0.673$, df = 28, p <0.001) than at site A ($r = +0.275$, df = 98, p <0.01). Table 24 gives the correlation coefficients between bird numbers and hedgerow characteristics. At site A, the correlation between bird numbers and hedgerow characteristics were positively correlated but the shrub α-diversity and the number of shrub species were not significant. The length was significant at site B while the other independent variables were not significant. The length was highly correlated with bird numbers at sites B and A ($r = +0.481$, df = 28, p 0.01; $r = +0.362$, df = 98, p <0.001 respectively).

Table 25 gives the simple correlations between numbers of blackbird and hedgerow characteristics at site A and B. The blackbird numbers were correlated with length of hedgerow at site B ($r = +0.282$, df = 28, $p < 0.01$) but not significantly related to any of the other independent variables at either site. The dunnock numbers were correlated with height, shrub α-diversity and number of shrub species at site B, but not significantly with all the other independent variables at both sites (Table 26).

Table 22 : <u>The simple correlation between bird α-diversity index</u>
<u>and the hedgerow characteristics at sites A and B</u>

Hedge characteristics		Length	Width	Height	Sh.α-D.I*	Shrub species
Site A	Value of r	0.205	0.301	0.213	0.301	0.320
	Value of p	NS	< 0.01	NS	< 0.01	<0.01
Site B	Value of r	0.622	0.597	0.739	0.359	0.514
	Value of p	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.05	<0.01

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B.

* Sh.α-D.I is the shrub α-diversity index.

Table 23 : <u>The simple correlation between number of bird species</u>
<u>and the hedgerow characteristics at sites A and B</u>

Hedge characteristics		Length	Width	Height	Sh.α-D.I.	Shrub species
Site A	Value of r	0.275	0.344	0.278	0.292	0.326
	Value of p	< 0.01	< 0.001	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.001
Site B	Value of r	0.673	0.469	0.619	0.494	0.652
	Value of p	< 0.001	< 0.01	< 0.001	< 0.01	<0.001

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B

Table 24 : The simple correlation between bird numbers and hedgerow
characteristics at sites A and B

Hedge characteristics		Length	Width	Height	Sh α-D.I.	Shrub species
Site A	Value r	0.362	0.289	0.289	0.171	0.235
	Value of p	< 0.001	< 0.01	< 0.01	NS	NS
Site B	Value of r	0.481	0.193	0.089	0.223	0.338
	Value of p	< 0.01	NS	NS	NS	NS

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B.

Table 25 : The simple correlation between blackbird and hedgerow
characteristics at sites A and B

Hedge characteristics		Length	Width	Height	Shrub α-diversity	Shrub species
	r	0.193	-0.116	-0.127	-0.012	0.027
	p	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	r	0.282	-0.139	0.092	-0.227	-0.214
	p	< 0.01	NS	NS	NS	NS

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B

Table 26 : The simple correlation between dunnock and hedgerow
characteristics at sites A and B

Hedge charac- teristics		Length	Width	Height	Sh.α-D.I.	Shrub species
Site A	r	0.146	-0.155	-0.087	0.055	0.080
	p	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Site B	r	0.215	0.340	0.457	0.357	0.414
	p	NS	NS	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02

df = 98 for site A and 28 for site B.

3.5 Multiple regression analysis

Regression analysis is appropriate because it can be used for prediction and statistical control and therefore is an important subject in statistics and an invaluable tool (Sokel 1969). Multiple regression is mathematically an extention of linear regression to include more than one independent variable in the final equation. In this investigation bird numbers, bird diversity and bird species are used as dependent variables on characteristics of hedge (length, width, height shrub α-diversity, volume and hedge plane area) as independent variables. The multiple regression coefficient measures the proportion of variation in the dependent variables explained by all the independent variables including in the regression equation (Bailey 1981, Rands 1982). The multiple regression coefficient has been used in this study for two purposes : firstly, to find which hedge characteristics relate to bird numbers, bird diversity and bird species and to measure the expected change in dependent variable for unit change in the independent variables. The second purpose is to examine whether there is a difference between the study areas. Tables 27, 28 and 29 give the stepwise multiple regression analysis for bird numbers, bird diversity and bird species as dependent variables and the characteristics of hedge as independent variables at sites A and B. Bird numbers and bird diversity were correlated with length and width at sites A and B. Neither site had significant differences between their multiple regression coefficients (Tables 27 and 28). The other independent variables (height, shrub α-diversity, volume and hedge plan area) did not enter the programme. Bird species were

correlated with length, height and shrub α-diversity at both sites
except height was not significant at site A. Again, sites A
and B did not have significant differences between their multiple
regression coefficients (Table 29). The width, volume and hedge
plan area did not enter the programme.

Table 27 : The stepwise multiple regression analysis between bird numbers as dependent variable and characteristics of hedge (length, width, height, shrub α-diversity index, volume and plan area of hedge) as independent variables at site A and B

Independent variables	Site A					Site B					Sig. of difference between slope
	r	Slope	SE of slope	t	p	r	Slope	SE of slope	t	p	
Length	0.362	0.023	0.005	4.17	<0.0001	0.481	0.044	0.017	2.67	<0.013	NS
Width	0.472	1.590	0.471	3.38	<0.0011	0.488	0.393	0.844	0.47	NS	NS
Height *	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sh.α-D.I*	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Volume *	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Area *	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Constant   0.5847 ± 1.525   -0.2979 ± 2.084

df = 97 For site A and 27 for site B.

* A dash indicates that the variable to which it refers did not enter the programme

Table 28 : The stepwise multiple regression analysis between bird α-diversity index as dependent variable and characteristics of hedge (length, width, height, shrub α-diversity, volume and plan area of hedge) as independent variables at sites A and B

Independent variables	Site A					Site B					Sig. of difference between slope
	r	Slope	SE of Slope	t	p	r	Slope	SE of Slope	t	p	
Length	0.370	0.0014	0.0006	2.29	<0.02	0.773	0.0073	0.0018	4.02	<0.001	N S
Width	0.300	0.171	0.0522	3.27	<0.002	0.597	0.346	0.092	3.76	<0.001	N S
Height *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sh.◦D.I.*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Volume *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Area *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Constant   0.50153 ± 0.1690   -0.3901 ± 0.2271

df = 97 for site A and 27 for site B

* A dash indicates that the variable to which it refers did not enter the programme.

Table 29 : The stepwise multiple regression analysis between bird species as dependent variable and characteristics of hedge (length, width, height, shrub α-diversity, volume and plan area of hedge) as independent variables at site A and B

Independent variables	Site A					Site B					Sig. of difference between slope
	Multiple r	Slope	SE of Slope	t	p	Multiple r	Slope	SE of Slope	t	p	
Length		0.00553	0.0018	3.13	<0.02		0.001178	0.0039	2.96	<0.007	NS
Sh.α-D.I.	0.4462	0.9749	0.3748	2.60	<0.01	0.828	0.93568	0.3559	2.63	<0.014	NS
Height		0.19187	0.12270	1.564	NS		0.64491	0.1751	3.68	<0.001	NS
Width*	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Volume*	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Area *	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Constant 0.7403 ± 0.5457 -1.0763 ± 0.5009

df = 96 for site A and 26 for site B

* A dash indicates that the variable to which it refers did not enter the programme

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 The pattern of distribution of hedgerow characteristics

In general, both sites A and B were similar in pattern of the frequency distribution of the recorded hedgerow characteristics such as width, height, the commonest shrub species (hawthorn) and shrub α-diversity. The two sites differed in the percentage occurrences of dominant and non-dominant hedge shrub. For example, hawthorn was the dominant shrub species at sites A and B but differed in percentage occurrences of the dominant. The dominance of hawthorn is not surprising because it is the most frequent plant used for hedging in Britain (Pollard et al. 1974).

4.2 The simple correlations between hedgerow characteristics at site A and B

Tables 10 - 14 give the simple correlation between hedgerow characteristics. The height and width of the hedge were highly correlated at both sites A and B (r = +0.779, df = 98, p <0.001; r = +0.774, df = 28, p <0.001 respectively (Table 12). This is probably due to the management of the hedgerow where the farmers control the height and width of the hedges at specific periods of time by trimming.

4.3 Bird distribution

Although sites A and B had no differences in the main hedgerow characteristics, the pattern of the distribution of bird species and individuals of bird were highly significantly different between the sites (Tables 16 and 17). This difference may be due to the difference in length of hedges at the sites.

But this finding is contrary to the view of Osborne (1983) who found that the length of hedgerow gave a poor correlation with species number compared with the other variables. This difference might be due to surrounding habitat, for example ditches, trees and hedgerow management. These surrounding variables were not considered in detail in this study. Arnold (1983) found that blackbirds were influenced by the amount of cover in the ditches whilst song thrushes were not. The same author found that the tree species were the major factors determining the abundance of the wren and great tit. Parslow (1969) found poorer breeding success for a number of species in hedges trimmed to 1.2 m compared with that of nests in higher, untrimmed hedges.

The frequency distribution of bird diversity was not significantly different at both sites but the species composition did differ.

4.4 Bird relative abundance

Reference to Table 19 shows that the relative abundance of each bird species was different at both sites. For example, yellowhammer formed 20.3% of all birds recorded at site A and 9.7% at site B and the blackbird abundance was 22.1% of the total bird numbers at site B and 12.3% at site A. Generally speaking, the yellowhammer was the commonest bird at site A and the blackbird was the commonest bird at site B (Table 20). The song thrush was 3.8 times more abundant at site B than at site A while the dunnock was over 4 times more abundant at site B than at site A (Table 21).

4.5 Bird density

At site B the total density of birds per 1000 m. of hedge was greater than at site A (47.5 and 38.1 individuals respectively). This may be due to the surrounding area which was very poor in vegetation cover because many of the farmland boundaries were stone walls which forced all the birds to concentrate in the remaining hedges which occurred at site B. The blackbird had the highest population density at site B (10.5 individuals per 1000 m). This is probably because the hedges of site B are near farms (including buildings, roads, gardens and houses). The dunnock had the lowest population density of the bird species which were present at both sites. The linnet and greenfinch were present at site A but were absent from site B (Table 21).

4.6 The relationships between the bird and hedgerow characteristics

A close correlation between bird species diversity and foliage height was found by MacArthur (1961) and this was followed by many similar reports (For review see Nilson 1979, Osborn 1984). But no attempt has been made to study the relationship between bird species diversity and the hedgerow characteristics; length, width, height, hedge volume, hedgerow plan area and hedgerow shrub species diversity. Simple correlations have been used to show how many of these independent variables correlated with bird diversity, bird species and bird numbers at both study areas. The number of bird species at both sites was correlated with all hedgerow characteristics except that shrub occurrences were not significant at site A (Table 23).

The prediction equation of bird species diversity at site B is

(-0.390 ± 0.2271) + (0.346 ± 0.092) x width + (0.0073 ± 0.02) x length.

The number of bird species were correlated with length, height and shrub diversity at both sites (except height was not significant at site A). Both sites did not have significant differences in the multiple correlation coefficients. A prediction of the number of bird species can be calculated by using the general multiple regression equation (Table 29).

Table 15 gives the multiple regression coefficient between shrub α-diversity as dependent variable and height, length and width of hedges as independent variables at sites A and B. Both sites have significant differences between their multiple regression coefficient of length. This is because both sites were different in their length of hedges. The prediction equation of shrub α-diversity can be calculated by using the general multiple linear regression equation.

The numbers of blackbirds were correlated with length
(r = +0.282, df = 98, p <0.01) at site A but not correlated with
the other independent variables at either sites (Table 25).
Arnold (1983) found that the blackbird and dunnock numbers
correlated with height. In this study the blackbird numbers
were not related to hedge height at either site (Table 25). The
dunnock numbers were highly correlated with hedge height at site B
(r = +0.457, df = 28, p <0.01) but not significantly so at site A.

4.7 Multiple regression analysis

The multiple regression has been used to find which multiples
of independent variables (hedgerow characteristics) related to
dependent variables (bird species, bird numbers and bird diversity) and
to measure the expected change in dependent variables. Also to
examine differences between the two study areas. Bird numbers and
bird species diversity were correlated with length and width at sites
A and B. Both sites did have significant differences in their
multiple regressions. From Tables 27 and 28 one can compute the
predicted number of birds and bird species diversity for any given
unit of length and width of any hedge at either site using the
general multiple regression equation ($y = a + b_1 . x_1 + b_2 . x_2 \cdots \cdots$) so,
the prediction equation of bird numbers at site A is

$$(0.585 \pm 1.53) = (0.023 \pm 0.005) \times \text{length} + (1.6 \pm 0.47) \times \text{width}.$$

The prediction equation of bird numbers at site B is

$$(-0.298 \pm 2.084) + (0.046 \pm 0.02) \times \text{length} + (0.393 \pm 0.844) \times \text{width}.$$

The prediction equation of bird species diversity at site A is

$$(0.502 \pm 0.17) + (0.171 \pm 0.0522) \times \text{width} + (0.0014 \pm 0.0006) \times \text{length}$$

SUMMARY

1. The aim of this study was to relate hedgerow characteristics to bird diversity, bird numbers and the number of bird species.

2. The study was carried out at two sites A and B. Site A consisted of hundred hedges which were examined near Durham City and site B consisted of thirty hedges studied in Weardale.

3. Thirteen species of the commonest hedgerow birds were recorded at both sites. The yellow hammer was the commonest bird at site A and the blackbird was the commonest bird at site B.

4. Twenty three shrub species were recorded at both sites. The hawthorn was the dominant shrub species at both sites.

5. α- diversity index was computed for the bird and shrub species for the two study areas using Marglef's diversity index. The other calculations were performed using the SPSS-X programme.

6. Site A and B were similar in most of the hedgerow characteristics.

7. The sites differed in the length of their hedges and percentage occurrences of the dominant and non-dominant hedge shrubs.

8. The difference in length at both sites was highly significant ($t = 9.9$, $df = 128$, $p < 0.001$).

9. The difference in the percentage occurrences of non-dominant hedge shrub at both sites was highly significant ($t = 3.5$, $df = 128$, $p < 0.002$).

10. The length was more highly correlated with bird species at site B ($r = +0.7$, $df = =8$, $p < 0.001$) than at site A ($r = +0.3$, $df = 98$, $p < 0.01$).

11. The height and width of hedge were highly correlated at both
     sites A and B (r = +0.8, df = 98, p <0.001; r = +0.8, df = 28,
     p <0.001 respectively).

12. The frequency distribution of bird diversity was not significantly
     different at both sites but the species composition did differ.

13. At site B the total density of birds per 1000 m of hedge
     was greater than at site A (48 and 38 individuals respectively).

14. The multiple regression coefficient has been used in this study
     for two purposes. Firstly, to find which hedge characteristics
     relate to birds and measure the expected change in dependent
     variable for unit change in the independent variables. The
     second purpose is to examine whether there is a difference
     between the study areas.

15. Bird numbers and bird diversity were correlated with length
     and width at sites A and B. Neither site had significant
     difference between their multiple regression coefficients.

16. The prediction equation of bird numbers, bird species and
     bird diversity were calculated using the general multiple
     linear regression equation ($y = a + b_1 . x_1 + b_2 . x_2 \ldots \ldots$).

REFERENCES

ARNOLD ,G.W. (1983)   The influence of ditch and hedgerow structure, length of hedgerows, and area of woodland and garden on bird numbers on farmland. Journal of Applied Ecology 20, 731-750.

BAILEY, N.T.J. (1981)   Statistical methods in biology. 2nd ed. Hodder and Stoughton, London.

FARINA, A. (1983)   Quantitative methods of habitat description in ornithological studies : a review. In Bird Census and Atlas Studies by Taylor, R. et al. (1985) British Trust for Ornithology.

HEINZEL, H.R., FITTER and J. PARSLOW (1974) The birds of Britain and Europe with North Africa and the Middle East. Collins.London.

MacARTHUR, R.H. and MacARTHUR, J.W. (1961) On bird diversity Ecology 42, 594-598.

MacARTHUR, R.H., J. MacARTHUR and J. PREER (1962) On bird species diversity. II. Prediction of bird census from measurements. Amer. Nat. 96, 167-174.

MacARTHUR, R.H. (1964)   Environmental factors affecting bird species diversity. American Naturalist, 98, 387-397.

MacARTHUR, R.H. (1965)   Pattern of species diversity, Biol.Rev. 40, 510-533.

MacARTHUR, R.H., RECHER and M. CODY (1966) On the relation between habitat selection and species diversity. Amer. Nat. 180, 319-332.

MOOR, N.W., HOOPER, M.D. and DAVIS, B.N.K. (1967) Hedge : Introduction and reconnaissance studies. J. of Applied Ecology 4, 201-220.

NIE, N.H. (1983)   SPSS-X (Statistical package for the
                                    social sciences)
                                    Introductory statistics guide.
                                    McGraw Hill. New York.

NIE, N.H. (1984)   SPSS-X (Statistical package for the
                                    social sciences)
                                    User's guide.
                                    McGraw Hill. New York.

NILSSON, S.G. (1979)   Density and species richness of
                                    some forest bird communities.
                                    Oikos 33 : 392-401.

O'CONNOR, R.J. (1980)   Farmland habitat and yellowhammer
                                    distribution in Britain.
                                    Bird Study 27 : 155-162.

O'CONNOR, R.J. (1984)   The importance of hedges to songbirds.
                                    In : Agriculture and the environment,
                                    edited by Jenkins, D. 117-123
                                    (ITE Symposium No.13).
                                    Cambridge : Institute of Terestrial
                                    Ecology.

OSBORNE, P.E.(1982)   Some effects of Dutch elm disease on
                                    farmland nesting birds. Bird Study,
                                    29, 2-16.

OSBORNE, P.E. (1983)   The influence of Dutch elm disease
                                    on bird population trends.
                                    Bird Study, 30, 27-38.

OSBORNE, P.E. (1984)   Bird Numbers and habitat characteristics
                                    in farmland hedgerows. Journal of
                                    Applied Ecology 21, 63-82.

PARSLOW, J.L.F. (1969)   Breeding birds of hedges. Rep. Monks
                                    Wood Exp. Stn 1966-68, 21.

POLLARD, E., HOOPER, M.D. and MOOR, N.W. 1974 Hedges. (New
                                    Naturalist No.58) London : Collins.

RANDS, M.R.W. (1982)   The influence of habitat on the
population ecology of partridges.
D.Phil Thesis. Edward Grey Institute
of field Ornithology, Department of
Zoology, and Wolfson College,
Oxford. Unpublished.

RECHER, H.F. (1969)   Bird species diversity and habitat
diversity in Australia and North
America, Amer. Nat. 103, 75-80.

SOKAL, R.R. and ROHLF, F.J. (1969) Biometry. The principles and
practice of statistics in biological
research. Freeman and Co., San Francisco.

SOUTHWOOD, T.R.E. (1966)   Ecological methods with particular
reference to the study of insect
population. Methuen, London.

SOUTHWOOD, T.R.E. (1978)   Ecological methods. Chapman and
Hall. London.

TRAMER, E.J. (1969)   Bird species diversity : components
of Shannon's formula. Ecology 50,927-929.

WILSON, M.F. (1974)   Avian community organization and
habitat structure. Ecology, 55, 1017-1029.

APPENDIX 1   FORMAT OF ORIGINAL DATA FOR SITES A AND B

Columns	Variable	Columns	Variable
1 - 3	Number of hedge	41 - 43	Hawthorn occurrences
4 - 6	Length of hedge	44 - 45	Privet occurrences
7 - 9	Width of hedge	46 - 47	Ivy occurrences
10 - 12	Height of hedge	48 - 49	Horse-chestnut occurrences
13 - 14	Bird numbers	50 - 51	Hazel occurrences
15	Bird species	52 - 53	Elder occurrences
16 - 18	Shrub occurrences	54 - 55	Sycamore occurrences
19	Shrub species	56 - 57	Holly occurrences
20 - 23	Bird α-diversity index	58 - 59	Rose occurrences
24 - 27	Shrub α-diversity index	60 - 61	Ash occurrences
28	Blackbird numbers	62 - 63	Bramble occurrences
29	Robin numbers	64 - 65	Blackthorn occurrences
30	Blue tit numbers	66 - 67	Beech occurrences
31	Great tit numbers	68 - 69	Rowan occurrences
32	Dunnock numbers	70 - 71	Willow occurrences
33	Whitethroat numbers	72 - 73	Hornbeam occurrences
34	Yellowhammer numbers	74 - 75	Yew occurrences
36	Songthrush numbers	76 - 77	Oak occurrences
37	Chaffinch numbers	78 - 79	Gorse occurrences
38	Linnet numbers	80 - 81	Whitebeam occurrences
39	Wren numbers	82 - 83	Elm occurrences
40	Greenfinch numbers	84 - 85	Cherry occurrences
		86 - 87	Norway spruce occurrences

APPENDIX 2.

```
0011800.01.009504161.821.3741121000000000220702010603000000000000000000000000000000000000
0023401.01.213507321.610.235003022100000054000000190000000000000000000000000000000000000000
0032401.54.007305731.030.493202000000000045000000000000903000000000000000000000000000000000
0042800.60.900007140.000.700000000000000002600000000000200015100000000000000000000000000000
0051602.05.012505761.611.243330010020000019000200000000030000105000000000000000000000000000
0065600.61.617411320.970.219030000023000112000100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
0074002.05.011305660.831.247030001000000012000000000000200000000502140300000000000000000000
0081800.91.517703431.840.575220200004002000190100140000000000000000000000000000000000000000
0094602.03.006208930.560.440000020000400080000000000020000000007000000000000000000000000000
0103001.42.527706041.820.738340302025000070000200000000000000000130000002000000000000000000
0111001.01.706202320.560.320000042000000020000000000030000000000000000000000000000000000000
0123000.71.714405131.140.514030200005000054030000000000000000000000000040000000000000000000
0132950.71.104106120.000.242000000000000005400000000070000000000000000000000000000000000000
0141550.70.912303120.800.297020000003000000000000000000000000000000010000300000000000000000
0153052.03.013606841.950.712052001000030050000000000020500000000000000000000000110000000000
0163404.06.013407571.171.390040150000060002101000006000300000012000000000000000001300000000
0170851.01.610402331.300.641230000000400001600000000005020000000000000000000000000000000000
0181601.42.016503351.441.141020044000500026000100000000000001000100040000000000000000000000
0192502.53.006406261.671.211120000002000048000000010202000008000000000000000010000000000000
0203004.04.022606091.621.950352042006000033001100250610000000300021600000000100000000000000
0212002.04.007204560.511.316010000000003100030000010000000000001000500000000040000000000000
0221501.21.608302220.960.320040002000200020000000000000000000020000000000200000000000000000
0231150.81.005302331.240.643011000000000020000000000000000000010000000000020000000000000000
0240805.06.004201940.721.020020000000200006000000000700000300000000030000000000000000000000
0252703.57.013406161.171.222020000006030013000000211200000000000200000000000050000800000000
0261651.51.708402841.440.900011042000000015000000020003000800000000000000000000000000000000
0271055.06.007302861.031.500030000003010008000000030605000030300000000000000000000000000000
0284052.53.021703141.970.870032034204030019090000000000000010000000000000000020000000000000
0291051.01.204201840.721.040000002000200020000000000005000000050600000000000000000000000000
0301951.21.209302450.911.260030050000020009000000020003000000000500000000000040000000000000
0312452.01.608403861.441.470020022000200013000000050002000200001400000000000000000020000000
0323551.11.402209351.440.881000000000100067000000000200001200040000000000000000800000000000
0331603.05.014603061.891.470032034200200008000000000507000030006010000000000000000000000000
0342102.03.007403461.541.420020012200000009000000107020000070008000000000000000000000000000
0352002.02.013505041.560.770010026200200033000000001302000000000200000000000000000000000000
0361002.02.003103060.001.470000000300000040000000502000000000100000001000000017000000
0372601.52.016506551.440.961030035004000048000000000001000020005000000000000000900000000
0381752.02.300004560.001.310000000000000013000200000020000000000000000000001000225000000000
0391601.01.302102730.000.610000000200000017000000009000000000000000000000000000000010000
0402201.51.410402881.302.101000107100000007000000001000000010202000000000001301010000
0411851.01.203105770.001.480000000001000003500000100090100000000302000000000000600000000
0421900.81.002102930.000.590000001000000025000000000000200000000002000000000000200000000
0431851.31.500004140.000.590000000001000000005000022040000000000000000000000000000000000
0443201.01.005107340.000.700000001000000019000000201600001800000000000000000000000000000
0450652.54.006302970.961.780020015000000011000000011002000301000000000000000000000000100
0461401.01.004304141.440.811010002000000027000000008000040020000000000000000000000000000
0472001.01.006204230.560.540020004000000035000000002000050000000000000000000000000000000
0482001.01.311304950.831.030000303005000026000000007000120002020000000000000000000000000
0491501.01.700003410.001.030000000000000034000000000000000010000000000000000000000000000
0501054.03.005302651.241.230031000100000060000000209000000040000000000000000000000050000
0510901.01.706202830.560.600000002004000140000000130000000010000000000000000000000000
0521553.02.012502441.610.942020020302000090000000100100040000000000000000000000000000000
0532551.02.013405161.171.270000304024000040000000000301000300003000010000000000000000
0541982.01.010303310.870.003000003004000330000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
0552001.22.012403521.210.280000330240000330000000020000000000000000000000000000000000000
0561351.32.012302730.800.615020003000000020000000000000000000304000000000000000000000000
0571301.41.600002430.000.630000000000000180000000000000303000000000000000000000000000000
0582604.02.519705182.041.780030024302110004000000030200000000000020002000020000040034
0592402.04.508305910.960.005010020000000510000000000000000000000000000000000000000030000
0601803.04.015503151.481.170021006003030020000000004030000000000000000000000000000000000
```

APPENDIX 2 (Cont.)

```
0613054.03.012405971.211.47020000501400002000000009060300001004000000070000000000000000
0621401.01.612402531.210.620300003204000015000000000050000000000050000000000000000000000
0633202.83.020706062.001.220232023006020028000000050705000008000000000000000000000070000
0642501.22.510404951.301.032030023000000023000000080700000600050000000000000000000000000
0652202.55.005203460.621.420000000200030000000000100000000000000000000050000150000000400
0662501.32.409305230.910.510420000003000033000000000000000000120700000000000000000000000
0672901.01.204305441.440.750100002002000033000000000000000300090900000000000000000000000
0683501.01.212506841.610.710120004003002046000000000400001000080000000000000000000000000
0692951.02.006307541.120.690000002002002050000000001109000500000000000000000000000000000
0702301.21.104204140.720.810000000000103025000000006000005000500000000000000000000000000
0712901.11.308405751.440.990020003102000018000000002000021000070000000000000000000000000
0721801.01.004303441.440.850020001010000150000000008000006000000000000000050000000000000
0731601.31.607302741.030.910010005000010015000000006030000030000000000000000000000000000
0742001.01.408303930.960.550020001005000250000000010000004000000000000000000000000000000
0751901.21.402204851.441.030000001010000300000000010000020003000000000000000000000000300
0763401.01.207406441.540.720020001004001029000000001500001700030000000000000000000000000
0771301.31.405202430.620.630020000003000015000000005000004000000000000000000000000000000
0781751.21.604303031.440.590100010000200017000000008000000000000000000000000000500000000
0791501.81.604302831.440.600110020000000150000000009000004000000000000000000000000000000
0801604.03.012503341.610.862000003204015020000000008000003000000000000000000200000000
0812902.03.013504361.561.332030202004000016000000001000000301040180000000000000000000000
0822853.03.508505871.921.480021002120000030000000004000004050506000000000000400000000
0832901.31.803205840.910.740000200010000270000000000000008000018000000000005000000
0842801.01.902205621.440.250000100000010050000000000000006000000000000000000000000000
0852551.82.208404161.441.350031002002000020000000008000008000110000030000000000000000
0862500.91.009204950.681.020200304000000290000000006000008030500000000000000000000000
0872002.02.005303951.241.090000104100000180000000020000040807000000000000000000000000
0881552.01.706403171.671.750010022000010015000000030000005020202000000000000000000200
0891751.31.603203430.910.570000000100200220000000008000400000000000000000000000000000
0901252.03.008402151.441.310010020030200090000000020200000005000030000000000000000
0911851.11.206301561.121.850100002000003002000000401000002010500000000000000000000000
0921200.91.000002010.000.000000000000200000002000000000000000000000000000000000000000
0931703.03.509403461.371.420002004100002018000000002000000303060000000000000000000200
0941500.91.005302751.241.210000002000012010000000003000050004000000000000000500000000
0952251.01.907304441.030.790100005000020023000000000000900040800000000000000000000000
0961501.01.707203050.511.180000002005000020000000001000050202000000000000000000000000
0971802.03.003303551.821.130100001010001700000000010000403000800000000000000000000000
0982200.81.008304340.960.800200004000002025000000004000080006000000000000000000000000
0991801.11.304203440.720.853100000000000220000000030000080001000000000000000000000000
1001901.31.505304041.240.810000202010000300000000002000040004000000000000000000000000
```

APPENDIX 3.

```
1011300.61.503202630.910.6100200100000000120000000000000000000000600050000000000000000000
1022001.03.008503971.921.64302100010100001700000003060000050300020000000000000000000000300
1030700.61.004201340.391.17300001000000000500000000001000106000000000000000000000000000000
1040850.51.202101630.000.72200000000000001300000000000000000001000200000000000000000000000
1050802.53.507401621.540.36302100001000000700000000000000003000000000000000000000000000000
1061452.53.004302941.440.89001000001200001800000000030000070100000000000000000000000000000
1071003.03.503202040.911.00100000000200001000000000050200030000000000000000000000000000000
1081803.03.507503662.061.40000001021201000200000000608040010060000000000000000000000000000
1090903.03.004201831.440.69000000020101001000000000000000005030000000000000000000000000000
1101503.04.007503572.061.69002010012100000300000010060400040300050000000000000000000000000
1110901.52.004201720.720.29200000000200000400000000000000013000000000000000000000000000000
1121201.02.003203130.910.63000100200000000000000008120000040002000000050000000000000000000
1131001.52.002202041.441.00001000010000000000000003000000110000000000000000000000000000000
1140701.01.700001420.000.38000000000000000600000000000000000030000000000000000000000000000
1150801.31.603201950.911.36000000020001000300000000020200100000000000000000000000000000200
1162002.01.713304051.171.08400304000002001900000000000300000000000000805000000000000000500
1171301.52.003202640.910.92200000001000000000000000030000010000000002000000000000000000200
1180901.71.804201850.721.38200000000002000000000000050402000000000000001000000000000000100
1190951.32.304301831.440.69100000012000004000000080006000000000000000000000000000000000000
1200801.82.505201630.620.72000000302000002000000000030000000000008000000000000000000000000
1211202.32.005302461.241.700100002002000001000000030801000000000400020000000000000000000
1221001.82.006302041.121.000003002010000007000000000400000300000000050000000000000000000
1230801.41.502201541.441.11010000000000006000000020400000300000000000000000000000000000
1240701.61.805201440.621.14120000200000000300000040000000500000000200000000000000000000
1251101.91.705301951.241.360100000000400000600000002020000040000000000000000000000000000500
1260651.21.507201230.510.80030000000400005000000040000000300000000000000000000000000000
1270862.01.608301750.961.41300000401000000600000002030000000002000040000000000000000000000
1281201.11.309302150.911.310302000040000007000000000400000400030000030000000000000000000
1290801.71.501101540.001.11100000000000003000000000020000040000000006000000000000000000
1301251.61.717302440.710.946403000004000080000000007000005000400000000000000000000000000
```